American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Sudden Onset of Cold, Painful Leg

Variant 1: Sudden onset of cold, painful leg. Suspected vascular compromise. Initial imaging.

ъ .	Appropriateness		COF	A L LA DDI	D I DDI	L Rating Median				F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ	gory	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
CTA abdomen and pelvis with bilateral lower extremity runoff with IV contrast	Usua approp		Strong	���⊕ 10-30 mSv		9	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	11
			References		Study	y Quality										
			29 (20609871)			4										
			28 (20664093)			4										
			27 (18963674)			2										
			26 (27399244)			4										
			25 (18430854)			1										
			24 (11641196)			1										
			23 (16580545)			2										
			22 (15128997)			2										
			18 (19176443)			M										
			17 (18058929)			2										
			16 (25241315)			4										
			15 (17848679)			M										
			14 (17548364)			4										
			13 (18243622)			1										
			12 (17715120)			1										
Arteriography lower extremity	Usua approp		Strong	�� 0.1-1mSv	��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	1	1	4	1	2	5	6

References	Study Quality								
21 (12591682)	2								
20 (21512091)	2								
19 (22511320)	3								
18 (19176443)	M								
17 (18058929)	2								
16 (25241315)	4								
15 (17848679)	M								
14 (17548364)	4								
13 (18243622)	1								
12 (17715120)	1								
11 (27840332)	4								
8 (34492289)	4								
	88882								

CTA lower extremity with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	3	2	6	7	2
--------------------------------------	---------------------	--------	------------------------	----------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

References	Study Quality
27 (18963674)	2
26 (27399244)	4
25 (18430854)	1
24 (11641196)	1
23 (16580545)	2
22 (15128997)	2
18 (19176443)	M
17 (18058929)	2
16 (25241315)	4
15 (17848679)	M
14 (17548364)	4
13 (18243622)	1
12 (17715120)	1

MRA abdomen and pelvis with bilateral lower extremity runoff with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	1	0	1	0	2	4	4	6	2
	References			Study	y Quality										
		39 (19656648)			2										
		38 (17018306)			4										
		37 (12413600)			3										
		36 (27535040)			1										
		35 (22109304)			2										
		34 (25079487)			3										
		33 (20820041)			M										
		32 (12239057)			3										
		31 (23801421)			M										
		30 (9052573)			4										
		16 (25241315)					1			1					
MRA lower extremity without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	1	0	0	0	8	10	0	0	1
		References		Study	y Quality										
		39 (19656648)													
		38 (17018306)													
		37 (12413600)													
		36 (27535040)			1										
		35 (22109304)													
		34 (25079487)		3 M											
		33 (20820041)													
		32 (12239057)													
		31 (23801421)			M										
		30 (9052573)			4										
16 (25241315)				4											
MRA lower extremity without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	0	4	12	3	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality		•								

	39 (19656648)													
	38 (17018306)													
	37 (12413600)		3											
	36 (27535040)			1										
May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	3	8	7	2	0	0
	References Study Quality													
	14 (17548364)													
	43 (16796006)			2										
	44 (15858107)			1										
May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	0	1	13	5	0	0	0
	References		Study	Quality										
	42 (21502384)			2										
	41 (30318162)			1										
	40 (30566270)			4										
	39 (19656648)													
	38 (17018306)			4										
	37 (12413600)			3										
	36 (27535040)			1					1					
Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	2	8	8	2	0	0	0	0	0
Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	3	12	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
	References		Study	Quality		-								
	45 (33933251)		2											
	May be appropriate Usually not appropriate Usually not	38 (17018306) 37 (12413600) 36 (27535040) May be appropriate References 14 (17548364) 43 (16796006) 44 (15858107) May be appropriate References 42 (21502384) 41 (30318162) 40 (30566270) 39 (19656648) 38 (17018306) 37 (12413600) 36 (27535040) Usually not appropriate Usually not appropriate Limited References	38 (17018306) 37 (12413600) 36 (27535040)	38 (17018306) 37 (12413600) 36 (27535040)	38 (17018306) 4 37 (12413600) 3 36 (27535040) 1 May be appropriate Strong O 0 mSv	38 (17018306) 4 37 (12413600) 3 36 (27535040) 1	38 (17018306) 4 37 (12413600) 3 36 (27535040) 1	38 (17018306) 4 37 (12413600) 3 36 (27535040) 1	38 (17018306)	38 (17018306) 4 37 (12413600) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	38 (17018306) 4 37 (12413600) 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	38 (17018306) 4	38 (17018306)	38 (17018306) 4 37 (12413600) 3 36 (27535040) 1

4

46 (28895369)

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.