

**American College of Radiology®
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

Clinical Condition:

Avascular Necrosis (Osteonecrosis) of the Hip

Variant 1:

Initial study when avascular necrosis is suspected clinically.

| Radiologic Procedure | Rating | Comments | RRL* |
|-----------------------------------|--------|---|------|
| X-ray pelvis | 9 | For initial evaluation in patients at risk for AVN who present with hip pain. | ⊕⊕ |
| X-ray hips | 9 | Frogleg view is necessary to evaluate anterosuperior involvement of the femoral head. | ⊕⊕⊕ |
| CT hips without contrast | 1 | Not useful for initial evaluation. | ⊕⊕⊕ |
| Tc-99m bone scan with SPECT hips | 1 | Sensitive method for detecting AVN, but not indicated before radiographs. | ⊕⊕⊕ |
| MRI hips with or without contrast | 1 | Most sensitive method for detecting AVN, but not indicated before radiographs. | O |

Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate

*Relative
Radiation Level

Variant 2:

Avascular necrosis with femoral head collapse detected by radiographs of the painful hip: no surgery contemplated at this time.

| Radiologic Procedure | Rating | Comments | RRL* |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|------|
| MRI hips without contrast | 5 | May be useful if knowledge of occult AVN in the opposite hip is needed. | O |
| Tc-99m bone scan with SPECT hips | 1 | May be useful if knowledge of occult AVN in the opposite hip is needed and MRI is not available. | ⊕⊕⊕ |
| CT hips without contrast | 1 | Provides no more information than conventional radiographs. Shows subchondral fractures earlier, but not needed. | ⊕⊕⊕ |

Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate

*Relative
Radiation Level

Variant 3:

Avascular necrosis with femoral head collapse by radiographs in the painful hip. Surgery contemplated.

| Radiologic Procedure | Rating | Comments | RRL* |
|----------------------------------|--------|--|------|
| MRI hips without contrast | 5 | May be useful if knowledge of occult AVN in the opposite hip is needed or if surgical planning on either hip would be affected. | O |
| Tc-99m bone scan with SPECT hips | 1 | May be useful if knowledge of occult AVN in the opposite hip is needed and MRI is not available. | ⊕⊕⊕ |
| CT hips without contrast | 1 | Provides no more information than conventional radiographs. May be useful if planning osteotomy by defining anatomic localization of the AVN and the extent of bone deformity. | ⊕⊕⊕ |

Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate

*Relative
Radiation Level

Clinical Condition: Avascular Necrosis (Osteonecrosis) of the Hip

Variant 4: Radiograph shows mottled femoral head, suspicious but not definite for avascular necrosis in the painful hip(s). Further evaluation is needed.

| Radiologic Procedure | Rating | Comments | RRL* |
|----------------------------------|--------|---|------|
| MRI hips without contrast | 9 | MRI provides definitive diagnosis when radiograph findings are equivocal. | O |
| Tc-99m bone scan with SPECT hips | 6 | If MRI is not available or is contraindicated. | ⊕⊕⊕ |
| CT hips without contrast | 6 | If MRI is not available or is contraindicated. May show subchondral fracture not seen on MRI. | ⊕⊕⊕ |

Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate

*Relative
Radiation Level

Variant 5: Avascular necrosis suspected clinically but radiographs are normal. Further evaluation needed.

| Radiologic Procedure | Rating | Comments | RRL* |
|----------------------------------|--------|---|------|
| MRI hips without contrast | 9 | Most sensitive and specific method to establish or exclude AVN. | O |
| Tc-99m bone scan with SPECT hips | 6 | If MRI is not available or is contraindicated. | ⊕⊕⊕ |
| CT hips without contrast | 6 | If MRI is not available or is contraindicated. | ⊕⊕⊕ |

Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate

*Relative
Radiation Level

AVASCULAR NECROSIS (OSTEONECROSIS) OF THE HIP

Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging: Leanne L. Seeger, MD¹; Richard H. Daffner, MD²; Barbara N. Weissman, MD³; Erin Arnold, MD⁴; Laura Bancroft, MD⁵; D. Lee Bennett, MD⁶; Judy S. Blebea, MD⁷; Ian Blair Fries, MD⁸; Jon A. Jacobson, MD⁹; William B. Morrison, MD¹⁰; William K. Payne, MD¹¹; Charles S. Resnik, MD¹²; Catherine C. Roberts, MD¹³; Mark E. Schweitzer, MD¹⁴; Mihra Taljanovic, MD¹⁵; James N. Wise, MD.¹⁶

Summary of Literature Review

Avascular necrosis (AVN) is a relatively common disease in which there is death of the cellular elements of bone or marrow. The femoral heads are the most commonly affected sites for clinically significant AVN. There are numerous predisposing causes, including dislocation of the hip, femoral neck fracture, corticosteroid usage, collagen vascular disease, and the hemoglobinopathies [1]. Femoral head AVN often affects young adults.

With collapse of the femoral head, disabling hip pain may result in the need for a hemiarthroplasty or total joint replacement in early adulthood. Nontraumatic AVN is often bilateral, which further increases the extent of disability.

There are no specific physical findings or laboratory tests that can reliably establish the diagnosis of AVN. Clinically suspected AVN can be confirmed only by diagnostic imaging or biopsy. Imaging methods that may assist in establishing the diagnosis include conventional radiography, computed tomography (CT), radionuclide bone scans, and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). These methods vary considerably in their cost, diagnostic accuracy, and the information provided.

While the optimal treatment for femoral head AVN is debated, early diagnosis is important. First, establishing that AVN is the cause for a patient's hip pain allows exclusion of conditions such as infection, neoplasm, fracture or gluteal tendon tear [2,3]. Second, accurate diagnosis and staging of AVN are needed to assess the efficacy of treatment.

Radiographs are the least expensive and most widely available imaging technology. Radiographs should be obtained as the initial study in every patient suspected to have AVN. In the presence of AVN, the radiograph findings may be normal, abnormal, or nonspecific. Both anteroposterior and frogleg lateral views should be obtained because a subchondral fracture or cortical depression may be seen only on one of the two projections.

CT with multiplanar reconstruction has been reported to be less sensitive than bone scanning and MRI [4]. There have, however, been few studies comparing MRI to current-generation multidetector CT scanners. A recent report [5] using helical CT showed CT superior to MRI and radiography for detecting subchondral fractures of the femoral head in AVN. A major role for CT is in determining the severity of secondary degenerative joint disease or the extent of collapse of the femoral head. This information is useful in surgical planning for either osteotomy or joint replacement [6].

Prior to the routine availability of clinical MRI, radionuclide bone scanning was the mainstay for early diagnosis of AVN. More recently, MRI has largely replaced conventional radionuclide bone scanning because of its greater sensitivity (up to 100%, compared to 90% for radionuclide bone scanning) [7]. The addition of single-photon-emission computed tomography (SPECT) may improve the accuracy of radionuclide imaging for diagnosing AVN. In one report, SPECT was found to be more accurate than MRI for detecting early AVN after renal transplant [8]. If bone scanning is to be undertaken, it is suggested that the study be done using pinhole collimation, and SPECT with scatter correction and iterative reconstruction algorithms [9].

¹Principal Author, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California. ²Panel Chair, Allegheny General Hospital, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. ³Panel Vice-chair, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. ⁴Illinois Bone and Joint Institute, Morton Grove, Illinois, American College of Rheumatology. ⁵Florida Hospital, Orlando, Florida. ⁶University of Iowa Health Center, Iowa City, Iowa. ⁷University of Pennsylvania Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. ⁸Bone, Spine and Hand Surgery, Chartered, Brick, New Jersey, American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. ⁹University of Michigan Medical Center, Ann Arbor, Michigan. ¹⁰Thomas Jefferson University Hospital, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. ¹¹American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons, Chicago, Illinois. ¹²University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland. ¹³Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, Arizona. ¹⁴Hospital for Joint Diseases, New York, New York. ¹⁵University of Arizona Health Sciences Center, Tucson, Arizona. ¹⁶University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock, Arkansas.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

Recent studies report an improved specificity for MRI in detecting AVN. One potential cause for incorrect diagnosis of AVN by MRI is transient osteoporosis [10]. Attention to the specific MRI findings will usually allow differentiation of these two entities [11-13]. Although MRI costs more than radionuclide bone scanning, a limited MRI examination may permit the diagnosis of AVN at a lower cost [14,15]. To date, dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI has not proven to be clinically useful. Researchers studying Legg-Calve-Perthes disease have determined that while vascular and anatomic imaging can be correlated, only anatomical imaging is needed for evaluation [16].

Studies have found that the location and extent of involvement of the femoral head with AVN on MRI predicts subsequent bone collapse, with a worse prognosis in patients who have involvement of a large portion and/or the apex of the femoral head [17,18]. Additional literature suggests that core decompression should be performed only when the area of involvement as measured by MRI is small [19,20]. However, patients with a small area of involvement are more likely to have a good outcome even without intervention.

While most AVN is discovered during imaging for pain, asymptomatic AVN may be found in individuals who are imaged for a symptomatic contralateral hip or unrelated reasons [21,22].

Summary

- When a patient who is at risk for AVN develops hip pain, the initial examination should consist of an anteroposterior pelvis and frogleg lateral radiograph of the symptomatic hip.
- If the radiograph findings are definite for AVN, an MRI might be indicated if knowledge of asymptomatic AVN in the opposite hip is clinically important.
- If the radiograph findings are equivocal for AVN or are normal on the symptomatic side, then MRI is indicated to confirm the diagnosis of AVN and to exclude other potential causes for the patient's hip pain.
- In patients in whom MRI cannot be performed, a bone scan with SPECT imaging is a reasonable alternative for diagnosing radiographically occult AVN.
- Screening of a patient who is at high risk for AVN may be of value if prophylactic treatment of asymptomatic AVN is proven useful.

Anticipated Exceptions

Clinical factors will certainly play a role in determining the necessity of diagnostic imaging. If the patient at high risk for AVN has equivocal radiograph findings for AVN, those findings may be adequate for clinical management if the pain is mild and there are no laboratory or clinical findings to suggest underlying infection, tumor, or occult fracture. If the patient with hip pain and at risk for AVN has a normal radiograph, the radiograph alone may be adequate if the clinical findings suggest a condition such as bursitis. In the future, interventional treatment may be developed that significantly reduces the risk of femoral head collapse in the patient with early AVN. If so, screening of asymptomatic patients at high risk for AVN may become clinically appropriate.

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

| Relative Radiation Level Designations | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| Relative Radiation Level* | Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range | Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range |
| O | 0 mSv | 0 mSv |
| ⊕ | <0.1 mSv | <0.03 mSv |
| ⊕⊕ | 0.1-1 mSv | 0.03-0.3 mSv |
| ⊕⊕⊕ | 1-10 mSv | 0.3-3 mSv |
| ⊕⊕⊕⊕ | 10-30 mSv | 3-10 mSv |
| ⊕⊕⊕⊕⊕ | 30-100 mSv | 10-30 mSv |

*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (eg, region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as "Varies".

Supporting Documents

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Overview](#)
- [Procedure Information](#)
- [Evidence Table](#)

References

1. Lavernia CJ, Sierra RJ, Grieco FR. Osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 1999;7(4):250-261.
2. Beltran J, Opsha O. MR imaging of the hip: osseous lesions. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am.* 2005;13(4):665-676, vi.
3. Demant AW, Kocovic L, Henschkowski J, et al. Hip pain in renal transplant recipients: symptomatic gluteus minimus and gluteus medius tendon abnormality as an alternative MRI diagnosis to avascular necrosis. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2007;188(2):515-519.
4. Hauzeur JP, Pasteels JL, Schoutens A, et al. The diagnostic value of magnetic resonance imaging in non-traumatic osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 1989;71(5):641-649.
5. Stevens K, Tao C, Lee SU, et al. Subchondral fractures in osteonecrosis of the femoral head: comparison of radiography, CT, and MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2003;180(2):363-368.
6. Hsieh MS, Tsai MD, Yeh YD. Three-dimensional hip morphology analysis using CT transverse sections to automate diagnoses and surgery managements. *Comput Biol Med.* 2005;35(4):347-371.
7. Theodorou DJ, Malizos KN, Beris AE, Theodorou SJ, Soucacos PN. Multimodal imaging quantitation of the lesion size in osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2001(386):54-63.
8. Ryu JS, Kim JS, Moon DH, et al. Bone SPECT is more sensitive than MRI in the detection of early osteonecrosis of the femoral head after renal transplantation. *J Nucl Med.* 2002;43(8):1006-1011.
9. Scheiber C, Meyer ME, Dumitresco B, et al. The pitfalls of planar three-phase bone scintigraphy in nontraumatic hip avascular osteonecrosis. *Clin Nucl Med.* 1999;24(7):488-494.
10. Balakrishnan A, Schemitsch EH, Pearce D, McKee MD. Distinguishing transient osteoporosis of the hip from avascular necrosis. *Can J Surg.* 2003;46(3):187-192.
11. Kim YM, Oh HC, Kim HJ. The pattern of bone marrow oedema on MRI in osteonecrosis of the femoral head. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2000;82(6):837-841.
12. Malizos KN, Zibis AH, Dailiana Z, Hantes M, Karachalios T, Karantanas AH. MR imaging findings in transient osteoporosis of the hip. *Eur J Radiol.* 2004;50(3):238-244.
13. Vande Berg BC, Malghem JJ, Lecouvet FE, Jamart J, Maldague BE. Idiopathic bone marrow edema lesions of the femoral head: predictive value of MR imaging findings. *Radiology.* 1999;212(2):527-535.
14. Khanna AJ, Yoon TR, Mont MA, Hungerford DS, Bluemke DA. Femoral head osteonecrosis: detection and grading by using a rapid MR imaging protocol. *Radiology.* 2000;217(1):188-192.

15. May DA, Disler DG. Screening for avascular necrosis of the hip with rapid MRI: preliminary experience. *J Comput Assist Tomogr.* 2000;24(2):284-287.
16. Winzenrieth R, Claude I, Hobatho MC, Sebag G. Is there functional vascular information in anatomical MR sequences? A preliminary in vivo study. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2006;53(6):1190-1195.
17. Ha YC, Jung WH, Kim JR, Seong NH, Kim SY, Koo KH. Prediction of collapse in femoral head osteonecrosis: a modified Kerboul method with use of magnetic resonance images. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88 Suppl 3:35-40.
18. Nishii T, Sugano N, Ohzono K, Sakai T, Sato Y, Yoshikawa H. Significance of lesion size and location in the prediction of collapse of osteonecrosis of the femoral head: a new three-dimensional quantification using magnetic resonance imaging. *J Orthop Res.* 2002;20(1):130-136.
19. Aigner N, Schneider W, Eberl V, Knahr K. Core decompression in early stages of femoral head osteonecrosis--an MRI-controlled study. *Int Orthop.* 2002;26(1):31-35.
20. Yoshida T, Kanayama Y, Okamura M, Negoro N, Inoue T, Yoshikawa J. Long-term observation of avascular necrosis of the femoral head in systemic lupus erythematosus: an MRI study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2002;20(4):525-530.
21. Hernigou P, Habibi A, Bachir D, Galacteros F. The natural history of asymptomatic osteonecrosis of the femoral head in adults with sickle cell disease. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2006;88(12):2565-2572.
22. Nagasawa K, Tada Y, Koarada S, et al. Very early development of steroid-associated osteonecrosis of femoral head in systemic lupus erythematosus: prospective study by MRI. *Lupus.* 2005;14(5):385-390.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.