

Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Thoracic Aorta Interventional Planning and Follow-up

Literature Search Performed on: 5/5/2015

Beginning Date: January 2010

End Date: April 2015

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily, Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Ovid OLDMEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy:

-
- 1 Aortic Aneurysm, Thoracic/ra, ri, us [Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (2440)
 - 2 Aneurysm, Dissecting/ra, ri, us [Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (3821)
 - 3 Aorta, Thoracic/ra, ri, us [Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (4247)
 - 4 2 and 3 (317)
 - 5 1 or 4 (2581)
 - 6 Aortic Aneurysm, Thoracic/ (8780)
 - 7 Aneurysm, Dissecting/ (13074)
 - 8 Aorta, Thoracic/ (28870)
 - 9 7 and 8 (2026)
 - 10 6 or 9 (9830)
 - 11 exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (1787509)
 - 12 10 and 11 (4014)
 - 13 5 or 12 (4379)
 - 14 Preoperative Care/ (52334)
 - 15 Patient Care Planning/ (33602)
 - 16 Radiotherapy Planning, Computer-Assisted/ (13864)
 - 17 planning.mp. (253505)
 - 18 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 (303344)
 - 19 13 and 18 (106)
 - 20 Follow-Up Studies/ (515032)
 - 21 Postoperative Care/ (52753)
 - 22 exp Postoperative Complications/ (431004)
 - 23 exp Treatment Outcome/ (695589)
 - 24 20 or 21 or 22 or 23 (1437990)
 - 25 13 and 24 (2049)
 - 26 19 or 25 (2100)
 - 27 limit 26 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2010 -Current") (752)
 - 28 limit 27 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (40)
 - 29 27 not 28 (712)
 - 30 limit 29 to case reports (344)
 - 31 29 not 30 (368)
 - 32 remove duplicates from 31 (364)
-

Literature Search Summary

A literature search was conducted in May 2015 to identify evidence for the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® *Thoracic Aorta Interventional Planning and Follow-up* topic. Using the search strategy described above, 364 articles were found. Eighty-three articles were used in the topic. Two hundred and eighty-one articles were not used due to either poor study design, the articles were not relevant or generalizable to the topic, or the results were unclear, misinterpreted, or biased.

The author added 8 citations from bibliographies, websites, or books that were not found in the literature search.

One citation is a supporting document that was added by staff.