

Literature Search Summary
ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Staging of
Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma

Literature Search Performed on: 5/31/2017

Beginning Date: January 2015

End Date: May 2017

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy:

-
- 1 Carcinoma, Pancreatic Ductal/ (5733)
 - 2 Pancreatic Neoplasms/ (64005)
 - 3 1 or 2 (64351)
 - 4 exp diagnostic imaging/ (2407079)
 - 5 3 and 4 (15506)
 - 6 staging.mp. (194656)
 - 7 5 and 6 (1547)
 - 8 limit 7 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2015 -Current") (145)
 - 9 limit 8 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (4)
 - 10 8 not 9 (141)
 - 11 limit 10 to case reports (15)
 - 12 10 not 11 (126)
 - 13 remove duplicates from 12 (106)

Literature Search Performed on: 5/28/2015

Beginning Date: January 2010

End Date: April 2015

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily, Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Ovid OLDMEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy:

-
- 1 Carcinoma, Pancreatic Ductal/ra, ri, us [Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (289)
 - 2 Pancreatic Neoplasms/ra, ri, us [Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (6058)
 - 3 1 or 2 (6078)
 - 4 pancreatic neoplasms/ or carcinoma, pancreatic ductal/ (55894)
 - 5 exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (1801366)
 - 6 4 and 5 (12069)
 - 7 3 or 6 (13273)
 - 8 staging.mp. (161953)
 - 9 7 and 8 (1351)
 - 10 limit 9 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2010 -Current") (302)
 - 11 limit 10 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (13)
 - 12 10 not 11 (289)
 - 13 limit 12 to case reports (27)
 - 14 12 not 13 (262)
 - 15 remove duplicates from 14 (249)

Literature Search Summary

A literature search was conducted in May 2015 and updated in May 2017 to identify evidence for the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® *Staging of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma* topic. Using the search strategy described above, 355 articles were found. Twenty-two articles were used in the topic. The remaining articles were not used due to either poor study design, the articles were not relevant or generalizable to the topic, or the results were unclear, misinterpreted, or biased.

The author added 33 citations from bibliographies, websites, or books that were not found in the literature search, including 21 articles outside of the search date ranges.

Two citations are supporting documents that were added by staff.