Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Breast Implant Evaluation

Literature Search Performed on: 06/15/2017
Beginning Date: January 1946
End Date: May 2017

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>
Search Strategy:
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1  Lymphoma, Large-Cell, Anaplastic/ (1672)
2  Breast Implants/ (4004)
3  1 and 2 (114)
4  limit 3 to (abstracts and english language) (57)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Literature Search Performed on: 2/27/2015
Beginning date: January 2004
End date: January 2015

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily, Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Ovid OLDMEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>
Search Strategy:
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1  Breast Implants/ (3398)
2  Breast Implantation/ (1303)
3  Sodium Chloride/ (50584)
4  Silicone Elastomers/ (7381)
5  Silicones/ (10740)
6  Silicone Gels/ (837)
7  extracapsular rupture.mp. (24)
8  intracapsular rupture.mp. (15)
9  silicone implant.mp. (526)
10  saline implant.mp. (53)
11  (1 or 2) and (3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10) (1525)
12  expDiagnostic Imaging/ (1765269)
13  11 and 12 (265)
14  limit 13 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2004 -Current") (83)
15  limit 14 to case reports (24)
16  14 not 15 (59)
17  remove duplicates from 16 (59)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Literature Search Summary
A literature search was conducted in February 2015 and June 2017 to identify evidence for the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Breast Implant Evaluation topic. Using the search strategies described above, 116 articles were found. Thirty unique articles were used in the topic. The remaining articles were not used due to either poor study design, the articles were not relevant or generalizable to the topic, or the results were unclear or biased.

Two citations are supporting documents that were added by staff.