Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Staging and Follow-up of Vulvar Cancer

Literature Search Performed on: 6/1/2018
Beginning Date: January 2018
End Date: June 2018
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to June 1, 2018>
Search Strategy:

1. Vulva/ (5461)
2. Vulvar Neoplasms/ (8217)
3. vulvar carcinoma.mp. (865)
4. vulvar cancer.mp. (1671)
5. Genital Neoplasms, Female/ or gynecological malignancies.mp. (14707)
6. figo.mp. (8545)
7. exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (2642749)
8. Neoplasm Staging/ (174300)
9. Diffusion/ (38110)
10. sentinel lymph node sampling.mp. or Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy/ (11200)
11. surveillance.mp. (231173)
12. Neoplasm Recurrence, Local/ (118244)
13. Radiotherapy/ (42496)
14. Brachytherapy/ (19728)
15. General Surgery/ (38881)
16. 1 and (5 or 6) (76)
17. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 (13129)
18. 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 or 15 (3198265)
19. 17 and 18 (2574)
20. 16 or 19 (2615)
21. limit 20 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2018 -Current") (193)
22. limit 21 to case reports (33)
23. 21 not 22 (160)
24. limit 23 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (10)
25. 23 not 24 (150)
26. Male/ (8595686)
27. 25 not 26 (137)
28. remove duplicates from 27 (23)

********************

Literature Search Performed on: 4/1/2018
Beginning Date: January 2016
End Date: April 2018
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to April 1, 2018>
Search Strategy:

1. Vulva/ (5461)
2. Vulvar Neoplasms/ (8217)
3. vulvar carcinoma.mp. (865)
4. vulvar cancer.mp. (1671)
5. Genital Neoplasms, Female/ or gynecological malignancies.mp. (14707)
6. figo.mp. (8545)
7. exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (2642749)
8. Neoplasm Staging/ (174300)
Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>47</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.