Literature Search

ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Radiologic Management of Biliary Obstruction

Literature Search Performed on: 9/26/2017
Beginning Date: January 2015
End Date: August 2017

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>
Search Strategy:

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1     exp Radiology, Interventional/ (3677)
2     Drainage/ (40224)
3     exp Catheters/ (25882)
4     Stents/ (61107)
5     Anastomosis, Roux-en-Y/ (3264)
6     Cholecdochostomy/ (1262)
7     Biliary Tract Surgical Procedures/ (3724)
8     Cholangiopancreatography, Endoscopic Retrograde/ (16224)
9     1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 (146792)
10    Jaundice, Obstructive/ (3417)
11    exp Cholestasis/ (33118)
12    exp Bile Ducts/ (46553)
13    Constriction, Pathologic/ (29143)
14    12 and 13 (1644)
15    Cholangiocarcinoma/ (7543)
16    Adenoma, Bile Duct/ (1872)
17    exp Bile Duct Neoplasms/ (16929)
18    Klatskin's Tumor/ (475)
19    exp Cholangitis/ (9825)
20    Choledocholithiasis/ (1586)
21    10 or 11 or 12 or 14 or 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 (88025)
22    9 and 21 (15020)
23    obstruction.mp. (188171)
24    22 and 23 (2686)
25    limit 24 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2015 -Current") (202)
26    limit 25 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (17)
27    25 not 26 (185)
28    limit 27 to case reports (21)
29    27 not 28 (164)
30    remove duplicates from 29 (134)

***************************

Literature Search Performed on: 6/5/2015
Beginning Date: January 2011
End Date: May 2015

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily, Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Ovid OLDMEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>
Search Strategy:

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1     exp Radiology, Interventional/ (3124)
2     Drainage/ (34927)
References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.