

**American College of Radiology
End User License Agreement**

ACR Appropriateness Criteria is a registered trademark of the American College of Radiology. By accessing the ACR Appropriateness Criteria®, you expressly agree and consent to the terms and conditions as described at: <http://www.acr.org/~media/ACR/Documents/AppCriteria/TermsandConditions.pdf>

Personal use of material is permitted for research, scientific and/or information purposes only. You may not modify or create derivative works based on American College of Radiology material. No part of any material posted on the American College of Radiology Web site may be copied, downloaded, stored in a retrieval system, or redistributed for any other purpose without the expressed written permission of American College of Radiology.

**American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

Clinical Condition: Suspected Lower Urinary Tract Trauma

Variant 1: Penetrating trauma, lower abdomen/pelvis.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
X-ray retrograde cystography	8		☼ ☼ ☼
CT pelvis with bladder contrast (CT cystography)	8	A CT cystogram and retrograde cystogram are equivalent, but CT has become the first-line choice for acute trauma imaging. If CT has been performed, a CT cystogram is preferable.	☼ ☼ ☼ ☼
CT pelvis with IV contrast	5	Routine enhanced CT alone is inadequate to evaluate the lower urinary tract for trauma. CT may be needed to evaluate extraurinary pelvic organs.	☼ ☼ ☼
X-ray pelvis	5	Perform this procedure if there is any question of the presence of a foreign body (eg, bullet).	☼ ☼
X-ray retrograde urethrography	5	Perform this procedure if there is a suspected urethral injury (eg, trajectory of knife or bullet).	☼ ☼ ☼
CT pelvis without IV contrast	4	Routine unenhanced CT is inadequate to evaluate the lower urinary tract for trauma, but it may detect free fluid to suggest further evaluation.	☼ ☼ ☼
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	3	There is added radiation without increased diagnostic improvement beyond CT with contrast for trauma.	☼ ☼ ☼ ☼
Arteriography with possible embolization abdomen and pelvis	3	Use this procedure as a preliminary to embolotherapy if there is persistent bleeding.	Varies
X-ray intravenous urography	2	This procedure is inadequate for lower urinary tract trauma.	☼ ☼ ☼
US pelvis (bladder and urethra)	2	US is usually not definitive.	O
MRI pelvis without and with IV contrast	1	This procedure is not applicable to acute trauma.	O
MRI pelvis without IV contrast	1	This procedure is not applicable to acute trauma.	O
Tc-99m MAG3 scan kidney	1	This procedure is not applicable to acute trauma.	☼ ☼ ☼
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Clinical Condition: Suspected Lower Urinary Tract Trauma

Variant 2: Blunt trauma, lower abdomen/pelvis.

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
X-ray pelvis	9		☼☼
X-ray retrograde cystography	8		☼☼☼
CT pelvis with bladder contrast (CT cystography)	8	A CT cystogram and retrograde cystogram are equivalent, but CT has become the first-line choice for acute trauma imaging. If CT is performed, a CT cystogram is preferable.	☼☼☼☼
X-ray retrograde urethrography	5	This procedure is necessary if a pelvic fracture is present or if the patient has hematuria and a negative cystogram or the inability to pass a Foley catheter.	☼☼☼
CT pelvis with IV contrast	5	Routine enhanced CT alone is inadequate to evaluate the lower urinary tract for trauma. It may be needed to evaluate extraurinary pelvic organs. Include delayed images to detect ureteral injury if there is periureteral fluid.	☼☼☼
CT pelvis without IV contrast	4	Routine unenhanced CT is inadequate to evaluate the lower urinary tract for trauma, but it may detect free fluid to suggest further evaluation.	☼☼☼
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	3	This procedure adds radiation without increased diagnostic improvement beyond CT with contrast for trauma.	☼☼☼☼
Arteriography with possible embolization abdomen and pelvis	3	Use this procedure as a preliminary to embolotherapy for persistent bleeding.	Varies
X-ray intravenous urography	3	This procedure is inadequate for lower urinary tract trauma.	☼☼☼
US pelvis (bladder and urethra)	2	US is usually not definitive.	O
MRI pelvis without and with IV contrast	1	This procedure is not applicable to acute trauma.	O
MRI pelvis without IV contrast	1	This procedure is not applicable to acute trauma.	O
Tc-99m MAG3 scan kidney	1	This procedure is not applicable to acute trauma.	☼☼☼
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

Clinical Condition: Suspected Lower Urinary Tract Trauma

Variant 3: Blunt perineal trauma in the male (straddle injury).

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
X-ray retrograde urethrography	9		☼ ☼ ☼
X-ray pelvis	9	This procedure can be combined with retrograde urethrography.	☼ ☼
CT pelvis with IV contrast	7		☼ ☼ ☼
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	3	This procedure adds radiation without increased diagnostic improvement beyond CT with contrast for trauma.	☼ ☼ ☼ ☼
CT pelvis without IV contrast	1	This procedure is not sufficient to diagnose urethral or bladder injury. It may detect free fluid or fracture.	☼ ☼ ☼
X-ray intravenous urography	1	This procedure is inadequate for lower urinary tract trauma.	☼ ☼ ☼
MRI pelvis without and with IV contrast	1	This procedure is not applicable to acute trauma.	O
MRI pelvis without IV contrast	1	This procedure is not applicable to acute trauma.	O
Arteriography with possible embolization abdomen and pelvis	1	Use this procedure as a preliminary to embolotherapy for persistent bleeding.	Varies
US pelvis (bladder and urethra)	1	A transabdominal US is not definitive.	O
X-ray retrograde cystography	1		☼ ☼ ☼
Tc-99m MAG3 scan kidney	1	This procedure is not applicable to acute trauma.	☼ ☼ ☼
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			*Relative Radiation Level

SUSPECTED LOWER URINARY TRACT TRAUMA

Expert Panel on Urologic Imaging: Mark E. Lockhart, MD, MPH¹; Erick M. Remer, MD²; John R. Leyendecker, MD³; Steven C. Eberhardt, MD⁴; Barak Friedman, MD⁵; Matthew S. Hartman, MD⁶; Keyanoosh Hosseinzadeh, MD⁷; Elizabeth Lazarus, MD⁸; Aytekin Oto, MD⁹; Christopher Porter, MD¹⁰; Gary S. Sudakoff, MD¹¹; Sadhna Verma, MD.¹²

Summary of Literature Review

Introduction/Background

Lower urinary tract injury can be caused by blunt, penetrating, or iatrogenic trauma. Injuries to the urologic system occur in 10%–20% of patients who experience major trauma and can be the result of either blunt or penetrating injuries [1]. In a series of 31,380 trauma patients with pelvic fractures, bladder injury was present in 3%–4% of patients [2]. Major bladder injury occurs in about 10% of patients suffering from an anterior arch pelvic fracture [3]. The presence or absence of pelvic fractures alone does not always predict the type of lower urinary tract injury [4]. Approximately 25% of intraperitoneal bladder ruptures occur in patients who do not have a pelvic fracture [5]. Concurrent bladder ruptures are present in 10%–29% of male patients with a traumatic rupture of the prostatomembranous urethra, with an average of 3.1 associated injuries per patient [4,6].

The degree of bladder distension with urine determines its shape and, to some degree, the injury it may sustain. Even relatively minor trauma can rupture the fully distended bladder [7]; the empty bladder is seldom injured, except by crushing or penetrating wounds.

Gross hematuria indicates urologic trauma. The presence of gross blood at the urethral meatus strongly suggests urethral injury. A Foley catheter should not be inserted without first doing a retrograde urethrogram to ensure urethral integrity [8]. Although grossly clear urine in a trauma patient without a pelvic fracture virtually eliminates the possibility of a bladder rupture, up to 2% of patients with a bladder rupture may have only microhematuria [1].

Exactly how much blood in the urine necessitates investigation is a point of controversy in the literature. Published data suggest that bladder imaging is not necessary for patients who have less than 50 RBC/hpf on initial presentation and that no cases of bladder injury were missed, even when patients had more than 50 RBC/hpf without gross hematuria [9].

Fuhrman et al [10] indicated that cystography used to evaluate blunt trauma should be restricted to patients with gross hematuria, which the authors defined as more than 200 RBC/hpf. They also recommended that a retrograde urethrogram should be done first in males who had a pelvic fracture. In a study by Hochberg and Stone [11], 90% of 103 patients with a pelvic fracture did not have a bladder rupture; therefore, the authors concluded that cystography may be safely reserved for patients with pelvic fractures who are considered to be at high risk for such an injury. They limited cystography in pelvic fracture to patients with significant pubic arch involvement, gross hematuria, and/or hemodynamic instability.

Bladder Injury

The Consensus Panel of the Société Internationale D'Urologie has classified bladder injury into 4 categories [12]:

- Type I: bladder contusion
- Type II: intraperitoneal rupture
- Type III: extraperitoneal rupture
- Type IV: combined injury

¹Principal Author, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama. ²Panel Chair, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, Ohio. ³Panel Vice-chair, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston Salem, North Carolina. ⁴University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico. ⁵Long Island Jewish Medical Center, New Hyde Park, New York. ⁶Allegheny General Hospital, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. ⁷University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. ⁸Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island. ⁹The University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois. ¹⁰Virginia Mason Medical Center, Seattle, Washington, American Urological Association. ¹¹Froedtert Hospital, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. ¹²Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: publications@acr.org

A bladder contusion (type I) is an incomplete tear of the bladder mucosa following blunt injury. The results of cystography are normal. The diagnosis of a bladder contusion is usually established by exclusion in patients who have hematuria following a blunt pelvic trauma for which no other cause is found. A bladder contusion is generally regarded as the most common form of bladder injury following a blunt trauma, but it is not considered to be a major injury.

An intraperitoneal rupture (type II) occurs when there is a sudden rise in intravesical pressure resulting from a blow to the lower abdomen of a patient with a distended bladder. The increased intravesical pressure results in a rupture of the weakest portion of the bladder, the dome, where the bladder is in contact with the peritoneal surface. Intraperitoneal rupture accounts for approximately one-third of major bladder injuries. Approximately 25% of such injuries occur in patients who do not have a pelvic fracture. On cystography, contrast material extravasation into the paracolic gutters and outlining loops of the small bowel will be present. On CT, there may be a “sentinel clot” at the bladder dome in up to 80% of patients [13].

An extraperitoneal bladder rupture (type III) is classically described as the result of a laceration of the bladder by a bone spicule in association with an anterior pelvic arch fracture. Recent data, however, have shown that cystograms in such patients often demonstrate that the site of contrast material extravasation is far removed from the site of fracture; thus, the validity of this mechanism has been questioned. Extraperitoneal rupture represents approximately 60% of major bladder injuries. Sandler et al [14] further subdivided extraperitoneal rupture into two groups. With simple extraperitoneal rupture, contrast extravasation is limited to the pelvic extraperitoneal space. With complex extraperitoneal rupture, contrast material extravasation may extend into the anterior abdominal wall, the penis, the scrotum, and the perineum. The presence of a complex extraperitoneal injury implies that the injury has disrupted the fascial boundaries of the pelvis. Such findings should not be mistaken as evidence of a coexisting urethral injury. Surgical repair of an extraperitoneal rupture varies by institution and whether other surgery is needed, but it can occur in more than half of patients [15].

A combined bladder injury (type IV) results when both intraperitoneal and extraperitoneal bladder injuries are present. This represents approximately 5% of major bladder injuries [16].

Urethral Injury

Injuries to the male urethra can be classified into 2 main categories according to their mechanism of injury: 1) those associated with a fracture of the anterior pelvic arch (usually involving the membranous urethra), and 2) those occurring as the result of a straddle injury (usually involving the bulbous urethra). Anterior urethral injuries are less common than posterior injuries.

Any female urethral injury is rare and is usually associated with pelvic disruption and/or vaginal laceration [17]. The incidence of urethral injury with pelvic fracture ranges from 0% to 6% in women and can be as much as 10% in men. In a series of 31,380 trauma patients with pelvic fractures, urethral injury was present in 0.15% of women versus 1.5% of men [2]. This is due to the relatively short length and anatomic position of the female urethra, which is hidden behind the osseous pubic arch, and the fact that it is more mobile, without significant attachment to the pubic bone [17-19].

In men who sustain a pelvic fracture, urethral injury occurs when the prostate is sheared from its connection to the urogenital diaphragm, as the puboprostatic ligaments are ruptured. The urethral injury is due to disruption of the soft tissues, rather than to a laceration by a bony spicule. A hematoma forms in the retropubic and perivesical spaces [20].

Straddle injuries occur as the result of a direct blow to the perineum when the urethra and corpus spongiosa are compressed between a hard object and the inferior aspect of the symphysis pubis. In most cases, there is no pelvic fracture. Straddle injuries result in either partial or complete rupture of the bulbous urethra [20].

Male urethral trauma has been classified by Colapinto and McCallum [21] based on the appearance of the retrograde urethrogram. This classification has been expanded to include all urethral trauma [22,23].

Urethral injuries associated with pelvic fracture [21-23] include:

- Type I: posterior urethra stretched but intact
- Type II: urethra disrupted at the membranoprostic junction above the urogenital diaphragm
- Type III: membranous urethra disrupted, with extension to the proximal bulbous urethra, and/or disruption of the urogenital diaphragm (most common)

- Type IV: bladder neck injury, with extension into the urethra
- Type IVa: injury to the base of the bladder, with periurethral extravasation simulating a true type IV urethral injury
- Type V: partial or complete pure anterior urethral injury

There has been recent work involving the treatment of pelvic fracture-related urethral injury involving the prostatic urethra, with extension into the bladder neck. It is important to recognize this type so that an early repair can be performed because these injuries do not heal spontaneously and are associated with incontinence [24].

Retrograde Urethrography

Urethrography has improved our understanding of the mechanism of such injuries. In the past, a diagnosis of acute urethral injury often was based loosely on the clinical triad of 1) blood at the urethral meatus, 2) inability of the patient to void, and 3) a palpable urinary bladder. An inability to pass the catheter into the bladder was also considered diagnostic of a posterior urethral injury. It is now well established, however, that diagnostic catheterization is to be avoided, as it may convert a partial injury into a complete one [3]. Because posterior urethral injuries are also seen with pelvic fractures, a retrograde urethrogram should be performed before inserting a catheter [22,23,25,26]. Lack of pelvic and suprapubic tenderness; absence of penile, scrotal, or perineal hematoma; and a normal rectal examination support the integrity of the urethra [8]. Patients with penetrating trauma to the penis should undergo retrograde urethrography (RUG) as the primary diagnostic procedure [3].

Cystography

The diagnosis of bladder rupture is usually easy with cystography, when the injected contrast is identified outside the bladder. Prior to the widespread acceptance of CT cystography as an equivalent alternative in evaluating bladder trauma, retrograde cystography has been called the “procedure of choice” [27], “mandatory” [28], “the only way” [29], “examination of choice” [3], “keystone” [30], “mainstay” [30], and “absolute indication” [31].

Adequate distention of the urinary bladder is crucial to finding a perforation, especially in instances of penetrating trauma, as most instances of a false-negative retrograde cystogram were found in this situation [28]. To exclude bladder injury, a filling volume of at least 350-400 mL contrast should be achieved. The catheter balloon should not be tightly maintained against the bladder neck because it could tamponade against a disruption and prevent detection of a leak in this region.

Cystography requires scout radiograph, filled view, and postdrainage radiograph, at a minimum. Fluoroscopic visualization during early filling should be obtained to avoid additional distension if a gross disruption is identified. Obliques are useful to avoid missing a small anterior or posterior injury. In approximately 10% of cases [5], bladder injury can be identified only with the postdrainage image. Cystography has an accuracy rate of 85%–100% for detecting bladder injury [32]. However, only a properly performed cystogram should be used to exclude bladder injury [3].

Intravenous Urography

An intravenous urogram (IVU) is inadequate for evaluating the bladder and urethra after trauma because the contrast material within the bladder is diluted and because the resting intravesical pressure is simply too low to demonstrate a small tear [33,34]. IVU has a low accuracy, on the order of 15%–25% [35]. An accurate diagnosis of bladder rupture was made with IVU in only 5 of 23 study patients (22%) [36]. Carroll and McAninch [32] found an accurate diagnosis for only 5 of 32 (16%) patients, and Werkman et al [37] found an accuracy rate for only 4 of 11 (36%).

Ultrasound

Transabdominal ultrasound (US) findings in bladder rupture and urethral evaluation with an endorectal probe have been described [33], but US has not been routinely used for evaluating the trauma patient. It is unlikely that a patient with significant posterior urethral or bladder rupture would tolerate evaluation by an endorectal probe. On the other hand, most or all serious trauma patients will likely be evaluated with computed tomography (CT) because of its speed and accuracy of evaluation.

US can be used to evaluate associated visceral lesions, such as solid or hollow organ rupture and nonspecific peritoneal fluid [33,38]. However, in a series of 128 acute trauma patients, 11 of 19 injuries that were missed by emergent US involved the genitourinary system [39]. The detection of peritoneal fluid in the presence of normal viscera or the failure to visualize the bladder after the transurethral introduction of saline are considered highly

suggestive of bladder rupture [33]. As a practical matter, US is not definitive in bladder or urethral trauma and is almost never used.

Computed Tomography

CT cystography has become the first-line evaluation for bladder injury in the acute trauma setting [40]. This technique refers to the retrograde instillation of a minimum of 350 cc of diluted contrast media into the bladder, followed by axial and coronal CT images of the pelvis [16,41,42]. Unlike conventional cystography, no postdrainage CT images are needed. Deck et al [43,44] reported sensitivities of 95% overall but only 78% for intraperitoneal rupture. In another study with 100% sensitivity and 99% specificity for intraperitoneal bladder rupture, the specific site of dome injuries in 4 of 18 patients were identified only with multiplanar reconstructed images [42]. A bladder contusion may not be visible by CT cystography. Routine CT, using excreted contrast only, cannot be relied on entirely to diagnose bladder rupture, even with a urethral catheter inserted and clamped [6,33,45]. CT performed with excreted contrast only can demonstrate intraperitoneal or extraperitoneal fluid, but it cannot differentiate urine from ascites. However, the absence of pelvic ascites is strong evidence against a bladder rupture [13,46]. As with IVU, the bladder is usually inadequately distended to cause extravasation through a bladder laceration or perforation during routine abdominal and pelvic studies. A negative study does not exclude bladder injury [47].

Horstman et al [48] reviewed the examinations of 25 patients who received both cystograms and CT in the initial evaluation of a blunt abdominal trauma. Five had a bladder rupture; 3 were extraperitoneal, and 2 were intraperitoneal. All injuries were detected by both studies. The authors felt that delayed imaging or contrast instillation during CT can provide the adequate bladder distention needed to demonstrate contrast extravasation from the injury site. They continued to perform cystography in patients with compelling evidence of a bladder injury, but no extravasation was demonstrated by CT. Schneider [8] stated that either retrograde cystography or CT is the diagnostic procedure of choice for a suspected bladder injury.

The literature suggests that both conventional and CT cystography are equivalent, with physician preference and diagnostic protocols generally defining the method used [5,48,49]. Quagliano et al [50] prospectively compared CT cystography and conventional cystography in patients with blunt abdominal trauma and found equally high sensitivity (95%) and specificity (100%) for both techniques. Although CT is not the technique of choice for urethral injuries, it is performed so frequently that urethral injuries are inevitably identified when CT is performed for pelvic trauma. Findings can include displacement of the prostate and bladder, extravasation of contrast media, and hematomas [51]. Recently, Chou et al [52] described the preliminary results of CT voiding urethrography using 16-multidetector CT and found a high correlation between the results of conventional RUG and CT voiding urethrography for evaluating urethral injuries.

Angiography

Angiography can be useful in identifying an occult source of bleeding and can guide its subsequent therapeutic embolization [33].

Nuclear Imaging

Because of its low resolution, nuclear imaging has not been applied to lower urinary tract injuries.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Because of the difficulty of monitoring a seriously injured patient in a strong magnetic field magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) currently plays a small role in evaluating acute bladder and/or urethral trauma [33]. MRI use has been described for later evaluation of urethral injury as an adjunctive tool for assessing complex urethral anatomic derangements [53,54].

Summary

- CT of the pelvis with bladder contrast (CT cystography) is the recommended imaging study for suspected lower urinary tract injury due to a penetrating trauma of the lower abdomen or pelvis, because CT scans of the abdomen and pelvis are frequently obtained for abdominopelvic trauma. Routine unenhanced CT scans of the abdomen or pelvis alone may be inadequate to assess for penetrating injuries to the lower urinary tract system. When a CT scan of the abdomen or pelvis in a trauma patient is not already being obtained, then either x-ray retrograde cystography or CT cystography is recommended to assess for bladder injury.

- X-ray retrograde cystography or pelvic CT with bladder contrast (CT cystography) are the recommended imaging studies for a suspected lower urinary tract injury due to blunt trauma to the lower abdomen or pelvis. RUG should be considered, to exclude urethral injury, when pelvic fracture is present. RUG should be performed in the setting of gross hematuria to exclude urethral injury before bladder catheterization.
- X-ray RUG is the examination of choice for a suspected blunt perineal trauma in the male (straddle injury) and should be performed for suspected urethral injury from a penetrating trauma.

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations		
Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
○	0 mSv	0 mSv
⊛	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
⊛ ⊛	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
⊛ ⊛ ⊛	1-10 mSv	0.3-3 mSv
⊛ ⊛ ⊛ ⊛	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
⊛ ⊛ ⊛ ⊛ ⊛	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv

*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (eg, region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as “Varies”.

Supporting Documents

For additional information on the Appropriateness Criteria methodology and other supporting documents go to www.acr.org/ac.

References

1. Dreitlein DA, Suner S, Basler J. Genitourinary trauma. *Emerg Med Clin North Am.* 2001;19(3):569-590.
2. Bjurlin MA, Fantus RJ, Mellett MM, Goble SM. Genitourinary injuries in pelvic fracture morbidity and mortality using the National Trauma Data Bank. *J Trauma.* 2009;67(5):1033-1039.
3. Jankowski JT, Spirnak JP. Current recommendations for imaging in the management of urologic traumas. *Urol Clin North Am.* 2006;33(3):365-376.
4. Andrich DE, Day AC, Mundy AR. Proposed mechanisms of lower urinary tract injury in fractures of the pelvic ring. *BJU Int.* 2007;100(3):567-573.
5. Corriere JN, Jr., Sandler CM. Diagnosis and management of bladder injuries. *Urol Clin North Am.* 2006;33(1):67-71, vi.
6. Cass AS. Diagnostic studies in bladder rupture. Indications and techniques. *Urol Clin North Am.* 1989;16(2):267-273.
7. Sezhian N, Rimal D, Suresh G. Isolated intraperitoneal bladder rupture following minor trauma after alcohol ingestion. *South Med J.* 2005;98(5):573-574.
8. Schneider RE. Genitourinary trauma. *Emerg Med Clin North Am.* 1993;11(1):137-145.

9. Brewer ME, Wilmoth RJ, Enderson BL, Daley BJ. Prospective comparison of microscopic and gross hematuria as predictors of bladder injury in blunt trauma. *Urology*. 2007;69(6):1086-1089.
10. Fuhrman GM, Simmons GT, Davidson BS, Buerk CA. The single indication for cystography in blunt trauma. *Am Surg*. 1993;59(6):335-337.
11. Hochberg E, Stone NN. Bladder rupture associated with pelvic fracture due to blunt trauma. *Urology*. 1993;41(6):531-533.
12. Gomez RG, Ceballos L, Coburn M, et al. Consensus statement on bladder injuries. *BJU Int*. 2004;94(1):27-32.
13. Shin SS, Jeong YY, Chung TW, et al. The sentinel clot sign: a useful CT finding for the evaluation of intraperitoneal bladder rupture following blunt trauma. *Korean J Radiol*. 2007;8(6):492-497.
14. Sandler CM, Hall JT, Rodriguez MB, Corriere JN, Jr. Bladder injury in blunt pelvic trauma. *Radiology*. 1986;158(3):633-638.
15. Wirth GJ, Peter R, Poletti PA, Iselin CE. Advances in the management of blunt traumatic bladder rupture: experience with 36 cases. *BJU Int*. 2010;106(9):1344-1349.
16. Vaccaro JP, Brody JM. CT cystography in the evaluation of major bladder trauma. *Radiographics*. 2000;20(5):1373-1381.
17. Chapple CR. Urethral injury. *BJU Int*. 2000;86(3):318-326.
18. Hemal AK, Dorairajan LN, Gupta NP. Posttraumatic complete and partial loss of urethra with pelvic fracture in girls: an appraisal of management. *J Urol*. 2000;163(1):282-287.
19. Venn SN, Greenwell TJ, Mundy AR. Pelvic fracture injuries of the female urethra. *BJU Int*. 1999;83(6):626-630.
20. Ingram MD, Watson SG, Skippage PL, Patel U. Urethral injuries after pelvic trauma: evaluation with urethrography. *Radiographics*. 2008;28(6):1631-1643.
21. Colapinto V, McCallum RW. Injury to the male posterior urethra in fractured pelvis: a new classification. *J Urol*. 1977;118(4):575-580.
22. Goldman SM, Sandler CM, Corriere JN, Jr., McGuire EJ. Blunt urethral trauma: a unified, anatomical mechanical classification. *J Urol*. 1997;157(1):85-89.
23. Sandler CM, Goldman SM, Kawashima A. Lower urinary tract trauma. *World J Urol*. 1998;16(1):69-75.
24. Mundy AR, Andrich DE. Pelvic fracture-related injuries of the bladder neck and prostate: their nature, cause and management. *BJU Int*. 2010;105(9):1302-1308.
25. Corriere JN, Jr., Sandler CM. Management of the ruptured bladder: seven years of experience with 111 cases. *J Trauma*. 1986;26(9):830-833.
26. Sandler CM, McCallum RW. Injuries of the urethra. In: *Clinical Urology*. Pollack HW, ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders Company. Volume 2:1522-1534.
27. Stine RJ, Avila JA, Lemons MF, Sickorez GJ. Diagnostic and therapeutic urologic procedures. *Emerg Med Clin North Am*. 1988;6(3):547-578.
28. Baniel J, Schein M. The management of penetrating trauma to the urinary tract. *J Am Coll Surg*. 1994;178(4):417-425.
29. Corriere JN, Jr., Sandler CM. Management of extraperitoneal bladder rupture. *Urol Clin North Am*. 1989;16(2):275-277.
30. Rehm CG, Mure AJ, O'Malley KF, Ross SE. Blunt traumatic bladder rupture: the role of retrograde cystogram. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1991;20(8):845-847.
31. Morey AF, Iverson AJ, Swan A, et al. Bladder rupture after blunt trauma: guidelines for diagnostic imaging. *J Trauma*. 2001;51(4):683-686.
32. Carroll PR, McAninch JW. Major bladder trauma: the accuracy of cystography. *J Urol*. 1983;130(5):887-888.
33. Bigongiari LR, Zarnow H. Traumatic, inflammatory, neoplastic and miscellaneous lesions of the bladder. In: *Medical radiology of the lower urinary tract*. Lang EK ed. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. 1994:70-147.
34. Festini G, Gregorutti S, Reina G, Bellis GB. Isolated intraperitoneal bladder rupture in patients with alcohol intoxication and minor abdominal trauma. *Ann Emerg Med*. 1991;20(12):1371-1372.
35. MacMahon R, Hosking D, Ramsey EW. Management of blunt injury to the lower urinary tract. *Can J Surg*. 1983;26(5):415-418.
36. Bonavita JA, Pollack HM. Trauma of the adult bladder and urethra. *Semin Roentgenol*. 1983;18(4):299-306.
37. Werkman HA, Jansen C, Klein JP, Ten Duis HJ. Urinary tract injuries in multiply-injured patients: a rational guideline for the initial assessment. *Injury*. 1991;22(6):471-474.

38. Goletti O, Ghiselli G, Lippolis PV, et al. The role of ultrasonography in blunt abdominal trauma: results in 250 consecutive cases. *J Trauma*. 1994;36(2):178-181.
39. Farahmand N, Sirlin CB, Brown MA, et al. Hypotensive patients with blunt abdominal trauma: performance of screening US. *Radiology*. 2005;235(2):436-443.
40. Shenfeld OZ, Gnessin E. Management of urogenital trauma: state of the art. *Curr Opin Urol*. 2011;21(6):449-454.
41. Power N, Ryan S, Hamilton P. Computed tomographic cystography in bladder trauma: pictorial essay. *Can Assoc Radiol J*. 2004;55(5):304-308.
42. Chan DP, Abujudeh HH, Cushing GL, Jr., Novelline RA. CT cystography with multiplanar reformation for suspected bladder rupture: experience in 234 cases. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2006;187(5):1296-1302.
43. Deck AJ, Shaves S, Talner L, Porter JR. Computerized tomography cystography for the diagnosis of traumatic bladder rupture. *J Urol*. 2000;164(1):43-46.
44. Deck AJ, Shaves S, Talner L, Porter JR. Current experience with computed tomographic cystography and blunt trauma. *World J Surg*. 2001;25(12):1592-1596.
45. Mee SL, McAninch JW, Federle MP. Computerized tomography in bladder rupture: diagnostic limitations. *J Urol*. 1987;137(2):207-209.
46. Pao DM, Ellis JH, Cohan RH, Korobkin M. Utility of routine trauma CT in the detection of bladder rupture. *Acad Radiol*. 2000;7(5):317-324.
47. Hsieh CH, Chen RJ, Fang JF, et al. Diagnosis and management of bladder injury by trauma surgeons. *Am J Surg*. 2002;184(2):143-147.
48. Horstman WG, McClennan BL, Heiken JP. Comparison of computed tomography and conventional cystography for detection of traumatic bladder rupture. *Urol Radiol*. 1991;12(4):188-193.
49. Lis LE, Cohen AJ. CT cystography in the evaluation of bladder trauma. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 1990;14(3):386-389.
50. Quagliano PV, Delair SM, Malhotra AK. Diagnosis of blunt bladder injury: A prospective comparative study of computed tomography cystography and conventional retrograde cystography. *J Trauma*. 2006;61(2):410-421; discussion 421-412.
51. Ali M, Safriel Y, Sclafani SJ, Schulze R. CT signs of urethral injury. *Radiographics*. 2003;23(4):951-963; discussion 963-956.
52. Chou CP, Huang JS, Wu MT, et al. CT voiding urethrography and virtual urethroscopy: preliminary study with 16-MDCT. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2005;184(6):1882-1888.
53. Kim B, Kawashima A, LeRoy AJ. Imaging of the male urethra. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR*. 2007;28(4):258-273.
54. Koraitim MM, Reda IS. Role of magnetic resonance imaging in assessment of posterior urethral distraction defects. *Urology*. 2007;70(3):403-406.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.