

## Literature Search

### ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Ductal Carcinoma in Situ

Literature Search Performed on: 7/24/2013

Beginning Date: January 2010

End Date: June 2013

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) without Revisions <1996 to July Week 2 2013>

Search Strategy:

- 
- 1 \*Breast Neoplasms/ (110123)
  - 2 \*Carcinoma, Intraductal, Noninfiltrating/ (2362)
  - 3 1 and 2 (2195)
  - 4 van nuys prognostic index.mp. (35)
  - 5 Radiotherapy/ (14301)
  - 6 Mastectomy/ (6921)
  - 7 Magnetic Resonance Imaging/ (219217)
  - 8 Sentinel Lymph Node Biopsy/ (7337)
  - 9 breast conservation therapy.mp. (454)
  - 10 Neoplasm Recurrence, Local/ (49148)
  - 11 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 (290309)
  - 12 3 and 11 (816)
  - 13 limit 12 to (guideline or meta analysis or practice guideline) (15)
  - 14 limit 12 to "all adult (19 plus years)" (575)
  - 15 13 or 14 (585)
  - 16 limit 15 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2010 -Current") (197)
  - 17 limit 16 to case reports (9)
  - 18 16 not 17 (188)

---

#### Notes:

\* = focus (limits search to those documents in which the subject heading is considered the major point of the article)

.mp = multi-purpose (retrieves results that have this keyword in several fields)

---

#### Literature Search Summary

Of the 52 citations in the original bibliography, 38 were retained in the final document. Articles were removed from the original bibliography if they were more than 10 years old and did not contribute to the evidence or they were no longer cited in the revised narrative text.

A new literature search was conducted in July 2013 to identify additional evidence published since the *ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Ductal Carcinoma in Situ* topic was finalized. Using the search strategy described above, 188 articles were found. Eight articles were added to the bibliography. One hundred and eighty articles were not used due to either poor study design, the articles were not relevant or generalizable to the topic, the results were unclear, misinterpreted, or biased, or the articles were already cited in the original bibliography.

The author added 31 citations not found in the literature search from bibliographies, websites, or books.