

**American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

FOLLOW-UP OF HODGKIN LYMPHOMA

Expert Panel on Radiation Oncology — Hodgkin Lymphoma: Chul S. Ha, MD¹; David C. Hodgson, MD²; Ranjana Advani, MD³; Bouthaina S. Dabaja, MD⁴; Sughosh Dhakal, MD⁵; Christopher R. Flowers, MD, MS⁶; Bradford S. Hoppe, MD, MPH⁷; Nancy P. Mendenhall, MD⁸; Monika L. Metzger, MD⁹; John P. Plataras, MD, PhD¹⁰; Kenneth B. Roberts, MD¹¹; Ronald Shapiro, MD¹²; Sonali Smith, MD¹³; Stephanie A. Terezakis, MD¹⁴; Karen M. Winkfield, MD, PhD¹⁵; Anas Younes, MD¹⁶; Louis S. Constine, MD.¹⁷

Summary of Literature Review

Introduction/Background

Routine follow-up evaluation of patients after treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma serves several functions. Detection of relapse is the most important in the first 5 years after treatment. Beyond 5 years, the focus is on monitoring for late effects of therapy. The treatment of Hodgkin lymphoma has evolved greatly over the past few decades and continues to decrease toxicity of treatment while maintaining and improving a high cure rate. With diminishing use of alkylating agents and radiation therapy, the profile of late toxicity, such as second malignancy and radiation-induced organ damage, will continue to improve [1,2].

Detection of Relapse

Hodgkin lymphoma remains the main cause of patient death during the first 10–15 years of follow-up [3-5]. Routine follow-up studies are used to detect relapsed disease so that salvage therapy can be instituted in a timely manner.

During the first 5 years of follow-up the focus is placed on disease surveillance, as recurrences are seen most often in this time period [3-7]. In addition to interim history and physical examination (PE), radiographic tests that have been advocated include chest radiography (CXR), computed tomography (CT), and functional imaging, including positron emission tomography (PET). Blood work commonly performed includes complete blood count (CBC), erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), lactate dehydrogenase, and chemistry panel (CHEM).

History and Physical Examination

Interim history appears to be a valuable follow-up tool in detecting relapse of Hodgkin lymphoma. In their review of the early-stage Hodgkin lymphoma patients treated at Stanford, Torrey et al [5] found that 55% (59 of 107) of the relapses were discovered on the basis of the history, with the most commonly reported symptom being a new lump, followed by constitutional symptoms (fever, night sweats, weight loss) and pain. In a series from Christie Hospital in Manchester, England, Radford et al [4] found that 81% (30 of 37) of relapses were diagnosed in patients who reported symptoms, with the most common symptoms being a new lump, followed by cough, night sweats, and weight loss. Dryver et al [6] from Canada found that 45% (10 of 22) of relapses stemmed from patient concerns and 18% (4 of 22) from physician concerns. PE also plays an important role. In the Stanford series, 14% (15 of 107) of the relapses were detected by PE. In the Manchester series, 5% (2 of 37) of relapses were detected by PE. In a multi-institutional pediatric series of 64 relapsed patients, 60% were diagnosed at a routine visit, and patient complaint was the most common initial finding in 47% [8].

¹Principal Author, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas. ²Panel Vice-chair, Princess Margaret Hospital, Toronto, Ontario, Canada. ³Stanford Cancer Center, Stanford, California, American Society of Clinical Oncology. ⁴University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas. ⁵University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York. ⁶Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, American Society of Clinical Oncology. ⁷University of Florida Proton Therapy Institute, Jacksonville, Florida. ⁸University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida. ⁹St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee, American Society of Clinical Oncology. ¹⁰University of Pennsylvania Health System, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. ¹¹Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut. ¹²Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, Indiana. ¹³University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, American Society of Hematology. ¹⁴Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center at Johns Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland. ¹⁵Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. ¹⁶MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, Texas, American Society of Clinical Oncology. ¹⁷Panel Chair, University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, New York.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: Department of Quality & Safety, American College of Radiology, 1891 Preston White Drive, Reston, VA 20191-4397.

Chest Radiography

CXR is also useful in detecting recurrence of Hodgkin lymphoma, though it assumes a progressively less important role with more common utilization of CT scans of the chest. In the Stanford series, 23% (24 of 107) of relapses were detected by CXR. In the Manchester series, 5% (2 of 37) of relapses were detected by CXR. In the series from Canada, 18% (4 of 22) of relapses were detected by CXR.

Blood Work

Limited data are available on the role of routine blood work in detecting relapses. In the Stanford series [5], only one relapse was detected by an elevated ESR. CBC, CHEM, and serum copper did not detect any relapse. In the series from Canada [6], abnormal laboratory findings picked up the same number of relapses as CT scans (2 of 22, 9%) though at a lower cost. No relapse was detected by laboratory abnormality alone in the series by Friedmann et al [8].

Computed Tomography

CT scan is routinely included in the follow-up of Hodgkin lymphoma patients. A study from University of Pennsylvania that included 40 patients with relapsed lymphoma (23 were Hodgkin lymphoma), 22 (55%) relapses were detected with surveillance imaging, and 18 (45%) were detected by clinical findings [9]. Whether early radiographic detection of asymptomatic relapses has an impact on survival, however, is unknown. Basciano et al [10] from Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center identified 94 patients with relapsed Hodgkin lymphoma and determined that in 36 patients (38%) the relapses were detected by asymptomatic surveillance scans, and in 58 patients (62%) the relapses were diagnosed based on clinical symptoms or findings. The prognostic risk group distribution at relapse was comparable between the two groups. Furthermore, at a median follow-up of 7.4 years, there were no significant differences in 5-year failure-free survival (58.4% vs 59.3%, $P=.9$) and overall survival (62.4% vs 73.3%, $P=.6$) between patients with asymptomatic relapses detected by surveillance scans and those with clinically evident relapses. This finding was also confirmed in the pediatric series of Friedmann et al [8] where 36% of relapses were identified by imaging studies with no impact in survival compared to all others. A cost-effectiveness analysis using Markov modeling techniques questioned the cost-effectiveness of annual CT follow-up and showed that, with adjustment for quality of life, annual CT in early-stage patients is associated with a decrease in quality-adjusted life expectancy [11].

A retrospective review of 25 relapsed patients out of 216 who were treated on multicenter Pediatric Oncology Group 9425 trial for Hodgkin lymphoma was performed by Voss et al [12] to study the contribution of surveillance CT compared with clinical findings to detect recurrence. They found that 76% of relapses were detected based on symptoms, lab, or PE findings, and only 16% of relapses were detected exclusively by surveillance imaging after the first year. They came to a similar conclusion reached by the previously quoted authors that CT is overused for routine surveillance of patients.

Positron Emission Tomography

Functional imaging studies, in particular PET, are increasingly performed as part of follow-up. Most of the initial studies focused on the effectiveness of post-therapy functional imaging in predicting relapses, showing that PET has a higher specificity than CT in predicting relapses [13,14]. The superior specificity of PET compared with conventional imaging methods in these studies reflects the ability of PET to detect active disease in abnormal residual masses on CT after treatment. These results suggest that PET may be a useful test for baseline evaluation after first-line therapy and can help identify patients with active residual disease in whom further therapy may be needed. A cost-effectiveness analysis based on 50 patients with unconfirmed complete remission (CRu) or partial response (PR) after first-line therapy showed that the performance of PET in these patients saves costs by limiting the number of patients requiring biopsies, although the comparison may be biased since the assumption was that all patients in CRu/PR will undergo a biopsy if PET is not performed [15].

Limited data are available for addressing the utility of PET as part of routine follow-up imaging. In the revised response criteria for malignant lymphoma published in 2007, it was stated that there were insufficient data at that time to recommend PET as routine follow-up in lymphoma patients [16]. More recent studies evaluated the use of surveillance PET after an initial negative post-treatment PET and yielded somewhat conflicting results. Zinzani et al [7] prospectively followed 421 patients (160 with Hodgkin lymphoma) who had a negative post-treatment baseline PET. Patients underwent PET scans every 6 months for the first 2 years and then annually after 2 years. In the 160 Hodgkin lymphoma patients, 51 relapses were detected at a mean follow-up of 41 months (41 based on positive PET, 11 inconclusive on PET). Fourteen (27%) of the relapses were missed by CT, and 16 (31%) were missed by clinical signs or symptoms. The findings led the authors to conclude that PET is a valid tool for follow-

up of these patients. Of note, in this study the detected relapses were mostly in the 35 patients with unfavorable disease, defined as having positive PET findings after 2 cycles of chemotherapy. Twenty-six (74%) of these patients relapsed (12, 12, and 2 relapses picked up at the 6-month, 12-month, and 18-month scan, respectively), suggesting that PET follow-up may be of greater value in these high-risk patients. In a study by Mocikova et al [17] in 94 Hodgkin lymphoma patients with negative PET findings after therapy, 155 regular follow-up PET scans were carried out in 67 patients, the timing of which was based on the physician's decision. There were 18 cases of positive PET findings, 6 of which confirmed malignancies (5 Hodgkin lymphoma relapses and one lung cancer). The finding that regular follow-up PET scans correctly identified tumor in only 6 (3.9%) of the 155 PET studies led the authors to conclude that regular follow-up with PET scans in PET-negative patients at the end of therapy is not indicated. However, in patients with clinical findings suspicious for relapse, PET scan may be of value. El-Galaly et al [18] came to a similar conclusion. They performed a retrospective review of 211 routine and 88 clinically indicated PET/CT scans for 161 patients status/post at least partial remission on first-line therapy for Hodgkin lymphoma to study the utility of PET/CT in these situations. The positive predictive value (PPV) and false-positive rate of routine PET/CT were 22% and 17%, respectively. PPV and false-positive rate of clinically indicated PET/CT were 37% and 22%, respectively. They concluded that high cost and low PPV makes routine PET/CT unsuitable for routine surveillance of patients with Hodgkin lymphoma.

In a combined retrospective analysis from the Massachusetts General Hospital and Dana-Farber Cancer Institute by Lee et al [19] 192 patients were followed after complete response (CR) to treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma. A total of 474 PET/CT scans and 321 CT scans were performed. The PPV of PET/CT and CT scans for recurrent disease and secondary malignancy were 22.9% and 28.6%, respectively. Their respective false-positive rates were 7.8% and 3.1% ($P=.009$). The cost of detecting a single event was approximately \$100,000. Given the higher false-positive rate of PET scans, they have adopted the use of surveillance CT instead of PET/CT to follow patients in first remission from Hodgkin lymphoma.

Investigators from Stanford University reported on their experience with surveillance PET/CT in 113 Hodgkin lymphoma patients after a CR to primary therapy [20]. A total of 326 surveillance PET/CT scans were performed within the first 5 years after treatment. Among the 30 positive scans, 14 were true positives, yielding a PPV of only 47% and an overall recurrence detection rate with PET/CT of 4%. Moreover, 86% of the relapses occurred in the first year. Although 75% of the PET/CT-detected relapses were in asymptomatic patients, the impact of early detection of asymptomatic relapse on salvage outcome is not clear. These data therefore also do not support the routine use of PET/CT surveillance, especially beyond the first year.

Petrausch et al [21] performed a retrospective review of 134 patients who were followed up after CR or CRu to treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma to study the impact of PET/CT during follow-up. They found PET/CT had PPV of 0.98. However, the false-positive rate was not stated. The PPV was very different from that by Lee et al, probably due to a different patient population. Not all patients had PET at the end of the first-line treatment in Petrausch's study and they probably received referral for PET/CT due to suspected recurrence by treating physicians. They recommended PET/CT for certain subgroups of patients such as those with clinical signs of recurrence, those with morphological residual mass within first 24 months, and those with advanced initial stage based on multivariate analysis. (See [Variant 1](#), [Variant 2](#), and [Variant 3](#).)

Detection of Second Malignancies

Numerous studies have demonstrated that patients who survive Hodgkin lymphoma are at increased risk for second neoplasms. Solid tumors comprise the majority of cases of second malignancies, with the most common ones being breast cancer and lung cancer [22]. Swerdlow et al [23] reviewed all trial patients first treated in the British National Lymphoma Investigation and other hospitals to study the long-term risk of second cancer after treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma. The risk of second cancer peaked 5 to 9 years after chemotherapy alone but remained raised beyond 20–25 years after combined modality therapy. The risk of treatment-related myelodysplastic syndrome and acute myeloid leukemia has become negligible with diminished use of alkylating agents in the era of ABVD (adriamycin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine) [2].

Breast Cancer

Breast cancers after Hodgkin lymphoma typically occur after a long latency of 10–15 years. They are associated with young age at irradiation, and premature menopause has a protective effect. A significant radiation dose-response relationship has been demonstrated [24–26], and recent studies also showed a significant relationship between breast cancer risk and radiation field size [27,28].

Mammography has been shown to be an effective tool for screening even among these young women. In a study by Yahalom et al [29] 81% of 37 women with breast cancer after Hodgkin lymphoma had mammographic abnormalities of a mass and/or microcalcifications. Elkin et al [30] performed a matched cohort study to compare the characteristics and outcomes of breast cancer in women with (253 patients) and without (741 patients) history of radiation therapy for Hodgkin lymphoma and found that breast cancer after radiation therapy for Hodgkin lymphoma was more likely to be detected by screening and at an earlier stage and was more likely bilateral.

Diller et al [31] prospectively evaluated the utility of mammography in 90 female survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma. During the study period, 10 women developed 12 breast cancers, all of which were evident on mammography. The high frequency of mammographically detected abnormalities supports the value of mammographic screening in these patients. In a study on breast cancer after Hodgkin lymphoma, Wolden et al [32] found that the proportion of patients with early-stage breast cancer was higher in cases that were diagnosed after 1990, which may be due to the more frequent use of mammography screening in the subsequent era.

A study from Princess Margaret Hospital performed annual breast cancer surveillance, mostly with mammography, in 100 female survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma [33]. With 855 person-years of follow-up, 12 cases of breast cancer were diagnosed; 7 presented with palpable mass (4 had negative mammograms in the preceding 6–12 months, one had indeterminate mammogram findings, 2 had deferred screening); and 5 were detected by mammogram, 4 of which were ductal carcinoma in situ detected by calcifications. It was noted that 52% of screened women had moderate to extremely dense breast tissue and that these women were more likely to receive adjuvant breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI).

Similarly in a Stanford study [34] 99 mammograms of female Hodgkin lymphoma survivors were secondarily reviewed, and 60% were noted to have high-density breast tissue, which was also associated with a higher frequency of recall for further imaging.

Breast MRI has been shown to have a higher sensitivity than mammography in genetically predisposed women [35]. However, a recent prospective study by Ng et al performed both screening mammography and breast MRI in 134 women treated with mantle irradiation for Hodgkin lymphoma at age ≤ 35 who were >8 years beyond treatment. This study demonstrated that MRI was not more sensitive than mammograms for breast cancer detection in this patient population. Though the sensitivities of mammography and MRI by themselves were 68% and 67% respectively, combining the 2 modalities brought the sensitivity up to 94%, indicating that the 2 modalities complement each other. Most of the detected breast cancers in this fashion were early stage, which is important given the challenge of treatment in these patients with previous cancer treatment history [36]. The American Cancer Society recommends annual breast MRI as an adjunct to mammogram screening in women who received therapeutic radiation to the chest at age 30 or younger [37]. Similarly, in the ACR practice guideline for MRI of the breast, one of the indications for MRI screening included a history of mantle irradiation for Hodgkin lymphoma [38]. The ACR Appropriateness Criteria® “[Breast Cancer Screening](#)” also makes recommendations regarding the use of breast MRI in screening.

Lung Cancer

Lung cancer is another well-documented second malignancy after Hodgkin lymphoma. In addition to radiation therapy, prior chemotherapy exposure (alkylating agents in particular) significantly increases the lung cancer risk in a dose-dependent manner [39–41]. Several studies showed that tobacco use further adds to the risk of lung cancer after Hodgkin lymphoma [40–42]. In a case-control study by Travis et al [41], survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma who did not have more than 5 Gy of radiation exposure and had never been exposed to alkylating agents had an 8-fold less risk of lung cancer than survivors who had been treated with radiation therapy and alkylating agents. However, among those with the treatment exposures as well as tobacco exposure, there was a 49-fold increased risk, suggesting a multiplicative interaction between the alkylating agents and/or radiation with tobacco use. Unlike breast cancer, the prognosis of lung cancer after Hodgkin lymphoma is poor, with a median survival of only about 1 year [43]. Given the significantly increased risk of lung cancer after Hodgkin lymphoma, especially among smokers, and the associated poor prognosis, there may be a potential role for screening and early detection of lung cancer in high-risk survivors. Supporting this is a recent publication on the value of low-dose screening CT of the chest for patients at high risk of developing lung cancer [44]. It appears reasonable, based on this finding, to recommend a low-dose screening CT scan for the patients radiated to the chest for Hodgkin lymphoma. However, the ideal interval of screening is not established for these patients. The interval of screening will depend on patients’ other risk factors for developing lung cancer such as smoking history and

exposure to alkylating agents. A cost-effectiveness analysis suggested that annual low-dose chest CT screening may be a cost-effective strategy among survivors who are smokers [45].

A retrospective review of 2,567 patients treated for Hodgkin lymphoma (2,335 patients had radiation therapy) in 5 cancer centers/hospitals in The Netherlands found that the risk of mesothelioma was increased by almost 30 fold with radiation therapy compared to the general population, raising the awareness for secondary mesothelioma in this patient population [46].

Review of a population-based cancer registry from Ontario by Hodgson et al [47] revealed that surveillance imaging studies rarely lead to salvage therapy, and there was inadequate secondary cancer screening (eg, colorectal, breast, cervix) for these patients, despite the fact that there are established cancer screening interventions. The authors raise the question that patient and provider education regarding the value of different follow-up tests may improve the quality of follow-up care for these patients.

Detection of Nonmalignant Late Effects of Treatment

Cardiovascular Disease

A number of studies have shown that patients who have been cured of Hodgkin lymphoma are at a significantly increased risk of death from cardiac disease compared with the normal population [26,43,48,49]. A wide spectrum of radiation-induced cardiovascular disease has been identified in asymptomatic survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma, including pericardial disease, coronary artery disease, cardiomyopathy, valvular disease, arrhythmia, and autonomic dysfunction [50].

The major contributor to the excess risk of cardiac mortality after Hodgkin lymphoma is coronary artery disease, accounting for two-thirds of all cases of fatal cardiac events in survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma. The main risk factor is mediastinal irradiation, and a significant dose-response relationship has been shown [51-53]. Presence of other traditional cardiac risk factors further increases the risk of cardiovascular disease after Hodgkin lymphoma [51,53,54]. There may be a role for screening for and treatment of modifiable cardiac risk factors. An analysis by Chen et al [55] suggests that lipid screening every 3 years would be the most cost-effective strategy in this population.

The Stanford group prospectively evaluated the role of cardiac screening in asymptomatic Hodgkin lymphoma survivors with history of mediastinal irradiation. A total of 294 patients at a median of 15 years post-treatment were included. In the first publication of the study, the prevalence of valvular abnormalities was found to increase significantly with increasing follow-up time, most of which were rarely picked up by auscultation [56]. Based on the findings, it was estimated that the number of echocardiography screenings needed to identify a candidate for endocarditis prophylaxis decreased dramatically with time following irradiation: 13 for patients at 2-10 years, 4 for those at 11-20 years, and 1.6 for those more than 20 years, suggesting that echocardiography screening may be beneficial, particularly in those who received their radiation treatment >10 years earlier. However, the optimal screening interval is unclear, and likely needs to be individualized based on treatment-related and host-related cardiac risk factors.

The same group subsequently reported on the high prevalence of diastolic dysfunction based on the screening [57], with increased incidence for those who are older, for those who have hypertension, diabetes, or wall motion abnormalities, and for those with a longer latency period from radiation treatment to screening. For patients who had completed radiation therapy 11-20 years earlier and >20 years earlier, 15% and 23%, respectively, had mild to moderate diastolic dysfunction. Furthermore, the presence of diastolic dysfunction was significantly associated with increased risk of deaths or events due to coronary artery disease. In the most recent report from the same group [58] 14% were found to have perfusion defects, impaired wall motion, or both on stress testing. Based on the results, 40 patients (14%) underwent coronary angiography. The angiography showed >50%, <50%, and no stenosis in 55%, 22.5%, and 22.5% of patients, respectively. As a result of the screening, 7 of these asymptomatic patients (2.4%) underwent bypass graft surgery. In addition, 23 patients (8%) subsequently developed coronary events during a median of 6.5 years of follow-up, including 10 cases of acute myocardial infarctions. Of note, the median dose to the mediastinum among patients included in this Stanford screening study was 44 Gy (range, 35-54.6 Gy), a dose that is considerably higher than those used in current practice.

In a prospective cardiac screening study by Adams et al [59] the incidence of asymptomatic cardiac disease in 48 survivors of childhood Hodgkin lymphoma was reported. The median age of the study population at the time of initial therapy was 16.5 years, and the median dose received was 40 Gy. The median follow-up time was 14.3

years. On echocardiogram, 42% were found to have significant valve defects, 75% had conduction defects, and 22% had echocardiographic changes suggestive of restrictive cardiomyopathy. Aortic regurgitation was found to be associated with a decreased physical component score (PCS) on the SF-36 test ($r = -.371$, $P=.011$). A decreased peak myocardial oxygen uptake during exercise ($VO_2\text{max}$), a predictor of mortality in heart failure, was associated with increased fatigue ($r = -.35$, $P=.02$), increased shortness of breath ($r = -.35$, $P=.02$) and decreased PCS ($r = .554$, $P=.00017$). These findings suggest that late effects of treatment can contribute to the increased fatigue level seen in long-term Hodgkin lymphoma survivors. In addition, in survivors with symptoms of fatigue, evaluation for underlying cardiac disease should be considered.

Machann et al [60] performed cardiac MRI in 31 20-year survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma treated with mediastinal radiation therapy (cobalt-60 mainly anterior technique) to assess the potential role of cardiac MRI after mediastinal radiation therapy and found up to 72% of these patients had cardiac pathology. Though these patients were treated with a mainly anterior technique utilizing cobalt-60 to a much higher dose than currently used, the authors raised the potential role of cardiac MRI in following patients after mediastinal radiation therapy for Hodgkin lymphoma.

Andersen et al [61] performed coronary artery calcium (CAC) scoring with CT to correlate with the presence of coronary artery disease in long-term (22 +/- 3 years) survivors (47 patients) of Hodgkin lymphoma after mediastinal radiation therapy. They found CAC score correlated with the presence of coronary artery disease and might be a simple and suitable method to screen for coronary artery disease.

Andersson et al [62] performed a retrospective review of Hodgkin lymphoma patients and their first-degree relatives through the Swedish Cancer Registry to identify stratifying risk factors for surveillance of cardiovascular side effects. The authors found that the risk of cardiovascular disease increased with young age at diagnosis, more than 10 years of follow-up and positive family cardiac history. They also describe a risk-based prospective surveillance strategy under the Swedish Hodgkin Intervention Prevention Study to optimize intervention models for these patients.

Noncoronary atherosclerotic disease has also been identified in long-term Hodgkin lymphoma survivors. In a childhood cancer survivor study, compared to siblings the relative risk of stroke in Hodgkin lymphoma survivors treated with mantle irradiation was 5.6 [63]. However, the absolute excess risk was only 109.8 per 100,000 person-years (or one case per 100 patients followed for 10 years). It was estimated that at least 982 carotid duplex screening ultrasound examinations need to be performed to prevent a stroke, and it was concluded that there was no role for such screening. Hull et al [53] found a significant dose-response relationship for noncoronary atherosclerotic disease in Hodgkin lymphoma survivors. The median doses to the low neck in patients with or without subclavian stenosis were 44 Gy and 36 Gy ($P=.002$), respectively. Smoking and diabetes were also found to be associated with an increased risk of noncoronary atherosclerotic disease. In a cohort study by De Bruin et al [64] Hodgkin lymphoma survivors at a median of 17.5 years out from treatment had a 2.2-fold increase in risk of stroke and a 3.1-fold increase in risk of transient ischemic attack compared to the normal population. However, similar to the National Cancer Institute's Childhood Cancer Survivor Study, the absolute excess risk was also low, at 9–12 per 10,000 person-years (0.9–1.2 cases per 100 patients followed for 10 years). Because of its rarity, it was also concluded that screening for carotid disease in this population is not indicated.

Thyroid Abnormalities

Irradiation to the upper mediastinum and low neck can result in thyroid abnormalities. An analysis of patients treated for Hodgkin lymphoma at Stanford demonstrated that the 20-year actuarial risk of thyroid abnormality was 50% [65], with 90% of the cases being hypothyroidism. Fifty-seven percent of patients with primary hypothyroidism had subclinical disease detected by an elevated serum thyroid-stimulating hormone level with a normal FT4 level. The greatest risk of hypothyroidism occurred during the first 5 years after treatment, but new cases continued to emerge beyond 20 years after Hodgkin lymphoma. In a study by Sklar et al [66] among pediatric Hodgkin lymphoma survivors, risk factors for the development of hypothyroidism included increasing radiation dose, older age at diagnosis, and female gender.

Pneumonitis

Acute radiation pneumonitis occurs in 3%–10% of patients after mediastinal irradiation [67–69]. Lung fibrosis as a late effect can result in chronic shortness of breath and contribute to fatigue symptoms in long-term survivors [70]. In the era of combined-modality therapy, the combination of bleomycin-based chemotherapy with mediastinal irradiation can further potentiate lung toxicity [71,72]. In a study that prospectively measured the

pulmonary function of Hodgkin lymphoma patients during and after bleomycin-based chemotherapy with or without mediastinal radiotherapy, persistently reduced percentage of predicted carbon monoxide diffusing capacity (%DLCO) at 1 year was significantly associated with radiation dose and a smoking history [68].

Reproductive Function

ABVD, the currently most widely accepted systemic therapy for Hodgkin lymphoma, does not appear to affect gonadal function [73,74]. However, the newer, more aggressive regimen—bleomycin, etoposide, doxorubicin, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone (BEACOPP) for patients with unfavorable or advanced-stage disease—is associated with a significant risk of continued amenorrhea in women and azoospermia in men [75,76]. In addition, for patients with infradiaphragmatic Hodgkin lymphoma, who comprise 5%–10% of all early-stage patients, radiation treatment to the pelvis may affect patients' reproductive function. Four to 6 Gy of fractionated radiation therapy to the testes will result in permanent azoospermia in most men. After 8 to 10 Gy of fractionated radiation therapy to the ovaries, most women will develop ovarian failure.

Other Late Effects

Other late effects of Hodgkin lymphoma treatment include immunosuppression, fatigue, psychological distress, and social maladaptation [77,78]. Awareness of the potential consequences of treatment is necessary for physicians conducting patient follow-up to detect problems at the earliest possible time. (See [Variant 4](#) and [Variant 5](#).)

Summary

- The main goals of follow-up studies for Hodgkin lymphoma patients are timely detection of recurrent disease for salvage therapy and monitoring of side effects of the treatment.
- The main focus of follow-up is recurrent disease in the first 5 years, as the majority of relapses occur in this time period. However, the focus shifts to late side effects beyond this time period.
- In general a majority of recurrences can be detected initially by history and physical examination rather than by routine imaging studies or blood tests such as ESR, CBC, and chemistry.
- Though routine surveillance CT scans can detect a proportion of recurrent diseases not detected by history and physical examination, their exact value in terms of life expectancy and cost-effectiveness is unclear. Some investigators believe that surveillance CT scans are currently overused.
- Though PET scan is a useful tool in defining a subset of patients who require additional therapy after completion of the initial therapy, the routine use of PET for surveillance is not recommended in general due to low positive predictive value, high false-positive rate, and unfavorable cost-effectiveness.
- Two of the most common secondary cancers after treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma are breast and lung cancers. Surveillance for these cancers needs to be individualized depending on the risk of their development. The incidence of breast cancer depends on multiple factors such as the age of the patient at treatment and volume and dose of breast radiation. Mammograms and MRI scans of the breasts appear to complement each other in certain high-risk patient populations. The incidence of lung cancers depends on factors such as the use of chemotherapy, alkylating agents in particular, and smoking. There appears to be a role for low-dose screening CT of the chest for patients at high risk for developing lung cancer.
- Some of the nonmalignant long-term sequelae of Hodgkin lymphoma treatment are cardiovascular disease, thyroid abnormalities, pneumonitis, reproductive dysfunction, etc. Follow-up strategies for these sequelae need to be individualized as their risks in general depend on the dose and volume of radiation to these organs, chemotherapy, age at treatment, and predisposing factors for each sequela.

Supporting Documents

- [ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Overview](#)
- [Evidence Table](#)

References

1. Canellos GP, Rosenberg SA, Friedberg JW, Lister TA, Devita VT. Treatment of Hodgkin lymphoma: a 50-year perspective. *J Clin Oncol*. 2014;32(3):163-168.
2. Townsend W, Linch D. Hodgkin's lymphoma in adults. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9844):836-847.

3. Jerusalem G, Beguin Y, Fassotte MF, et al. Early detection of relapse by whole-body positron emission tomography in the follow-up of patients with Hodgkin's disease. *Ann Oncol.* 2003;14(1):123-130.
4. Radford JA, Eardley A, Woodman C, Crowther D. Follow up policy after treatment for Hodgkin's disease: too many clinic visits and routine tests? A review of hospital records. *Bmj.* 1997;314(7077):343-346.
5. Torrey MJ, Poen JC, Hoppe RT. Detection of relapse in early-stage Hodgkin's disease: role of routine follow-up studies. *J Clin Oncol.* 1997;15(3):1123-1130.
6. Dryver ET, Jernstrom H, Tompkins K, Buckstein R, Imrie KR. Follow-up of patients with Hodgkin's disease following curative treatment: the routine CT scan is of little value. *Br J Cancer.* 2003;89(3):482-486.
7. Zinzani PL, Stefoni V, Tani M, et al. Role of [18F]fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography scan in the follow-up of lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(11):1781-1787.
8. Friedmann AM, Wolfson JA, Hudson MM, et al. Relapse after treatment of pediatric Hodgkin lymphoma: outcome and role of surveillance after end of therapy. *Pediatr Blood Cancer.* 2013;60(9):1458-1463.
9. Xavier MF, Schuster SJ, Andreadis C, Downs L, Diccione B, Nasta SD. Detection of Relapse in Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma (DLBCL) and Hodgkin's Lymphoma (HL): Observations and Implications for Post-Remission Radiologic Surveillance. *ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts.* 2006;108(11):2428.
10. Basciano BA, Moskowitz C, Zelenetz AD. Impact of Routine Surveillance Imaging on the Outcome of Patients with Relapsed Hodgkin Lymphoma. *ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts.* 2009;114(22):1558.
11. Guadagnolo BA, Punglia RS, Kuntz KM, Mauch PM, Ng AK. Cost-effectiveness analysis of computerized tomography in the routine follow-up of patients after primary treatment for Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol.* 2006;24(25):4116-4122.
12. Voss SD, Chen L, Constine LS, et al. Surveillance computed tomography imaging and detection of relapse in intermediate- and advanced-stage pediatric Hodgkin's lymphoma: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *J Clin Oncol.* 2012;30(21):2635-2640.
13. Hueltenschmidt B, Sautter-Bihl ML, Lang O, et al. Whole body positron emission tomography in the treatment of Hodgkin disease. *Cancer.* 2001;91(2):302-310.
14. Mikosch P, Gallowitsch HJ, Zinke-Cerwenka W, et al. Accuracy of whole-body 18F-FDP-PET for restaging malignant lymphoma. *Acta Med Austriaca.* 2003;30(2):41-47.
15. Cerci JJ, Trindade E, Pracchia LF, et al. Cost effectiveness of positron emission tomography in patients with Hodgkin's lymphoma in unconfirmed complete remission or partial remission after first-line therapy. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010;28(8):1415-1421.
16. Cheson BD. The International Harmonization Project for response criteria in lymphoma clinical trials. *Hematol Oncol Clin North Am.* 2007;21(5):841-854.
17. Mocikova H, Obrtlíkova P, Vackova B, Trnecny M. Positron emission tomography at the end of first-line therapy and during follow-up in patients with Hodgkin lymphoma: a retrospective study. *Ann Oncol.* 2010;21(6):1222-1227.
18. El-Galaly TC, Mylam KJ, Brown P, et al. Positron emission tomography/computed tomography surveillance in patients with Hodgkin lymphoma in first remission has a low positive predictive value and high costs. *Haematologica.* 2012;97(6):931-936.
19. Lee AI, Zuckerman DS, Van den Abbeele AD, et al. Surveillance imaging of Hodgkin lymphoma patients in first remission: a clinical and economic analysis. *Cancer.* 2010;116(16):3835-3842.
20. Maeda LS, Horning SJ, Iagaru AH, et al. Role of FDG-PET/CT Surveillance for Patients with Classical Hodgkin's Disease in First Complete Response: The Stanford University Experience. *ASH Annual Meeting Abstracts.* 2009;114(22):1563.
21. Petrausch U, Samaras P, Veit-Haibach P, et al. Hodgkin's lymphoma in remission after first-line therapy: which patients need FDG-PET/CT for follow-up? *Ann Oncol.* 2010;21(5):1053-1057.
22. Hodgson DC, Gilbert ES, Dores GM, et al. Long-term solid cancer risk among 5-year survivors of Hodgkin's lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(12):1489-1497.
23. Swerdlow AJ, Higgins CD, Smith P, et al. Second cancer risk after chemotherapy for Hodgkin's lymphoma: a collaborative British cohort study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(31):4096-4104.
24. Inskip PD, Robison LL, Stovall M, et al. Radiation dose and breast cancer risk in the childhood cancer survivor study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(24):3901-3907.
25. Travis LB, Hill DA, Dores GM, et al. Breast cancer following radiotherapy and chemotherapy among young women with Hodgkin disease. *Jama.* 2003;290(4):465-475.
26. van Leeuwen FE, Klokman WJ, Stovall M, et al. Roles of radiation dose, chemotherapy, and hormonal factors in breast cancer following Hodgkin's disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2003;95(13):971-980.

27. De Bruin ML, Sparidans J, van't Veer MB, et al. Breast cancer risk in female survivors of Hodgkin's lymphoma: lower risk after smaller radiation volumes. *J Clin Oncol.* 2009;27(26):4239-4246.
28. Franklin J, Pluetschow A, Paus M, et al. Second malignancy risk associated with treatment of Hodgkin's lymphoma: meta-analysis of the randomised trials. *Ann Oncol.* 2006;17(12):1749-1760.
29. Yahalom J, Petrek JA, Biddinger PW, et al. Breast cancer in patients irradiated for Hodgkin's disease: a clinical and pathologic analysis of 45 events in 37 patients. *J Clin Oncol.* 1992;10(11):1674-1681.
30. Elkin EB, Klem ML, Gonzales AM, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of breast cancer in women with and without a history of radiation for Hodgkin's lymphoma: a multi-institutional, matched cohort study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2011;29(18):2466-2473.
31. Diller L, Medeiros Nancarrow C, Shaffer K, et al. Breast cancer screening in women previously treated for Hodgkin's disease: a prospective cohort study. *J Clin Oncol.* 2002;20(8):2085-2091.
32. Wolden SL, Hancock SL, Carlson RW, Goffinet DR, Jeffrey SS, Hoppe RT. Management of breast cancer after Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18(4):765-772.
33. Lee L, Pintilie M, Hodgson DC, Goss PE, Crump M. Screening mammography for young women treated with supradiaphragmatic radiation for Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Ann Oncol.* 2008;19(1):62-67.
34. Kwong A, Hancock SL, Bloom JR, et al. Mammographic screening in women at increased risk of breast cancer after treatment of Hodgkin's disease. *Breast J.* 2008;14(1):39-48.
35. Warner E, Messersmith H, Causer P, Eisen A, Shumak R, Plewes D. Systematic review: using magnetic resonance imaging to screen women at high risk for breast cancer. *Ann Intern Med.* 2008;148(9):671-679.
36. Ng AK, Garber JE, Diller LR, et al. Prospective study of the efficacy of breast magnetic resonance imaging and mammographic screening in survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2013;31(18):2282-2288.
37. Saslow D, Boetes C, Burke W, et al. American Cancer Society guidelines for breast screening with MRI as an adjunct to mammography. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2007;57(2):75-89.
38. American College of Radiology. *ACR Practice Guideline for the Performance of Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) of the Breast.* 2008; Available at: http://www.acr.org/~media/ACR/Documents/PGTS/guidelines/MRI_Breast.pdf. Accessed 19 November 2012.
39. Swerdlow AJ, Barber JA, Hudson GV, et al. Risk of second malignancy after Hodgkin's disease in a collaborative British cohort: the relation to age at treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 2000;18(3):498-509.
40. Swerdlow AJ, Schoemaker MJ, Allerton R, et al. Lung cancer after Hodgkin's disease: a nested case-control study of the relation to treatment. *J Clin Oncol.* 2001;19(6):1610-1618.
41. Travis LB, Gospodarowicz M, Curtis RE, et al. Lung cancer following chemotherapy and radiotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2002;94(3):182-192.
42. van Leeuwen FE, Klokman WJ, Stovall M, et al. Roles of radiotherapy and smoking in lung cancer following Hodgkin's disease. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1995;87(20):1530-1537.
43. Ng AK, Bernardo MV, Weller E, et al. Second malignancy after Hodgkin disease treated with radiation therapy with or without chemotherapy: long-term risks and risk factors. *Blood.* 2002;100(6):1989-1996.
44. Kovalchik SA, Tammemagi M, Berg CD, et al. Targeting of low-dose CT screening according to the risk of lung-cancer death. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;369(3):245-254.
45. Das P, Ng AK, Earle CC, Mauch PM, Kuntz KM. Computed tomography screening for lung cancer in Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors: decision analysis and cost-effectiveness analysis. *Ann Oncol.* 2006;17(5):785-793.
46. De Bruin ML, Burgers JA, Baas P, et al. Malignant mesothelioma after radiation treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood.* 2009;113(16):3679-3681.
47. Hodgson DC, Grunfeld E, Gunraj N, Del Giudice L. A population-based study of follow-up care for Hodgkin lymphoma survivors: opportunities to improve surveillance for relapse and late effects. *Cancer.* 2010;116(14):3417-3425.
48. Eriksson F, Gagliardi G, Liedberg A, et al. Long-term cardiac mortality following radiation therapy for Hodgkin's disease: analysis with the relative seriality model. *Radiother Oncol.* 2000;55(2):153-162.
49. Hoppe RT. Hodgkin's disease: complications of therapy and excess mortality. *Ann Oncol.* 1997;8 Suppl 1:115-118.
50. Carver JR, Shapiro CL, Ng A, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical evidence review on the ongoing care of adult cancer survivors: cardiac and pulmonary late effects. *J Clin Oncol.* 2007;25(25):3991-4008.

51. Glanzmann C, Huguenin P, Lutolf UM, Maire R, Jenni R, Gumpfenberg V. Cardiac lesions after mediastinal irradiation for Hodgkin's disease. *Radiother Oncol*. 1994;30(1):43-54.
52. Hancock SL, Tucker MA, Hoppe RT. Factors affecting late mortality from heart disease after treatment of Hodgkin's disease. *Jama*. 1993;270(16):1949-1955.
53. Hull MC, Morris CG, Pepine CJ, Mendenhall NP. Valvular dysfunction and carotid, subclavian, and coronary artery disease in survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma treated with radiation therapy. *Jama*. 2003;290(21):2831-2837.
54. Aleman BM, van den Belt-Dusebout AW, De Bruin ML, et al. Late cardiotoxicity after treatment for Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood*. 2007;109(5):1878-1886.
55. Chen AB, Punglia RS, Kuntz KM, Mauch PM, Ng AK. Cost effectiveness and screening interval of lipid screening in Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(32):5383-5389.
56. Heidenreich PA, Hancock SL, Lee BK, Mariscal CS, Schnittger I. Asymptomatic cardiac disease following mediastinal irradiation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2003;42(4):743-749.
57. Heidenreich PA, Hancock SL, Vagelos RH, Lee BK, Schnittger I. Diastolic dysfunction after mediastinal irradiation. *Am Heart J*. 2005;150(5):977-982.
58. Heidenreich PA, Schnittger I, Strauss HW, et al. Screening for coronary artery disease after mediastinal irradiation for Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol*. 2007;25(1):43-49.
59. Adams MJ, Lipsitz SR, Colan SD, et al. Cardiovascular status in long-term survivors of Hodgkin's disease treated with chest radiotherapy. *J Clin Oncol*. 2004;22(15):3139-3148.
60. Machann W, Beer M, Breunig M, et al. Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging findings in 20-year survivors of mediastinal radiotherapy for Hodgkin's disease. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2011;79(4):1117-1123.
61. Andersen R, Wethal T, Gunther A, et al. Relation of coronary artery calcium score to premature coronary artery disease in survivors >15 years of Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Am J Cardiol*. 2010;105(2):149-152.
62. Andersson A, Naslund U, Tavelin B, Enblad G, Gustavsson A, Malmer B. Long-term risk of cardiovascular disease in Hodgkin lymphoma survivors--retrospective cohort analyses and a concept for prospective intervention. *Int J Cancer*. 2009;124(8):1914-1917.
63. Bowers DC, McNeil DE, Liu Y, et al. Stroke as a late treatment effect of Hodgkin's Disease: a report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(27):6508-6515.
64. De Bruin ML, Dorresteijn LD, van't Veer MB, et al. Increased risk of stroke and transient ischemic attack in 5-year survivors of Hodgkin lymphoma. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2009;101(13):928-937.
65. Hancock SL, Cox RS, McDougall IR. Thyroid diseases after treatment of Hodgkin's disease. *N Engl J Med*. 1991;325(9):599-605.
66. Sklar C, Whitton J, Mertens A, et al. Abnormalities of the thyroid in survivors of Hodgkin's disease: data from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 2000;85(9):3227-3232.
67. Koh ES, Sun A, Tran TH, et al. Clinical dose-volume histogram analysis in predicting radiation pneumonitis in Hodgkin's lymphoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2006;66(1):223-228.
68. Ng AK, Li S, Neuberg D, et al. A prospective study of pulmonary function in Hodgkin's lymphoma patients. *Ann Oncol*. 2008;19(10):1754-1758.
69. Tarbell NJ, Thompson L, Mauch P. Thoracic irradiation in Hodgkin's disease: disease control and long-term complications. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 1990;18(2):275-281.
70. Knobel H, Havard Loge J, Lund MB, Forfang K, Nome O, Kaasa S. Late medical complications and fatigue in Hodgkin's disease survivors. *J Clin Oncol*. 2001;19(13):3226-3233.
71. Hirsch A, Vander Els N, Straus DJ, et al. Effect of ABVD chemotherapy with and without mantle or mediastinal irradiation on pulmonary function and symptoms in early-stage Hodgkin's disease. *J Clin Oncol*. 1996;14(4):1297-1305.
72. Horning SJ, Adhikari A, Rizk N, Hoppe RT, Olshen RA. Effect of treatment for Hodgkin's disease on pulmonary function: results of a prospective study. *J Clin Oncol*. 1994;12(2):297-305.
73. Hodgson DC, Pintilie M, Gitterman L, et al. Fertility among female Hodgkin lymphoma survivors attempting pregnancy following ABVD chemotherapy. *Hematol Oncol*. 2007;25(1):11-15.
74. Kulkarni SS, Sastry PS, Saikia TK, Parikh PM, Gopal R, Advani SH. Gonadal function following ABVD therapy for Hodgkin's disease. *Am J Clin Oncol*. 1997;20(4):354-357.
75. Behringer K, Breuer K, Reineke T, et al. Secondary amenorrhea after Hodgkin's lymphoma is influenced by age at treatment, stage of disease, chemotherapy regimen, and the use of oral contraceptives during therapy: a report from the German Hodgkin's Lymphoma Study Group. *J Clin Oncol*. 2005;23(30):7555-7564.

76. Sieniawski M, Reineke T, Nogova L, et al. Fertility in male patients with advanced Hodgkin lymphoma treated with BEACOPP: a report of the German Hodgkin Study Group (GHSG). *Blood*. 2008;111(1):71-76.
77. Mauch P, Ng A, Aleman B, et al. Report from the Rockefeller Foundation Sponsored International Workshop on reducing mortality and improving quality of life in long-term survivors of Hodgkin's disease: July 9-16, 2003, Bellagio, Italy. *Eur J Haematol Suppl*. 2005(66):68-76.
78. Ng AK, Mauch PM. Late effects of Hodgkin's disease and its treatment. *Cancer J*. 2009;15(2):164-168.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.

Clinical Condition: Follow-up of Hodgkin Lymphoma

Variant 1: 22-year-old man with stage IIA supradiaphragmatic Hodgkin lymphoma (ESR 8), treated with ABVD × 4 (PET/CT after 2 cycles) followed by involved-field radiotherapy (IFRT), now 1 month after treatment.

Procedure	Rating	Comments
History and physical examination every 2–4 months for 2 years, then every 6 months for 3 years, then yearly	9	
X-ray chest every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	6	Consider this procedure unless a chest CT is performed.
CT chest abdomen and pelvis every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	7	Consider that the frequency of relapse diminishes after 2 years.
FDG-PET/CT whole body every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	2	
FDG-PET/CT whole body at 6 months – if negative then CT chest abdomen and pelvis every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	3	This procedure will likely have a low yield given negative PET/CT after 2 cycles of ABVD.
Laboratory Tests		
CBC 1–2 times per year	7	
Chemistry panel 1–2 times per year	5	
Thyroid panel yearly	8	Consider this test if neck is included in RT field.
ESR 1–2 times per year	5	
Patient Education and Counseling		
Increased long-term risk of second malignancy and cardiac disease	8	
Regular exercise	9	
Healthy diet	9	
Smoking cessation if current smoker	9	
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate		

Clinical Condition: Follow-up of Hodgkin Lymphoma

Variant 2: 28-year-old woman with stage IIBX supradiaphragmatic Hodgkin lymphoma (ESR 30), treated with ABVD × 6 (residual PET avidity after 2 cycles of ABVD, avidity resolved after 6 cycles of ABVD) followed by IFRT, now 1 month after treatment.

Procedure	Rating	Comments
History and physical examination every 2–4 months for 2 years, then every 6 months for 3 years, then yearly	9	
X-ray chest every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly	6	Consider this procedure unless a chest CT is performed.
CT chest abdomen and pelvis every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	8	
FDG-PET/CT whole body every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	2	
FDG-PET/CT whole body at 6 months – if negative then CT chest abdomen and pelvis every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	5	
Laboratory Tests		
CBC 1–2 times per year	8	
Chemistry panel 1–2 times per year	5	
Thyroid panel yearly	8	Consider this test if neck is included in RT field.
ESR 1–2 times per year	7	
Patient Education and Counseling		
Increased long-term risk of second malignancy and cardiac disease	9	
Monthly self-breast examination	9	
Regular exercise	9	
Healthy diet	9	
Smoking cessation if current smoker	9	
<u>Rating Scale:</u> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate		

Clinical Condition: Follow-up of Hodgkin Lymphoma**Variant 3:** 68-year-old man with stage IA Hodgkin lymphoma with right cervical involvement (ESR 12), treated with ABVD × 4 (PET/CT after 4 cycles) followed by IFRT, now 1 month after treatment.

Procedure	Rating	Comments
History and physical examination every 2–4 months for 2 years, then every 6 months for 3 years, then yearly	9	
X-ray chest every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly	6	Consider this procedure unless a chest CT is performed.
CT neck chest abdomen and pelvis every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	7	
FDG-PET/CT whole body every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	2	
FDG-PET/CT whole body at 6 months – if negative then CT chest abdomen and pelvis every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	3	
Laboratory Tests		
CBC 1–2 times per year	7	
Chemistry panel 1–2 times per year	5	
Thyroid panel yearly	8	
ESR 1–2 times per year	5	
Patient Education and Counseling		
Increased long-term risk of second malignancy and cardiac disease	8	
Regular exercise	9	
Healthy diet	9	
Smoking cessation if current smoker	9	
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate		

Clinical Condition: Follow-up of Hodgkin Lymphoma

Variant 4: 32-year-old woman with history of stage IIA Hodgkin lymphoma with left neck and mediastinal involvement, treated with ABVD × 4 followed by IFRT completing treatment 5 years ago.

Procedure	Rating	Comments
History and physical examination yearly	9	Include thyroid examination and breast examination.
X-ray chest yearly	5	Consider this procedure unless a chest CT is performed.
CT chest abdomen and pelvis yearly	3	
Screening exercise tolerance test and echocardiogram only if symptomatic	6	Screening intervals depend on mediastinal irradiation, adriamycin dose, other risk factors, and findings at the baseline screening.
Screening exercise tolerance test and echocardiogram beginning 5 years after treatment	5	Screening intervals depend on mediastinal irradiation, adriamycin dose, other cardiac risk factors and findings at the baseline screening.
Screening exercise tolerance test and echocardiogram beginning 10 years after treatment	6	Screening intervals depend on mediastinal irradiation, adriamycin dose, other cardiac risk factors and findings at the baseline screening.
Mammography yearly beginning 8–10 years after treatment	8	
Mammography and MRI breast yearly beginning 8–10 years after treatment	7	
CT chest yearly beginning 5 years after treatment	2	Consider this procedure for lung cancer screening.
CT chest yearly beginning 5 years after treatment only if smoker or smoking history	6	
Laboratory Tests		
CBC yearly	5	
Chemistry panel yearly	5	
Thyroid panel yearly	8	
Lipid profile every 1–3 years	8	
Patient Education and Counseling		
Increased long-term risk of second malignancy and cardiac disease	9	
Monthly self-breast examination	7	
Regular exercise	9	
Healthy diet	9	
Smoking cessation if current smoker	9	
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate		

Clinical Condition: Follow-up of Hodgkin Lymphoma

Variant 5: 40-year-old man with stage IIIB NS Hodgkin lymphoma involving right neck, mediastinum and para-aortic lymph nodes and ESR of 15 who achieved complete response to ABVD × 6 without radiation therapy per PET/CT, now 3 months after treatment.

Procedure	Rating	Comments
History and physical examination every 2–4 months for 2 years, then every 6 months for 3 years, then yearly	9	
CT neck chest abdomen and pelvis every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	7	
CT neck chest abdomen and pelvis yearly for years 3–5	4	
FDG-PET/CT whole body every 6 months for 2 years, then yearly for 3 years	3	
Laboratory Tests		
CBC 1–2 times per year	1	
Chemistry panel 1–2 times per year	1	
Thyroid panel yearly	1	
ESR 1–2 times per year	3	
Patient Education and Counseling		
Increased long-term risk of second malignancy and cardiac disease	9	
Regular exercise	9	
Healthy diet	9	
Smoking cessation if current smoker	9	
Rating Scale: 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate		