

**American College of Radiology  
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®  
Pulsatile Abdominal Mass, Suspected Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm**

**Variant 1: Pulsatile abdominal mass, suspected abdominal aortic aneurysm.**

<b>Radiologic Procedure</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>RRL*</b>
US aorta abdomen	9		O
CTA abdomen with IV contrast	8		☼ ☼ ☼
MRA abdomen without and with IV contrast	8		O
CT abdomen without IV contrast	7		☼ ☼ ☼
CT abdomen with IV contrast	7		☼ ☼ ☼
CT abdomen without and with IV contrast	7		☼ ☼ ☼ ☼
MRA abdomen without IV contrast	7		O
Aortography abdomen	4		☼ ☼ ☼
FDG-PET/CT abdomen	2		☼ ☼ ☼ ☼
<b><u>Rating Scale:</u> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate</b>			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

# PULSATILE ABDOMINAL MASS, SUSPECTED ABDOMINAL AORTIC ANEURYSM

Expert Panel on Vascular Imaging: Stephen P. Reis, MD<sup>1</sup>; Bill S. Majdalany, MD<sup>2</sup>; Ali F. AbuRahma, MD<sup>3</sup>; Jeremy D. Collins, MD<sup>4</sup>; Christopher J. Francois, MD<sup>5</sup>; Suvaranu Ganguli, MD<sup>6</sup>; Heather L. Gornik, MD<sup>7</sup>; A. Tuba Kendi, MD<sup>8</sup>; Minhajuddin S. Khaja, MD, MBA<sup>9</sup>; Patrick T. Norton, MD<sup>10</sup>; Patrick D. Sutphin, MD, PhD<sup>11</sup>; Frank J. Rybicki, MD, PhD<sup>12</sup>; Sanjeeva P. Kalva, MD.<sup>13</sup>

## Summary of Literature Review

### **Introduction/Background**

Clinical palpation of a pulsating abdominal mass alerts the clinician to the presence of a possible abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA), a common vascular disorder seen in older individuals, more commonly in male patients with a history of hypertension and smoking [1-3]. However, the finding of a pulsatile abdominal mass can also be caused by a tortuous abdominal aorta or transmitted pulsations from the aorta to a nonvascular mass [4].

Generally, an arterial aneurysm is defined as a localized arterial dilatation  $\geq 50\%$  of the normal diameter. The term *ectasia* is applied to arterial dilatations  $< 50\%$  of the expected normal diameter. The normal dimension of the infrarenal abdominal aorta is up to 2 cm in the anteroposterior (AP) diameter. Thus, the infrarenal abdominal aorta is considered aneurysmal if it is  $\geq 3$  cm in diameter or ectatic if it is between 2 and 3 cm in diameter [5]. The absolute threshold for aneurysm decreases along the length of the aorta and is about 10% smaller in women than in men [6].

Imaging studies are important in diagnosing the cause of a pulsatile abdominal mass and, if an AAA is found, in determining its size, involvement of abdominal branches (both visceral and parietal), and any associated significant stenosis or aneurysm involving abdominal visceral and extremity arteries that may aid in treatment planning [7]. Imaging studies should also categorize the extent of aneurysm (ie, infrarenal aorta; infrarenal aorta and iliac artery; isolated iliac artery; or juxtarenal, suprarenal, or thoracoabdominal aorta) [8]. Imaging can also be used for routine surveillance of AAAs.

Currently, elective repair is considered for AAAs  $\geq 5.5$  cm in diameter [9]. For smaller AAAs, periodic surveillance is recommended at intervals based on their maximum size [10]: every 6 months for those 4.5 to 5.4 cm in diameter, every 12 months for those 3.5 to 4.4 cm in diameter, every 3 years for those 3.0 to 3.4 cm in diameter, and every 5 years for those 2.6 to 2.9 cm in diameter.

Population-based ultrasound (US) screening studies have been recommended and have proved cost-effective for male patients  $> 65$  years of age [11-14], despite the fact that one-fifth of all ruptured AAAs occur in these patients [15]. The risk of AAA increases with a history of hypertension, smoking, 3-vessel coronary artery disease, and first-degree male relative with AAA [16-18]. For AAAs 3 to 5.5 cm in diameter, periodic US or computed tomography (CT) imaging at 6- to 12-month intervals, depending on the rate of aneurysm enlargement on prior studies, is recommended. Other aneurysm characteristics, including saccular morphology of smaller aneurysms, have been associated with an increased risk of rupture below the 5.5-cm size threshold for intervention, and CT angiography (CTA) may be helpful in describing aneurysm morphology in patients with 4.0- to 5.5-cm aneurysms before continued US surveillance [19,20]. When aneurysms have reached the size threshold for intervention (5.5 cm) or are considered clinically symptomatic, additional preintervention imaging studies should be performed to help define the optimal surgical or endovascular approach.

For preintervention studies, either multidetector CT (MDCT) or CTA is the optimal choice. Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) may be substituted if CT cannot be performed (for example, because the patient is allergic to iodinated contrast material). However, MRA is usually performed with gadolinium contrast material, which is not

---

<sup>1</sup>Principal Author, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York. <sup>2</sup>Panel Vice-Chair, University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, Michigan. <sup>3</sup>West Virginia University, Charleston, West Virginia, American College of Cardiology. <sup>4</sup>Northwestern Medicine, Chicago, Illinois. <sup>5</sup>University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin. <sup>6</sup>Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. <sup>7</sup>Cleveland Clinic Heart and Vascular Institute, Cleveland, Ohio, American College of Cardiology. <sup>8</sup>Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota. <sup>9</sup>University of Michigan Health System, Ann Arbor, Michigan. <sup>10</sup>University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, Virginia. <sup>11</sup>UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas. <sup>12</sup>Specialty Chair, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, and the Department of Radiology, The University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. <sup>13</sup>Panel Chair, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: [publications@acr.org](mailto:publications@acr.org).

suitable for patients with severe renal insufficiency. In such patients, the center where it is being performed must be able to perform MRA of AAAs without the use of gadolinium contrast material [21,22].

Other types of imaging studies that have been used in the past to delineate AAAs—including abdominal radiographs, intravenous urography, and blood pool radionuclide imaging—are not recommended for diagnosis, surveillance, or preintervention imaging.

Catheter arteriography has very limited utility in the preintervention evaluation of patients with AAAs, its sole utility being in patients with significant contraindications to both CTA (significant renal dysfunction) and MRA (significant renal dysfunction, cardiac pacemakers, claustrophobia). In patients with significant renal dysfunction, the combination of CT and the lower load of iodinated contrast material that can be used with intra-arterial injection may decrease the risk of contrast-induced nephropathy.

Many imaging studies for assessing AAA can also identify other diseases that could affect preoperative management of AAA, such as coronary artery disease [23] and thoracic aortic aneurysm [24]. Screening for AAA can also be performed during unrelated imaging studies, such as transthoracic echocardiography [25-28], peripheral vascular US [29], and imaging studies to assess coronary artery disease [30,31] and stroke or transient ischemic attack [32]. Aortic root size measured by transthoracic echocardiography has been shown to be an independent predictor of AAA [33].

#### *Ultrasound*

US examination of the abdominal aorta should be a dedicated examination and not a component of a generalized abdominal US study. If possible, complete longitudinal evaluation of the full extent of the aneurysm and involvement of common iliac arteries should be performed. These studies should include a measurement of the leading-edge to leading-edge AP diameter in the proximal, mid, and distal infrarenal aorta and of the common iliac arteries. The presence of mural thrombus has been associated with expansion rates and should be delineated [34]. Right and left kidneys should be imaged to determine size, parenchymal thickness, and presence or absence of hydronephrosis. In order to permit US to be used instead of CT for AAA follow-up, interindividual reproducibility of diameter measurements should be within  $\leq 4$  mm [35]. US tends to underestimate the size of aneurysms by 4 mm compared with CTA [36]. Color Doppler imaging is not a necessary component of sonographic screening or surveillance examination. New 3-D volumetric US techniques offer similar measurements but speed up imaging significantly [37,38].

Approximately 5% of AAAs will be juxtarenal or juxta/suprarenal [39], and it may not be possible to accurately delineate the upper margin of such aneurysms or the precise involvement of abdominal visceral branches by sonographic study. That is why a more definitive study, such as CTA, should be performed prior to intervention.

#### *Computed tomography*

CT abdomen without contrast is diagnostically equivalent to US for AAA detection and is recommended in patients for whom US is not suitable. CT may be used as a diagnostic and preintervention study, suitable for patients presenting with a pulsatile abdominal mass with or without clinical suspicion of contained aortic rupture and in planning endovascular or surgical intervention in patients with AAAs  $>5.5$  cm in external AP diameter [40,41]. In tortuous aneurysms, where a single dimension may be artifactually accentuated by the curvature of the aorta, the diameter of the aorta should be measured using multiplanar reformatted images that have been angle corrected for aortic curvature or curved planar reformatted images with automated centerline 3-D software [42,43].

CT abdomen with contrast provides some of the information that a CTA provides, such as aneurysm size, presence or absence of thrombus, and presence or absence of a dissection flap [41,44]. CT abdomen with contrast does not give the precise size measurements, may not provide as much information about branch vessel involvement, and will not give the smooth 3-D renderings that a CTA will provide. However, CT abdomen with contrast performed in the portal venous phase provides more useful diagnostic information about extra-aortic pathology, such as liver, renal, and splenic pathology.

CT abdomen without and with contrast should be performed in patients with suspected contained rupture. CT without contrast is performed prior to CT with contrast to better diagnose dissecting hematoma in the lining of the intra-aortic thrombus (the crescent sign) and other signs consistent with imminent or contained rupture [45,46], including a draped aorta and adjacent vertebral erosion [47].

### *Computed tomography angiography*

For the purposes of distinguishing between CT and CTA, ACR AC topics use the definition in the [Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Body Computed Tomography Angiography](#) [48]:

*“CTA uses a thin-section CT acquisition that is timed to coincide with peak arterial or venous enhancement. The resultant volumetric dataset is interpreted using primary transverse reconstructions as well as multiplanar reformations and 3D renderings.”*

All elements are essential: (1) timing, (2) recons/reformats, and (3) 3D renderings. Standard CTs with contrast also include timing issues and recons/reformats. Only in CTA however is 3D rendering a **required** element. This corresponds to the definitions that CMS has applied to the CPT codes.

Contrast-enhanced multidetector CTA is the best diagnostic and preintervention planning study, accurately delineating the location, size, and extent of the aneurysm and the involvement of branch vessels, allowing for accurate quantitative 3-D measurements [21,49]. CTA can also assess thrombus in the aneurysm. The presence of thrombus affects the hemodynamic properties of the aorta [50]. Larger thrombus and eccentric thrombus seem to be associated with rapid enlargement of the aneurysm and increased incidence of cardiovascular events [51-53]. There are several research protocols that use modern CT technologies. Multiphase MDCT can assess compressibility of a thrombus that can act as a biomechanical buffer [54]. Using delayed imaging, aortic wall enhancement is associated with AAA diameter, biochemical markers of inflammation, and thrombus size [55]. A grading scale based on CTA-derived biomechanical markers may predict aneurysm rupture [56]. Short-term follow-up by CTA does not decrease the suitability of aneurysms for endovascular intervention [57].

In patients with a suspected thoracoabdominal aortic aneurysm, CTA may be tailored for an angiographic examination of the chest, abdomen, and pelvis [44,58,59]. In patients with suspected coexistent lower-extremity arterial disease, the arterial system from the diaphragm to the feet can be studied with MDCT or CTA [60].

Volume rendering, subvolume maximum-intensity projection (MIP), and curved planar reformations are integral components of the 3-D analysis. Three-dimensional analysis is useful for measuring the correct size of an AAA [61]. Semiautomated measurements of vessel diameter and length in relation to the proximal and distal aneurysm margins and branch vessels can be readily obtained with software supplied by multiple vendors. Additional research methods include electrocardiogram-gated MDCT that can assess decreased distensibility of aortic aneurysms [62]. Advanced postprocessing of CT data can assess wall stress. Rapidly expanding AAAs have higher shoulder and wall stress [63,64]. Calcification of the aneurysm increases wall stress and decreases the biomechanical stability of the AAA [65]. AAA peak wall stress at maximal blood pressure is higher in symptomatic or ruptured aneurysms than in asymptomatic aneurysms [66,67].

In patients who have a contained rupture, a rapid CT angiographic study provides a template for decision-making about endovascular aneurysm repair or surgical aneurysmectomy [68].

Dual-energy CT and spectral CT have promise in the evaluation of patients with AAA. Both have the ability to create virtual noncontrast images, eliminating the need for true noncontrast images, with a potential for radiation dose reduction [69].

### *Magnetic resonance angiography*

Contrast-enhanced MRA is an alternative and effective diagnostic and preintervention study [70]. The acquisition speed and spatial resolution of contrast-enhanced MRA has improved with the introduction of parallel imaging techniques, narrowing the gap with CTA in relation to image quality [71]. The introduction of blood pool contrast agents now enables longer image acquisition to improve image resolution [72]. Caution should be used in patients with severe renal dysfunction, generally considered as estimated glomerular filtration rate <30 mL/kg/min, who may be at risk for nephrogenic systemic fibrosis [73]. In these patients, a non-contrast-enhanced study may be substituted. Sequences and imaging expertise required for a full evaluation of AAA without contrast are becoming more mainstream.

Three-dimensional display techniques, including multiplanar reformation, MIP display, and volume rendering, are integral to the display and analysis of 3-D MRA. Cine techniques can also assess distensibility and, with suitable measurements of central venous pressure, can assess aortic compliance [74]. Vessel wall shear stress can also be measured using newer 4-D flow-sensitive MRI techniques [75].

### *Catheter arteriography (aortography abdomen)*

Patients with significant contraindications to both CTA and MRA may have diagnostic catheter arteriography performed with a relatively low contrast material load following US documentation of AAA and/or noncontrast CT findings [76]. Carbon dioxide may also be used as an alternative contrast agent for arteriography [77].

Catheter arteriography may not demonstrate the aneurysm diameter accurately, as only the contrast column of an aneurysm containing lining mural thrombus may be displayed. In patients with marginal renal function, rapid intra-arterial injection of a relatively low volume of dilute contrast material from a catheter located in the mid descending thoracic aorta can be used to obtain a diagnostic CTA study, a technique called catheter-directed CTA [78,79].

### *Positron emission tomography*

Although primarily a research tool, positron emission tomography (PET) using fluorine-18-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG) imaging has promise in the evaluation of patients with AAA. Increased metabolic activity and FDG uptake (maximum standardized uptake value >2.5) are noted in aneurysms [80,81] and are even higher in infected aneurysms, inflammatory aneurysms, and symptomatic aneurysms and correlate well with histologic and metabolic evidence of inflammation [82,83]. Increased FDG uptake is also seen in areas of high wall stress and rupture [84]. Aneurysm calcification is unrelated to FDG uptake [80]. More recently, FDG uptake has not been shown to be a predictor of aneurysm growth [42].

## **Summary of Recommendations**

- The consensus of the literature supports aortic US as the initial imaging modality of choice when a pulsatile abdominal mass is present. Noncontrast CT may be substituted in patients for whom US is not suitable (for example, those with obese body habitus).
- US is recommended as a screening technique in the Medicare-eligible male population at highest risk.
- For definitive diagnosis and preintervention imaging, CTA and MRA are recommended.
- Currently, CTA is regarded as the superior test, as it is readily available, is robust, and provides high-spatial-resolution 3-D displays suitable for interventional planning as well as delineation of pathology in abdominal visceral arterial branches and extremity outflow vessels.
- Contrast-enhanced MRA has improved significantly in terms of speed and spatial resolution with the advent of parallel processing techniques and blood pool contrast agents. It may replace CTA for interventional planning in patients for whom iodinated contrast is contraindicated.
- Noncontrast MRA sequences for full evaluation of AAA are becoming more mainstream and should be performed only in centers with expertise in this technique.
- Appropriate preintervention measurements of the aortoiliac arterial system can be obtained with either technique.
- Both CTA and MRA can be used for thoracoabdominal aortic and extremity studies, all in the same imaging session.
- FDG-PET remains primarily a research tool but shows promise for assessing the metabolic activity of aneurysms.

## **Summary of Evidence**

Of the 84 references cited in the *ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Pulsatile Abdominal Mass Suspected AAA* document, 1 is a good-quality therapeutic reference. Additionally, 82 references are categorized as diagnostic references including 10 good-quality studies, and 15 quality studies that may have design limitations. There are 56 references that may not be useful as primary evidence. One reference is a meta-analysis study.

The 84 references cited in the *ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Pulsatile Abdominal Mass Suspected AAA* document were published from 1984-2016.

Although there are references that report on studies with design limitations, 22 well designed or good quality studies provide good evidence.

## Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations		
Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
○	0 mSv	0 mSv
⊛	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
⊛ ⊛	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
⊛ ⊛ ⊛	1-10 mSv	0.3-3 mSv
⊛ ⊛ ⊛ ⊛	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
⊛ ⊛ ⊛ ⊛ ⊛	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv

\*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (eg, region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as “Varies”.

## Supporting Documents

For additional information on the Appropriateness Criteria methodology and other supporting documents go to [www.acr.org/ac](http://www.acr.org/ac).

## References

1. Bickerstaff LK, Hollier LH, Van Peenen HJ, Melton LJ, 3rd, Pairolero PC, Cherry KJ. Abdominal aortic aneurysms: the changing natural history. *J Vasc Surg.* 1984;1(1):6-12.
2. Ernst CB. Abdominal aortic aneurysm. *N Engl J Med.* 1993;328(16):1167-1172.
3. Guirguis EM, Barber GG. The natural history of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Am J Surg.* 1991;162(5):481-483.
4. Neville A, Herts BR. CT characteristics of primary retroperitoneal neoplasms. *Crit Rev Comput Tomogr.* 2004;45(4):247-270.
5. Johnston KW, Rutherford RB, Tilson MD, Shah DM, Hollier L, Stanley JC. Suggested standards for reporting on arterial aneurysms. Subcommittee on Reporting Standards for Arterial Aneurysms, Ad Hoc Committee on Reporting Standards, Society for Vascular Surgery and North American Chapter, International Society for Cardiovascular Surgery. *J Vasc Surg.* 1991;13(3):452-458.
6. Wanhainen A, Themudo R, Ahlstrom H, Lind L, Johansson L. Thoracic and abdominal aortic dimension in 70-year-old men and women--a population-based whole-body magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) study. *J Vasc Surg.* 2008;47(3):504-512.
7. Richards T, Dharmadasa A, Davies R, Murphy M, Perera R, Walton J. Natural history of the common iliac artery in the presence of an abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg.* 2009;49(4):881-885.
8. Schermerhorn ML, Cronenwett JL. Chapter 100 - Abdominal Aortic and Iliac Aneurysms. In: Rutherford RB, ed. *Vascular Surgery.* 6th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier Saunders; 2005.
9. Lederle FA. A summary of the contributions of the VA cooperative studies on abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2006;1085:29-38.

10. Chaikof EL, Brewster DC, Dalman RL, et al. The care of patients with an abdominal aortic aneurysm: the Society for Vascular Surgery practice guidelines. *J Vasc Surg.* 2009;50(4 Suppl):S2-49.
11. Fleming C, Whitlock EP, Beil TL, Lederle FA. Screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm: a best-evidence systematic review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med.* 2005;142(3):203-211.
12. Glover MJ, Kim LG, Sweeting MJ, Thompson SG, Buxton MJ. Cost-effectiveness of the National Health Service Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Screening Programme in England. *Br J Surg.* 2014;101(8):976-982.
13. Guirguis-Blake JM, Beil TL, Senger CA, Whitlock EP. Ultrasonography screening for abdominal aortic aneurysms: a systematic evidence review for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med.* 2014;160(5):321-329.
14. Zarrouk M, Lundqvist A, Holst J, Troeng T, Gottsater A. Cost-effectiveness of Screening for Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm in Combination with Medical Intervention in Patients with Small Aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2016;51(6):766-773.
15. Laine MT, Vanttinen T, Kantonen I, et al. Rupture of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms in Patients Under Screening Age and Elective Repair Threshold. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2016;51(4):511-516.
16. Durieux R, Van Damme H, Labropoulos N, et al. High prevalence of abdominal aortic aneurysm in patients with three-vessel coronary artery disease. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2014;47(3):273-278.
17. Joergensen TM, Houliand K, Green A, Lindholt JS. Abdominal aortic diameter is increased in males with a family history of abdominal aortic aneurysms: results from the Danish VIVA-trial. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2014;48(6):669-675.
18. Svensjo S, Bjorck M, Wanhainen A. Update on screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm: a topical review. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2014;48(6):659-667.
19. Khan S, Verma V, Verma S, Polzer S, Jha S. Assessing the potential risk of rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Clin Radiol.* 2015;70(1):11-20.
20. Kristmundsson T, Dias N, Resch T, Sonesson B. Morphology of Small Abdominal Aortic Aneurysms Should be Considered before Continued Ultrasound Surveillance. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2016;31:18-22.
21. Diehm N, Herrmann P, Dinkel HP. Multidetector CT angiography versus digital subtraction angiography for aortoiliac length measurements prior to endovascular AAA repair. *J Endovasc Ther.* 2004;11(5):527-534.
22. Wyers MC, Fillinger MF, Schermerhorn ML, et al. Endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm without preoperative arteriography. *J Vasc Surg.* 2003;38(4):730-738.
23. Budde RP, Huo F, Cramer MJ, et al. Simultaneous aortic and coronary assessment in abdominal aortic aneurysm patients by thoraco-abdominal 64-detector-row CT angiography: estimate of the impact on preoperative management: a pilot study. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2010;40(2):196-201.
24. Larsson E, Vishnevskaya L, Kalin B, Granath F, Swedenborg J, Hultgren R. High frequency of thoracic aneurysms in patients with abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Ann Surg.* 2011;253(1):180-184.
25. Aboyans V, Bataille V, Bliscaux P, et al. Effectiveness of screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm during echocardiography. *Am J Cardiol.* 2014;114(7):1100-1104.
26. Aboyans V, Kownator S, Lafitte M, et al. Screening abdominal aorta aneurysm during echocardiography: literature review and proposal for a French nationwide study. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis.* 2010;103(10):552-558.
27. Fadel BM, Bakarman H, Al-Admawi M, Bech-Hanssen O, Di Salvo G. Pulse-wave Doppler interrogation of the abdominal aorta: a window to the left heart and vasculature. *Echocardiography.* 2014;31(4):543-547.
28. Roshanali F, Mandegar MH, Yousefnia MA, Mohammadi A, Baharvand B. Abdominal aorta screening during transthoracic echocardiography. *Echocardiography.* 2007;24(7):685-688.
29. Alund M, Mani K, Wanhainen A. Selective screening for abdominal aortic aneurysm among patients referred to the vascular laboratory. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2008;35(6):669-674.
30. Dupont A, Elkalioubie A, Juthier F, et al. Frequency of abdominal aortic aneurysm in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. *Am J Cardiol.* 2010;105(11):1545-1548.
31. Long A, Bui HT, Barbe C, et al. Prevalence of abdominal aortic aneurysm and large infrarenal aorta in patients with acute coronary syndrome and proven coronary stenosis: a prospective monocenter study. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2010;24(5):602-608.
32. Gratama JW, van Leeuwen RB. Abdominal aortic aneurysm: high prevalence in men over 59 years of age with TIA or stroke, a perspective. *Abdom Imaging.* 2010;35(1):95-98.
33. Matsumura Y, Wada M, Hirakawa D, et al. Clinical utility of transthoracic echocardiography for screening abdominal aortic aneurysm: a prospective study in a Japanese population. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound.* 2016;14:8.

34. Behr-Rasmussen C, Grondal N, Bramsen MB, Thomsen MD, Lindholt JS. Mural thrombus and the progression of abdominal aortic aneurysms: a large population-based prospective cohort study. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2014;48(3):301-307.
35. Singh K, Bonaa KH, Solberg S, Sorlie DG, Bjork L. Intra- and interobserver variability in ultrasound measurements of abdominal aortic diameter. The Tromso Study. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 1998;15(6):497-504.
36. Manning BJ, Kristmundsson T, Sonesson B, Resch T. Abdominal aortic aneurysm diameter: a comparison of ultrasound measurements with those from standard and three-dimensional computed tomography reconstruction. *J Vasc Surg.* 2009;50(2):263-268.
37. Nyhsen CM, Elliott ST. Rapid assessment of abdominal aortic aneurysms by 3-dimensional ultrasonography. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2007;26(2):223-226.
38. Vidakovic R, Feringa HH, Kuiper RJ, et al. Comparison with computed tomography of two ultrasound devices for diagnosis of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;100(12):1786-1791.
39. Cohan RH, Siegel CL, Korobkin M, et al. Abdominal aortic aneurysms: CT evaluation of renal artery involvement. *Radiology.* 1995;194(3):751-756.
40. Fukuhara R, Ishiguchi T, Ikeda M, et al. Evaluation of abdominal aortic aneurysm for endovascular stent-grafting with volume-rendered CT images of vessel lumen and thrombus. *Radiat Med.* 2004;22(5):332-341.
41. Kurabayashi M, Okishige K, Ueshima D, et al. Diagnostic utility of unenhanced computed tomography for acute aortic syndrome. *Circ J.* 2014;78(8):1928-1934.
42. Jalalzadeh H, Indrakusuma R, Planken RN, Legemate DA, Koelemay MJ, Balm R. Inflammation as a Predictor of Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Growth and Rupture: A Systematic Review of Imaging Biomarkers. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2016;52(3):333-342.
43. Mora C, Marcus C, Barbe C, Ecarnot F, Long A. Measurement of maximum diameter of native abdominal aortic aneurysm by angio-CT: reproducibility is better with the semi-automated method. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2014;47(2):139-150.
44. Hayter RG, Rhea JT, Small A, Tafazoli FS, Novelline RA. Suspected aortic dissection and other aortic disorders: multi-detector row CT in 373 cases in the emergency setting. *Radiology.* 2006;238(3):841-852.
45. Rakita D, Newatia A, Hines JJ, Siegel DN, Friedman B. Spectrum of CT findings in rupture and impending rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Radiographics.* 2007;27(2):497-507.
46. Roy J, Labruto F, Beckman MO, Danielson J, Johansson G, Swedenborg J. Bleeding into the intraluminal thrombus in abdominal aortic aneurysms is associated with rupture. *J Vasc Surg.* 2008;48(5):1108-1113.
47. Apter S, Rimon U, Konen E, et al. Sealed rupture of abdominal aortic aneurysms: CT features in 6 patients and a review of the literature. *Abdom Imaging.* 2010;35(1):99-105.
48. American College of Radiology. ACR–NASCI–SIR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Body Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA). Available at: [http://www.acr.org/~media/ACR/Documents/PGTS/guidelines/Body\\_CTA.pdf](http://www.acr.org/~media/ACR/Documents/PGTS/guidelines/Body_CTA.pdf).
49. Ruff A, Patel K, Joyce JR, Gornik HL, Rothberg MB. The use of pre-existing CT imaging in screening for abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Vasc Med.* 2016;21(6):515-519.
50. Lyck Hansen M, Dahl Thomsen M, Rasmussen LM, Lindholt JS. Abdominal aortic aneurysm, arterial stiffening and the role of the intraluminal thrombus. *Vasa.* 2015;44(5):349-353.
51. Parr A, McCann M, Bradshaw B, Shahzad A, Buttner P, Golledge J. Thrombus volume is associated with cardiovascular events and aneurysm growth in patients who have abdominal aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg.* 2011;53(1):28-35.
52. Vega de Ceniga M, Gomez R, Estallo L, de la Fuente N, Viviens B, Barba A. Analysis of expansion patterns in 4-4.9 cm abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2008;22(1):37-44.
53. Brunner-Ziegler S, Hammer A, Seidinger D, Willfort-Ehringer A, Koppensteiner R, Steiner S. The role of intraluminal thrombus formation for expansion of abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Wien Klin Wochenschr.* 2015;127(13-14):549-554.
54. Truijers M, Fillinger MF, Renema KW, et al. In-vivo imaging of changes in abdominal aortic aneurysm thrombus volume during the cardiac cycle. *J Endovasc Ther.* 2009;16(3):314-319.
55. Sakuta A, Kimura F, Aoka Y, Aomi S, Hagiwara N, Kasanuki H. Delayed enhancement on computed tomography in abdominal aortic aneurysm wall. *Heart Vessels.* 2007;22(2):79-87.
56. Chisci E, Alamanni N, Iacoponi F, et al. Grading abdominal aortic aneurysm rupture risk. *J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino).* 2015.



57. Yau FS, Rosero EB, Clagett GP, et al. Surveillance of small aortic aneurysms does not alter anatomic suitability for endovascular repair. *J Vasc Surg*. 2007;45(1):96-100.
58. Kubo S, Tadamura E, Yamamuro M, et al. Multidetector-row computed tomographic angiography of thoracic and abdominal aortic aneurysms: comparison of arterial enhancement with 3 different doses of contrast material. *J Comput Assist Tomogr*. 2007;31(3):422-429.
59. Nonent M, Thouveny F, Simons P, et al. Iodixanol in multidetector-row computed tomography angiography (MDCTA): diagnostic accuracy for abdominal aorta and abdominal aortic major-branch diseases using four-, eight- and 16-detector-row CT scanners. *Acta Radiol*. 2007;48(1):48-58.
60. Catalano C, Fraioli F, Laghi A, et al. Infrarenal aortic and lower-extremity arterial disease: diagnostic performance of multi-detector row CT angiography. *Radiology*. 2004;231(2):555-563.
61. Ihara T, Komori K, Yamamoto K, Kobayashi M, Banno H, Kodama A. Three-dimensional workstation is useful for measuring the correct size of abdominal aortic aneurysm diameters. *Ann Vasc Surg*. 2013;27(2):154-161.
62. Ganten MK, Krautter U, von Tengg-Kobligk H, et al. Quantification of aortic distensibility in abdominal aortic aneurysm using ECG-gated multi-detector computed tomography. *Eur Radiol*. 2008;18(5):966-973.
63. Li ZY, Sadat U, U-King-Im J, et al. Association between aneurysm shoulder stress and abdominal aortic aneurysm expansion: a longitudinal follow-up study. *Circulation*. 2010;122(18):1815-1822.
64. Speelman L, Hellenthal FA, Pulinx B, et al. The influence of wall stress on AAA growth and biomarkers. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2010;39(4):410-416.
65. Li ZY, J UK-I, Tang TY, Soh E, See TC, Gillard JH. Impact of calcification and intraluminal thrombus on the computed wall stresses of abdominal aortic aneurysm. *J Vasc Surg*. 2008;47(5):928-935.
66. Heng MS, Fagan MJ, Collier JW, Desai G, McCollum PT, Chetter IC. Peak wall stress measurement in elective and acute abdominal aortic aneurysms. *J Vasc Surg*. 2008;47(1):17-22; discussion 22.
67. Truijers M, Pol JA, Schultzekool LJ, van Sterkenburg SM, Fillinger MF, Blankensteijn JD. Wall stress analysis in small asymptomatic, symptomatic and ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2007;33(4):401-407.
68. Willmann JK, Lachat ML, von Smekal A, Turina MI, Pfammatter T. Spiral-CT angiography to assess feasibility of endovascular aneurysm repair in patients with ruptured aortoiliac aneurysm. *Vasa*. 2001;30(4):271-276.
69. Pinho DF, Kulkarni NM, Krishnaraj A, Kalva SP, Sahani DV. Initial experience with single-source dual-energy CT abdominal angiography and comparison with single-energy CT angiography: image quality, enhancement, diagnosis and radiation dose. *Eur Radiol*. 2013;23(2):351-359.
70. Atar E, Belenky A, Hadad M, Ranany E, Baytner S, Bachar GN. MR angiography for abdominal and thoracic aortic aneurysms: assessment before endovascular repair in patients with impaired renal function. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2006;186(2):386-393.
71. Michaely HJ, Herrmann KA, Kramer H, et al. High-resolution renal MRA: comparison of image quality and vessel depiction with different parallel imaging acceleration factors. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2006;24(1):95-100.
72. Wolf F, Plank C, Beitzke D, et al. Prospective Evaluation of High-Resolution MRI Using Gadofosveset for Stent-Graft Planning: Comparison With CT Angiography in 30 Patients. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011;197(5):1251-1257.
73. Collidge TA, Thomson PC, Mark PB, et al. Gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging and nephrogenic systemic fibrosis: retrospective study of a renal replacement therapy cohort. *Radiology*. 2007;245(1):168-175.
74. van 't Veer M, Buth J, Merckx M, et al. Biomechanical properties of abdominal aortic aneurysms assessed by simultaneously measured pressure and volume changes in humans. *J Vasc Surg*. 2008;48(6):1401-1407.
75. Harloff A, Nussbaumer A, Bauer S, et al. In vivo assessment of wall shear stress in the atherosclerotic aorta using flow-sensitive 4D MRI. *Magn Reson Med*. 2010;63(6):1529-1536.
76. Hoornweg LL, Wisselink W, Vahl A, Balm R. The Amsterdam Acute Aneurysm Trial: suitability and application rate for endovascular repair of ruptured abdominal aortic aneurysms. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg*. 2007;33(6):679-683.
77. Cho KJ. Carbon Dioxide Angiography: Scientific Principles and Practice. *Vasc Specialist Int*. 2015;31(3):67-80.
78. Formosa A, Santos DM, Marcuzzi D, Common AA, Prabhudesai V. Low Contrast Dose Catheter-Directed CT Angiography (CCTA). *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol*. 2016;39(4):606-610.

79. Isaacson AJ, Burke LM, Vallabhaneni R, Farber MA. Ultralow Iodine Dose Transarterial Catheter-Directed CT Angiography for Fenestrated Endovascular Aortic Repair Planning. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2016;35:234-237.
80. Kotze CW, Menezes LJ, Endozo R, Groves AM, Ell PJ, Yusuf SW. Increased metabolic activity in abdominal aortic aneurysm detected by 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT). *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2009;38(1):93-99.
81. Truijers M, Kurvers HA, Bredie SJ, Oyen WJ, Blankensteijn JD. In vivo imaging of abdominal aortic aneurysms: increased FDG uptake suggests inflammation in the aneurysm wall. *J Endovasc Ther.* 2008;15(4):462-467.
82. Reeps C, Essler M, Pelisek J, Seidl S, Eckstein HH, Krause BJ. Increased 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake in abdominal aortic aneurysms in positron emission/computed tomography is associated with inflammation, aortic wall instability, and acute symptoms. *J Vasc Surg.* 2008;48(2):417-423; discussion 424.
83. Murakami M, Morikage N, Samura M, Yamashita O, Suehiro K, Hamano K. Fluorine-18-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography-computed tomography for diagnosis of infected aortic aneurysms. *Ann Vasc Surg.* 2014;28(3):575-578.
84. Xu XY, Borghi A, Nchimi A, et al. High levels of 18F-FDG uptake in aortic aneurysm wall are associated with high wall stress. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg.* 2010;39(3):295-301.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.