### Reference


   **Study Type:** Review/Ot her-Dx  
   **Patients/Events:** 9 studies  
   **Study Objective (Purpose of Study):** To determine the incidence of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) in the general population by pooling results from all studies of adequate quality.  
   **Study Results:** Nine studies were identified which fulfilled the inclusion and quality criteria. Most were conducted in Sweden or U.S.A. between 1976 and 2000. The weighted mean incidence of first DVT in the whole general population was 5.04 (95% CI 4.70, 5.38) per 10 000 person years. The incidence was similar in males and females and increased dramatically with age from about 2-3 per 10 000 person years at age 30-49 to 20 per 10 000 person years at age 70-79. Around 40% of cases of DVT were idiopathic.  
   **Study Quality:** 4


   **Study Type:** Review/Ot her-Dx  
   **Patients/Events:** N/A  
   **Study Objective (Purpose of Study):** Review role of duplex US and color Doppler US for the evaluation of patients suspected of harboring a thrombus in their lower extremity veins. Article reviews the clinical presentation and differential diagnoses, technique, and diagnostic criteria for acute and chronic DVT.  
   **Study Results:** Venous US has become the standard primary imaging technique for the initial evaluation of patients for whom there is clinical suspicion of DVT of the lower extremity veins over the past 2 decades.  
   **Study Quality:** 4


   **Study Type:** Review/Ot her-Dx  
   **Patients/Events:** N/A  
   **Study Objective (Purpose of Study):** Review the natural history of venous thromboembolism.  
   **Study Results:** Although acute venous thromboembolism usually presents with either leg or pulmonary symptoms, most patients have thrombosis at both sites at the time of diagnosis.  
   **Study Quality:** 4


   **Study Type:** Review/Ot her-Dx  
   **Patients/Events:** N/A  
   **Study Objective (Purpose of Study):** Evidence-based guidelines to assist referring physicians and other providers in making the most appropriate imaging or treatment decision for a specific clinical condition.  
   **Study Results:** N/A  
   **Study Quality:** 4
### Reference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Patients/Events</th>
<th>Study Objective (Purpose of Study)</th>
<th>Study Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Review/Ot Tx</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>To review the epidemiology of VTE including incidence rates in the United States.</td>
<td>VTE occurs for the first time in approximately 100 persons per 100,000 each year in the United States, and rises exponentially from &lt;5 cases per 100,000 persons &lt;15 years old to approximately 500 cases (0.5%) per 100,000 persons at age 80 years. Approximately one third of patients with symptomatic VTE manifest PE, whereas two thirds manifest DVT alone. Despite anticoagulant therapy, VTE recurs frequently in the first few months after the initial event, with a recurrence rate of approximately 7% at 6 months. Death occurs in approximately 6% of DVT cases and 12% of PE cases within 1 month of diagnosis. The time of year may affect the occurrence of VTE, with a higher incidence in the winter than in the summer. One major risk factor for VTE is ethnicity, with a significantly higher incidence among Caucasians and African Americans than among Hispanic persons and Asian-Pacific Islanders. Overall, approximately 25% to 50% of patient with first-time VTE have an idiopathic condition, without a readily identifiable risk factor. Early mortality after VTE is strongly associated with presentation as PE, advanced age, cancer, and underlying cardiovascular disease.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Reference


**Study Type:** Review/Ot her-Dx

**Patients/Events:** N/A

**Study Objective (Purpose of Study):** To estimate the diagnostic accuracy of noninvasive tests for proximal DVT and isolated calf DVT, in patients with clinically suspected DVT or high-risk asymptomatic patients, and identify factors associated with variation in diagnostic performance. Also to identify practical diagnostic algorithms for DVT, and estimate the diagnostic accuracy, clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of each.

**Study Results:** In patients with clinically suspected DVT, D-dimer has 91% sensitivity and 55% specificity for DVT. US has 94% sensitivity for proximal DVT, 64% sensitivity for distal DVT and 94% specificity. CT has 95% sensitivity for all DVT (proximal and distal combined) and 97% specificity. MRI has 92% sensitivity for all DVT and 95% specificity. Diagnostic algorithms based on a combination of Wells score, D-dimer and US (with repeat if negative) are feasible at most UK hospitals and are among the most cost-effective.

**Study Quality:** 4

---


**Study Type:** Observatio nal-Dx

**Patients/Events:** 235 patients - complete calf protocol group: 261 patients - incomplete calf protocol group

**Study Objective:** Randomized prospective study comparing routine vs selective use of US of the complete calf in patients with suspected DVT.

**Study Results:** No adverse outcomes (0.0%; 97.5% one-sided CI, 0.6%-1.6%) in complete calf protocol group. Two adverse outcomes in incomplete calf protocol group (0.8%; 95% CI, 0.1%-2.7%). No significant difference in adverse outcomes in two groups.

**Study Quality:** 3

---


**Study Type:** Observatio nal-Dx

**Patients/Events:** 855 consecutive outpatients

**Study Objective:** To assess whether performing an additional distal vein US would increase the diagnostic yield of the test. Data of outpatients included in a multicenter randomized controlled trial were analyzed.

**Study Results:** US was positive in 21% of patients, of whom 10% (53/541) had proximal DVT and 11% (59/541) isolated distal DVT. Of the 59 patients with distal DVT, 21 (36%) had no PE. The diagnostic performance of distal US for the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism was as follows: sensitivity 22% [95% CI, 17-29]; specificity 94% (95% CI, 91-96); positive likelihood ratio 3.9 (95% CI, 2.4-6.4). Distal US has limited diagnostic performance, and its additional use only modestly increases the yield of US in patients with suspected PE.

**Study Quality:** 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Patients/Events</th>
<th>Study Objective (Purpose of Study)</th>
<th>Study Results</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9. Nielsen HK, Husted SE, Krusell LR, et al. Anticoagulant therapy in deep venous thrombosis. A randomized controlled study. Thromb Res. 1994; 73(3-4):215-226.</td>
<td>Experimental-Tx</td>
<td>90 patients</td>
<td>Randomized controlled study to evaluate the efficacy of anticoagulant therapy vs no anticoagulant therapy treatment in DVT patients actively mobilized from day of admission.</td>
<td>Study showed no effect of anticoagulant therapy on DVT progression in actively mobilized patients when compared to a non-anticoagulant treated group. However, the patient population of the study is relatively small with wide CIs for differences between groups. Large scale placebo-controlled study needed.</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Beyer J, Schellong S. Deep vein thrombosis: Current diagnostic strategy. Eur J Intern Med. 2005; 16(4):238-246.</td>
<td>Review/Other-Dx</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Review diagnostic strategies used in diagnosing DVT with emphasis on diagnostic strategies for clinicians in hospitals and general practitioners using practical approaches.</td>
<td>Gold standard for detecting DVT is venography, but invasivity, radiation, contrast media, and painful injection in pedal veins are limiting factors for initial and repeat exams. Venography is now replaceable in most cases since the introduction of DVT scores, D-dimer testing and venous US.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Wells PS. Integrated strategies for the diagnosis of venous thromboembolism. J Thromb Haemost. 2007; 5 Suppl 1:41-50.</td>
<td>Review/Other-Dx</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Review integrated strategies for the diagnosis of DVT and PE.</td>
<td>Combination of clinical assessment, D-dimer and imaging enables safe PE rule out protocols without imaging, an ability to suspect false positive imaging results, and more accurate determination of true positive imaging. These integration strategies result in safer, more convenient and cost-effective care for patients.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Reference 12.

**Study Type:** Review/Ot her-Dx  
**Patients/Events:** 14 studies (8,239 patients); 2 reviewers  
**Study Objective:** To systematically review trials that determined the prevalence of DVT using clinical prediction rules either with or without D-dimer, for the diagnosis of DVT. Studies that prospectively enrolled consecutive, unselected outpatients with suspected DVT and applied clinical prediction rules before D-dimer testing or diagnostic imaging were included.

**Study Results:** Prevalence of DVT in low, moderate, and high clinical probability groups was 5.0% (95% CI, 4.0%-8.0%), 17% (95% CI, 13%-23%), and 53% (95% CI, 44%-61%), respectively. Overall prevalence of DVT was 19% (95% CI, 16%-23%). For all studies, the sensitivity, specificity, and negative likelihood ratios of D-dimer testing in the low probability group were 88% (95% CI, 81%-92%), 72% (95% CI, 65%-78%), and 0.18% (95% CI, 0.12-0.18); in the moderate probability group: 90% (95% CI, 80%-95%), 58% (95% CI, 49%-67%), and 0.19% (95% CI, 0.11-0.32); and in the high probability group: 92% (95% CI, 85%-96%), 45% (95% CI, 37%-52%), and 0.16% (95% CI, 0.09-0.30). Diagnostic accuracy for DVT improves when clinical probability is estimated before diagnostic tests.

**Study Quality:** 4

### Reference 13.

**Study Type:** Review/Ot her-Dx  
**Patients/Events:** 2,169 patients  
**Study Objective:** To determine the value (quality/cost) of VDS (venous duplex surveillance) in trauma patients stratified by risk for venous thromboembolism.

**Study Results:** A total of 2,169 patients met inclusion criteria and were stratified by deep venous thrombosis risk (218 moderate, 1,173 high, 778 highest). The quality of the process (the percent of sites adequately visualized per VDS) was not clinically different among risk groups. The quality of the outcome (number of clinically relevant findings) was significantly greater, and the work time required per finding was significantly lower in the highest-risk group (p < 0.001). The value of VDS was significantly greater in the highest-risk group compared with high or moderate-risk groups (1,104 vs. 337 vs. 76 findings per percent full-time equivalent, respectively; p < 0.001).

**Study Quality:** 4
### Suspected Lower Extremity Deep Vein Thrombosis

#### EVIDENCE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Patients/Events</th>
<th>Study Objective (Purpose of Study)</th>
<th>Study Results</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14. Patel AP, Koltz MT, Sansur CA, Gulati M, Hamilton DK. An analysis of deep vein thrombosis in 1277 consecutive neurosurgical patients undergoing routine weekly ultrasonography. Journal of Neurosurgery. 118(3):505-9, 2013 Mar.</td>
<td>Review/Other-Dx</td>
<td>1277 patients</td>
<td>To analyze the effectiveness of weekly lower-extremity venous duplex ultrasonography (LEVDU) in patients requiring surgical intervention for cranial or spinal pathology for detection of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and prevention of pulmonary embolism (PE).</td>
<td>The overall incidence of acute DVT was 2.8% (36 patients). Of these cases of DVT, a statistically significant greater number (86%) were discovered on admission (within 1–7 days after admission) screening LEVDU (p &lt; 0.05), whereas fewer were documented 8–14 days after admission (2.8%) or after 14 days (11.2%) postadmission. Additionally, for acute DVT detection in the present population, there were no underlying statistically significant risk factors regarding baseline physical examination, age, ambulatory status, or type of surgery. The overall incidence of acute symptomatic PE was 0.3% and the mortality rate was 0%.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15. AbuRahma AF, Saiedy S, Robinson PA, Boland JP, Cottrell DJt, Stuart C. Role of venous duplex imaging of the lower extremities in patients with fever of unknown origin. Surgery. 1997; 121(4):366-371.</td>
<td>Review/Other-Dx</td>
<td>114 duplex exams; 89 patients</td>
<td>To evaluate the role of venous duplex imaging of the lower extremity in evaluating a large series of patients with FUO.</td>
<td>A total of 114 duplex examinations, gathered during a 2-year period, were analyzed. The 89 patients had a mean age of 58 years. Infections were the most common cause of FUO (57/89, 64%), and unknown causes constituted 19%. There were 7 cases of DVT (8%), 5 (6%) of whom met the criteria for probable cause of FUO. The overall cost of venous duplex imaging examinations was $51,300 ($450 x 114 tests), with an average cost of $10,260 for each case of DVT detected as probable cause of FUO.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Suspected Lower Extremity Deep Vein Thrombosis

**EVIDENCE TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Patients/Events</th>
<th>Study Objective (Purpose of Study)</th>
<th>Study Results</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16. Mourad O, Palda V, Detsky AS. A comprehensive evidence-based approach to fever of unknown origin. Arch Intern Med. 2003; 163(5):545-551.</td>
<td>Review/Ot her-Dx</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>To perform a systematic review to develop evidence-based recommendations for the diagnostic workup of FUO.</td>
<td>The prevalence of FUO in hospitalized patients is reported to be 2.9%. Eleven studies indicate that the spectrum of disease includes &quot;no diagnosis&quot; (19%), infections (28%), inflammatory diseases (21%), and malignancies (17%). DVT (3%) and temporal arteritis in the elderly (16%-17%) were important considerations. Four good natural history studies indicate that most patients with undiagnosed FUO recover spontaneously (51%-100%). One fair-quality study suggested a high specificity (99%) for the diagnosis of endocarditis in FUO by applying the Duke criteria. One fair-quality study showed that computed tomographic scanning of the abdomen had a diagnostic yield of 19%. Ten studies of nuclear imaging revealed that technetium was the most promising isotope, showing a high specificity (94%), albeit low sensitivity (40%-75%) (2 fair-quality studies). Two fair-quality studies showed liver biopsy to have a high diagnostic yield (14%-17%), but with risk of harm (0.009%-0.12% death). Empiric bone marrow cultures showed a low diagnostic yield of 0% to 2% (2 fair-quality articles).</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17. Lockhart ME, Sheldon HI, Robbin ML. Augmentation in lower extremity sonography for the detection of deep venous thrombosis. AJR. 2005; 184(2):419-422.</td>
<td>Observatio nal-Dx</td>
<td>1,980 patients (3,956 lower extremities)</td>
<td>To evaluate the value of venous flow augmentation with duplex US in the evaluation of DVT of the lower extremities. Data collected from patients who were prospectively evaluated for DVT by duplex US during a 12-month interval.</td>
<td>Augmentation component of the lower extremity US rarely provides additional information in the diagnosis of DVT. No DVT were discovered with augmentation. Factors such as the lack of usefulness and patient discomfort may justify removal of augmentation from the routine study. However, augmentation should still be applied as a diagnostic tool in difficult or uncertain cases.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Patients/Events</td>
<td>Study Objective (Purpose of Study)</td>
<td>Study Results</td>
<td>Study Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Murphy TP, Cronan JJ. Evolution of deep venous thrombosis: a prospective evaluation with US. Radiology. 1990; 177(2):543-548.</td>
<td>Observatio nal-Dx</td>
<td>46 patients</td>
<td>To observe prospectively the early evolution of DVT by duplex US during the initial 6 months after thrombosis to assess the persistence of venous abnormalities.</td>
<td>Isolated popliteal DVT was found to be more likely to revert to normal at duplex compression US than thrombosis involving both the femoral and popliteal systems (P&lt;.05). Increased venous diameter was a sign of acute clot (P&lt;.005). Clot echogenicity did not help to enable distinction of acute DVT and chronic DVT. At compression US, 10/21 patients (48%) who initially had occlusive thrombosis had persistent abnormalities that mimicked findings consistent with acute DVT.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Carpenter JP, Holland GA, Baum RA, Owen RS, Carpenter JT, Cope C. Magnetic resonance venography for the detection of deep venous thrombosis: comparison with contrast venography and duplex Doppler ultrasonography. J Vasc Surg. 1993; 18(5):734-741.</td>
<td>Observatio nal-Dx</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Prospective, blinded study to determine whether MRV could accurately demonstrate DVT when compared with duplex scanning and contrast venography.</td>
<td>Compared to contrast venography, MRV had sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 96%, PPV of 90%, and NPV of 100%. Duplex scanning had sensitivity of 100%, specificity of 96%, PPV of 94%, and NPV of 100%. Study concludes that MRV is an accurate noninvasive venographic technique for the detection of DVT.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Evans AJ, Sostman HD, Knelson MH, et al. 1992 ARRS Executive Council Award. Detection of deep venous thrombosis: prospective comparison of MR imaging with contrast venography. AJR. 1993; 161(1):131-139.</td>
<td>Observatio nal-Dx</td>
<td>61 consecutive patients</td>
<td>Prospective, blinded study to compare MRI with contrast venography (gold standard) to determine the efficacy of MRI in patients with clinically suspected DVT.</td>
<td>DVT in the pelvis - sensitivity of MRI was 100% (9/9); specificity was 95% (52/55). Thigh - sensitivity (16/16) and specificity (43/43) were both 100%. Calf - sensitivity was 87% (13/15) and specificity was 97% (36/37). No statistically significant difference between MRI and contrast venography in the detection of DVT. MRI is at least as sensitive and specific as contrast venography in the detection of DVT.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Study Type</td>
<td>Patients/ Events</td>
<td>Study Objective (Purpose of Study)</td>
<td>Study Results</td>
<td>Study Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21. Evans AJ, Sostman HD, Witty LA, et al. Detection of deep venous thrombosis: prospective comparison of MR imaging and sonography. J Magn Reson Imaging. 1996; 6(1):44-51.</td>
<td>Observational-Dx</td>
<td>75 patients</td>
<td>Prospectively compare MRI with US in the detection of DVT in patients with clinically suspected DVT.</td>
<td>Sensitivity of MRI was 100%; the specificity was 100% and accuracy was 96%. Sensitivity of US was 77%; the specificity was 98% and the accuracy was 83%. MRI is more sensitive (P=.02) and accurate (P&lt;.01) than US. No difference in the specificity of MRI and that of US (P=.31).</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22. Sampson FC, Goodacre SW, Thomas SM, van Beek EJ. The accuracy of MRI in diagnosis of suspected deep vein thrombosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Radiol. 2007; 17(1):175-181.</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>14 articles</td>
<td>Systematic review of literature and meta-analysis to estimate the diagnostic accuracy of MRI for DVT.</td>
<td>Pooled estimate of sensitivity was 91.5% (95% CI: 87.5%-94.5%) and the pooled estimate of specificity was 94.8% (95% CI: 92.6%-96.5%). Sensitivity for proximal DVT was higher than sensitivity for distal DVT (93.9% vs 62.1%). Individual studies reported sensitivity ranging from zero to 100%, while specificity ranged from 43% to 100%. MRI has equivalent sensitivity and specificity to US for diagnosis of DVT, but has been evaluated in many fewer studies, using a variety of different techniques.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23. Thomas SM, Goodacre SW, Sampson FC, van Beek EJ. Diagnostic value of CT for deep vein thrombosis: results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Radiol. 2008; 63(3):299-304.</td>
<td>Meta-analysis</td>
<td>13 studies</td>
<td>To estimate the sensitivity and specificity of computed tomography (CT) for the diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) in patients with suspected DVT and pulmonary embolus (PE).</td>
<td>Thirteen articles were included in the meta-analysis. Most compared CT to ultrasound in patients with clinically suspected PE. The sensitivity ranged from 71-100%, while specificity ranged from 93-100%. The pooled estimate of sensitivity was 95.9% (95% CI 93 to 97.8%) and the pooled estimate of specificity was 95.2% (93.6 to 96.5%). However, pooled estimates should be interpreted with caution as these were subject to significant heterogeneity (p=0.025 and p&lt;0.001, respectively). Most studies only appeared to report proximal DVT. Too few data were available to estimate sensitivity for distal DVT.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Suspected Lower Extremity Deep Vein Thrombosis

#### EVIDENCE TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Patients/Events</th>
<th>Study Objective (Purpose of Study)</th>
<th>Study Results</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24. Spritzer CE, Arata MA, Freed KS. Isolated pelvic deep venous thrombosis: relative frequency as detected with MR imaging. Radiology. 2001; 219(2):521-525.</td>
<td>Review/Ot her-Dx</td>
<td>769 MRIs</td>
<td>To determine the relative frequency of DVT isolated to the pelvic veins, as demonstrated with MRI.</td>
<td>DVT was identified in 167 (21.7%) of the 769 MRIs. 34 (20.4%) of the 167 studies demonstrated DVT isolated to the pelvic veins.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25. Cramer SC, Rordorf G, Maki JH, et al. Increased pelvic vein thrombi in cryptogenic stroke: results of the Paradoxical Emboli From Large Veins in Ischemic Stroke (PELVIS) study. Stroke. 2004; 35(1):46-50.</td>
<td>Observatio nal-Dx</td>
<td>95 patients</td>
<td>The Paradoxical Emboli From Large Veins in Ischemic Stroke (PELVIS) study hypothesized that patients with cryptogenic stroke have an increased prevalence of pelvic DVT.</td>
<td>The 95 patients who met entry criteria were scanned. Their mean +/- SD age was 46 +/- 10 years, and time from stroke onset to pelvic MRV scan was 49 +/- 16 hours. Compared with those with stroke of determined origin (n=49), patients with cryptogenic stroke (n=46) were significantly younger, had a higher prevalence of patent foramen ovale (61% vs 19%), and had less atherosclerosis risk factors. Cryptogenic patients had more MRV scans with a high probability for pelvic DVT (20%) than patients with stroke of determined origin (4%, P&lt;0.03), with most having an appearance of a chronic DVT.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26. Loud PA, Katz DS, Klippenstein DL, Shah RD, Grossman ZD. Combined CT venography and pulmonary angiography in suspected thromboembolic disease: diagnostic accuracy for deep venous evaluation. AJR. 2000; 174(1):61-65.</td>
<td>Observatio nal-Dx</td>
<td>71 consecutive patients</td>
<td>Report findings of combined CT venography and pulmonary angiography in patients with suspected PE and compare CT venous findings (interpreted prospectively) with lower extremity venous US.</td>
<td>DVT revealed by CT venous phase images in 19 patients, 12 of whom had PE. CT and US findings correlated exactly in the femoropopliteal deep venous system. CT venous phase images revealed pelvic extension of DVT in 6 patients and isolated vena cava thrombus in one patient. CT venous phase imaging at time of CT pulmonary angiography is comparable with venous US in the evaluation of femoropopliteal DVT.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**EVIDENCE TABLE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Patients/Events</th>
<th>Study Objective (Purpose of Study)</th>
<th>Study Results</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27. Hunsaker AR, Zou KH, Poh AC, et al.</td>
<td>Observational-Dx</td>
<td>829 patients</td>
<td>To assess the utility of performing routine pelvic and lower extremity CT venography along with pulmonary CT angiography in all patients evaluated for PE.</td>
<td>Venous thromboembolism, PE, and DVT occurred in 152 (18.3%), 124 (15.0%), and 61 (7.3%) of 829 patients, respectively. Between the high-risk and low-risk groups, prevalence of venous thromboembolism was 114 (25.6%) of 446 and 38 (9.9%) of 383 patients, respectively (P&lt;0.001); prevalence of PE was 92 (20.6%) of 446 and 32 (8.3%) of 383 patients, respectively (P&lt;0.001). Isolated DVT was found in 28 (3.4%) of 829 patients. The incremental value of CT venography for the entire cohort was 3.4%, 0.72% in the low-risk group (6/829) and 2.6% (22/829) in the high-risk group. For outcome variable venous thromboembolism, malignancy and previous venous thromboembolism were statistically significant (P=0.04 and P&lt;0.001, respectively); for PE, malignancy and previous venous thromboembolism were statistically significant (P=0.03 and P=0.005, respectively); for DVT, only previous venous thromboembolism was statistically significant (P&lt;0.001).</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evidence Table Key

Study Quality Category Definitions

- **Category 1** The study is well-designed and accounts for common biases.
- **Category 2** The study is moderately well-designed and accounts for most common biases.
- **Category 3** There are important study design limitations.
- **Category 4** The study is not useful as primary evidence. The article may not be a clinical study or the study design is invalid, or conclusions are based on expert consensus. For example:
  a. The study does not meet the criteria for or is not a hypothesis-based clinical study (e.g., a book chapter or case report or case series description);
  b. The study may synthesize and draw conclusions about several studies such as a literature review article or book chapter but is not primary evidence;
  c. The study is an expert opinion or consensus document.
- **Meta-analysis**
  a. *Good quality* – the study design, methods, analysis, and results are valid and the conclusion is supported.
  b. *Inadequate quality* – the study design, analysis, and results lack the methodological rigor to be considered a good meta-analysis study.

Abbreviations Key

Dx = Diagnostic
Tx = Treatment