Literature Search

ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Primary Bone Tumors

Literature Search Performed on: 11/06/2016
Beginning Date: January 2012
End Date: October 2016

Database: Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy:
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1  Chondroblastoma/ or Chondroma/ or Chondrosarcoma/ or Osteoblastoma/ or Fibroma, Ossifying/ or Giant Cell Tumor of Bone/ or Osteochondroma/ or Osteoblastoma/ or Osteoma/ or Osteoid/ or Plasmacytoma/ or exp Multiple Myeloma/ or Fibroma, Ossifying/ or exp Bone Neoplasms/ or exp Osteosarcoma/ (170194)
2  neoplasms/ or exp abdominal neoplasms/ or exp anal gland neoplasms/ or exp breast neoplasms/ or exp digestive system neoplasms/ or exp endocrine gland neoplasms/ or exp eye neoplasms/ or exp "head and neck neoplasms"/ or exp hematologic neoplasms/ or exp mammary neoplasms, animal/ or exp nervous system neoplasms/ or exp pelvic neoplasms/ or exp skin neoplasms/ or exp soft tissue neoplasms/ or exp splenic neoplasms/ or exp thoracic neoplasms/ or exp urogenital neoplasms/ (2250641)
3  Bone Neoplasms/sc [Secondary] (16889)
4  1 not (2 or 3) (93582)
5  exp *Diagnostic Imaging/ (739402)
6  4 and 5 (4142)
7  limit 6 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2012 -Current") (568)
8  limit 7 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (167)
9  7 not 8 (401)
10 limit 9 to case reports (92)
11 9 not 10 (309)
12 remove duplicates from 11 (284)

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.