# Literature Search 

ACR Appropriateness Criteria ${ }^{\circledR}$<br>Malignant or Aggressive Primary Musculoskeletal Tumor-<br>Staging And Surveillance

Literature Search Performed on: 11/09/2020
Beginning Date: January 2004
End Date: October 2020
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL < 1946 to October 20, 2020>

## Search Strategy

1 *Bone Neoplasms/ or *Soft Tissue Neoplasms/ or *sarcoma/ (83604)
$2 \exp$ diagnostic imaging/ (2657976)
3 Neoplasm Recurrence, Local/ or Neoplasm Staging/ or Neoplasm Grading/ or Prognosis/ or Incidence/ or exp Neoplasm Metastasis/ or Osteosarcoma/ or Postoperative Period/ or Muscle Neoplasms/ or Leiomyosarcoma/ or Biomarkers, Tumor/ or Catheter Ablation/ or Neoplasms, Vascular Tissue/ or Radiopharmaceuticals/ or Neoplasms, Second Primary/ or *Rhabdomyosarcoma/ or Diagnosis, Differential/ or *chondroma/dg or "Sensitivity and Specificity"/ or *Practice Guidelines as Topic/ (2053911)
4 (staging or imaging or surveillance or recurrence* or malignan\$4).tw. (1824757)
5 and/1-4 (5929)
6 (Gustave Roussy or Scandinavian Sarcoma Group or Cancer Registry).tw. (16576)
7 exp diagnostic imaging/ and (pet and mr).tw. (3130)
8 imaging surveillance.tw. (478)
9 (Radiation-induced and sarcoma).ti. (148)
10 (surveillance and lung metastasis).tw. (138)
111 and (or/6-10) (441)
125 or 11 (6302)
13 limit 12 to (abstracts and english language and humans and $\mathrm{yr}=$ "2004 -Current") (3208)
14 (*Bone Neoplasms/ or *Soft Tissue Neoplasms/ or *sarcoma/) and guidelines.ti. (129)
15 ((who classification or world health organization classification) and radiolog\$4).ti. (8)
1614 or 15 (137)
17 limit 16 to (english language and $y r=" 2004$-Current") (94)
1813 or 17 (3290)
19 limit 18 to case reports (1085)
2018 not 19 (2205)
21 remove duplicates from 20 (2204)

Summary

| Source | \#Unique Refs | \#Retained Refs |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Old bibliography | 83 | 13 |
| Literature Search(es) | 2176 | 40 |
| Author Added | 32 | 31 |
| Supporting Docs | 1 | 1 |
| Total |  | 85 |

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

