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Developmental Dysplasia of the Hip - Child

Literature Search Performed on: 11/01/2016
Beginning Date: January 1990
End Date: October 2016

Database: Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy:
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1   Hip Dislocation, Congenital/ (7497)
2   developmental hip dysplasia.mp. (213)
3   spica.mp. (754)
4   (developmental dysplasia adj3 hip).mp. [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, keyword heading word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier] (1566)
5   1 or 2 or 3 or 4 (8718)
6   exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (1958422)
7   5 and 6 (1095)
8   2 or 4 or 7 (2514)
9   limit 8 to (abstracts and english language and yr="2012 -Current") (688)
10  remove duplicates from 9 (643)
11  limit 10 to case reports (19)
12  10 not 11 (624)
13  limit 12 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (271)
14  limit 12 to (guideline or meta analysis or practice guideline) (6)
15  13 or 14 (273)
16  Acetabulum/pa [Pathology] (1322)
17  Femur Head/pa [Pathology] (1888)
18  16 and 17 (268)
19  limit 18 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="1990 -Current") (153)
20  limit 19 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (61)
21  15 or 20 (333)
22  Animals/ (6012972)
23  21 not 22 (330)
24  exp Arthritis/ (229252)
25  23 not 24 (299)
26  Femur/ (37187)
27  25 not 26 (274)
28  remove duplicates from 27 (272)

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.