

**American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Headache–Child**

Variant 1: Child. Primary headache. Initial imaging.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	Relative Radiation Level
Arteriography cerebral	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
CT head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗
CT head without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
CT head without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗
CT venography head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
CTA head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
MR venography head without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	O
MR venography head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	O
MRA head without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	O
MRI head without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	O
MRI head without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	O
X-ray skull	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗

Variant 2: Child. Secondary headache. Initial imaging.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	Relative Radiation Level
MRI head without IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	O
MRI head without and with IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	O
CT head without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗
MR venography head without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	O
MRA head without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	O
CT venography head with IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
CTA head with IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
MR venography head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	O
Arteriography cerebral	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
CT head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗
CT head without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
X-ray skull	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗

Variant 3:**Child. Sudden severe headache (thunderclap headache). Initial imaging.**

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	Relative Radiation Level
CT head without IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗
MRA head without IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	○
MRI head without IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	○
CTA head with IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
CT head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗
CT head without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
CT venography head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
MR venography head without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	○
MRI head without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	○
Arteriography cerebral	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
MR venography head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	○
X-ray skull	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗

Variant 4:**Child. Headache attributed to infection. Initial imaging.**

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	Relative Radiation Level
MRI head without and with IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	○
CT head with IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗
CT head without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗
MR venography head without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	○
MR venography head with IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	○
MRA head without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	○
MRI head without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	○
CTA head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
CT head without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
CT venography head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
Arteriography cerebral	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗⊗⊗
X-ray skull	Usually Not Appropriate	⊗⊗

Variant 5:**Child. Headache attributed to remote trauma. Initial imaging.**

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	Relative Radiation Level
MRI head without IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	0
CT head without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	☼☼☼
CT venography head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	☼☼☼☼
CTA head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	☼☼☼☼
MR venography head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	0
MR venography head without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	0
MRA head without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	0
MRI head without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	0
Arteriography cerebral	Usually Not Appropriate	☼☼☼☼
CT head with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	☼☼☼
CT head without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	☼☼☼☼
X-ray skull	Usually Not Appropriate	☼☼

HEADACHE–CHILD

Expert Panel on Pediatric Imaging: Laura L. Hayes, MD^a; Susan Palasis, MD^b; Twyla T. Bartel, DO^c; Timothy N. Booth, MD^d; Ramesh S. Iyer, MD^e; Jeremy Y. Jones, MD^f; Nadja Kadom, MD^g; Sarah S. Milla, MD^h; John S. Myseros, MDⁱ; Ann Pakalnis, MD^j; Sonia Partap, MD, MS^k; Richard L. Robertson, MD^l; Maura E. Ryan, MD^m; Gaurav Saigal, MDⁿ; Bruno P. Soares, MD^o; Aylin Tekes, MD^p; Boaz K. Karmazyn, MD.^q

Summary of Literature Review

Introduction/Background

Headache is a common complaint, even in early childhood. The prevalence of headaches increases with age and ranges from 37% to 51% for children 7 years of age and gradually increases to 57% to 82% by 15 years of age [1]. Prepubertal boys were found to commonly experience more headaches than girls, whereas after puberty, girls were more affected [2].

Headaches can be either primary or secondary in nature. Primary headaches result from the headache condition itself and not from another cause. A secondary headache is a headache that is present because of another condition. Diagnosis of primary headache disorders of children rests principally on clinical criteria as defined by the International Headache Society [3]. The evaluation of a child with headache begins with acquiring a thorough medical history and performing a physical examination with measurement of vital signs, including blood pressure, a complete neurologic examination, and examination of the optic discs.

Primary headaches, such as migraine or tension headaches that are typically chronic or recurrent, are the predominant type of headache in children. It is important to recognize that migraine headaches in young children may not meet the usual diagnostic criteria (eg, they are usually of shorter duration than those of adults) [1,4]. Imaging in these patients shows a low rate (0.9%–1.2%) of clinically significant findings [5,6].

Secondary headache is more common in younger children [7,8]. Most of the secondary headaches have benign etiologies. A single episode of acute headache usually results from an acute infection ranging from viral upper respiratory illness to acute meningitis. Chronic progressive headaches often indicate a serious underlying abnormality, such as a brain tumor, and children with abnormal neurological findings should undergo neuroimaging.

The clinical experiences of primary care physicians, pediatricians, and neurologists indicate that neuroimaging studies have a limited role in children with primary headaches [1]. The high prevalence of headaches and the low yield of imaging in pediatric patients presenting with headaches alone bring into question the value of screening for patients with primary headaches. Pediatric headache literature has repeatedly reported that the value of neuroimaging in children with headache is generally low [9-12]. In a study by Yilmaz et al [12] of 449 children with headache, approximately 55% of children had migraine, 30% had tension-type headaches, 10% had secondary headaches, and 5% were unspecified. Twenty-one percent of imaged children (n = 324) had abnormalities identified on their magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examinations, largely incidental findings, with <1% having relevant findings to explain the headache, namely tumor with hydrocephalus. Similarly, Martens et al [11] found that even though some neurological signs were present in a substantial number of children with primary headaches, mostly migraines, the yield of brain MRI scans was still low. Therefore, the yield of brain MRI is not contributory to the diagnostic and therapeutic approach in children with primary headaches.

Based on analysis of a large body of evidence, the practice parameters authored by the American Academy of Neurology and Child Neurology Society recommend considering neuroimaging in children with an abnormal neurologic examination (eg, focal findings, signs of increased intracranial pressure, significant alteration of

^aPrincipal Author, Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia. ^bPanel Chair, Emory University and Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia. ^cGlobal Advanced Imaging, PLLC, Little Rock, Arizona. ^dChildren's Medical Center, Dallas, Texas. ^eSeattle Children's Hospital, Seattle, Washington. ^fTexas Children's Hospital, Houston, Texas. ^gEmory University and Children's of Atlanta (Egleston), Atlanta, Georgia. ^hEmory University and Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia. ⁱChildren's National Medical Center, Washington, District of Columbia; neurosurgical consultant. ^jNationwide Children's Hospital, Columbus, Ohio; American Academy of Neurology. ^kStanford University, Stanford, California; American Academy of Pediatrics. ^lBoston Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. ^mAnn & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois. ⁿJackson Memorial Hospital, Miami, Florida. ^oJohns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland. ^pJohns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland. ^qSpecialty Chair, Riley Hospital for Children Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: publications@acr.org

consciousness), the coexistence of seizures, or both. Furthermore, neuroimaging should be considered in children in whom there are historical features to suggest the recent onset of severe headache, change in the type of headache, or if there are associated features that suggest neurologic dysfunction [2]. Unfortunately, regardless of the evidence, imaging is often requested by the parents or physicians because the need to distinguish primary headaches from secondary headaches is often challenging and stress inducing, despite the fact that serious intracranial pathology in children is rare [13-15].

Advanced imaging modalities such as computed tomography (CT) and MRI are preferred when neuroimaging in children is considered. CT exposes children to radiation, whereas MRI sometimes requires sedation or general anesthesia, especially in children <6 years of age. Therefore, neuroimaging should be reserved for children with a suspicious clinical history, abnormal neurological findings, or other physical signs suggestive of significant intracranial pathology [7,9].

Overview of Imaging Modalities

Radiography

Radiographs have little role in the imaging of children with headache. They may be appropriate in headache attributed to head trauma (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “[Head Trauma–Child](#)” [16]).

MRI

MRI provides the best evaluation of the brain parenchyma and other intracranial soft tissues as well as characterization of the contents of the extra-axial spaces. In children presenting with headache and positive neurologic findings, an MRI examination will usually be more revealing than other modalities. Therefore, MRI is the preferred technique for the imaging evaluation [17,18]. If an abnormality is identified on the noncontrast MRI scan, postcontrast imaging is recommended as contrast increases the sensitivity for detecting and characterizing tumor and inflammatory products. If seizures are suspected, a noncontrast MRI should be performed as structural abnormalities do not require contrast in order to be detected (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “[Seizures–Child](#)” [19]). If complicated sinusitis is suspected, MRI to include intravenous (IV) contrast is the preferred method of imaging. Some children, especially those under the age of 6, will require sedation for MRI.

CT

In most cases, CT is usually not the study of choice for imaging children with headaches. However, there are some cases when a CT scan of the head is indicated because of its speed and sensitivity for detecting acute blood products. In the emergency setting, if a brain tumor is suspected, CT without IV contrast can be performed initially; however, a contrast-enhanced study may be indicated if it is not possible to perform an MRI scan of the brain. In patients with thunderclap headache, subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) from a ruptured aneurysm or arteriovenous malformation must be excluded; therefore, a noncontrast CT scan of the head is the imaging modality of choice as it is superior to MRI in detecting acute SAH [20]. If subarachnoid or parenchymal hemorrhage is detected, further evaluation for aneurysm or vascular malformation must be performed. This evaluation can be accomplished by CT angiography (CTA), conventional arteriography, or MR angiography (MRA) [21,22].

CT is sometimes performed in the acute setting of suspected intracranial infection prior to lumbar puncture to help determine if it is safe to perform the procedure (by excluding low position of the cerebellar tonsils and excluding mass lesions or cerebral edema producing midline shift or herniation). In cases of extracranial infections, such as sinusitis, CT may be performed (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “[Sinusitis–Child](#)” [23]). If intracranial spread of disease is suspected, CT with IV contrast that can detect suppurative fluid collections can be performed.

MRV

MR venography (MRV) is the study of choice in children with suspected venous outflow stenosis, such as those with pseudotumor cerebri, or those with venous sinus thrombosis, such as mastoiditis. MRV can be performed with or without IV contrast. MRV with contrast can be helpful in the detection of intracranial sinovenous stenosis that can go undetected because of artifactual flow voids in the transverse sinuses on traditional noncontrast (time-of-flight) MRV [24].

CTV

If MRV is not possible, or in cases in which the results of MRV are ambiguous, imaging with contrast-enhanced CT venography (CTV) has been found to be a fast, widely accessible alternative approach with high sensitivity and specificity in detecting venous sinus thrombosis [25]. MRV is generally preferred over CTV because of

radiation concerns.

MRA

If subarachnoid or parenchymal hemorrhage is detected, further evaluation for aneurysm or vascular malformation must be performed. This evaluation can be accomplished by MRA, CTA, or conventional arteriography [21,22]. MRA can be performed without IV contrast and is easily added to a standard MRI study if a stroke or hemorrhage is detected. If there is strong concern for arterial dissection within the head and/or neck, the diagnosis is generally made by MRI or MRA [26].

CTA

CTA can be employed to evaluate for possible arterial dissection within the head and/or neck (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] on “[Cerebrovascular Disease](#)” [27]). This study requires IV contrast and can be added to the initial CT scan of the head if there is evidence of a stroke or hemorrhage.

Arteriography

In children with sudden onset of severe headache and a positive MRI or CT study demonstrating intracranial hemorrhage or stroke, digital subtraction arteriography can be performed. Arteriography is an invasive procedure that requires a skilled angiographer to be available emergently.

Discussion of Procedures by Variant

Variant 1: Child. Primary headache. Initial imaging.

Radiography

There is no role for radiography in patients with primary headache.

MRI

In a study by Yilmaz et al [12] of 449 children with headache, approximately 55% of children had migraine, 30% had tension-type headaches, 10% had secondary headaches, and 5% were unspecified. Twenty-one percent of imaged children (n = 324) had abnormalities identified on their MRI examinations, largely incidental findings, with <1% having relevant findings to explain the headache, namely tumor with hydrocephalus. Similarly, Martens et al [11] found that despite findings on neurological/physical examinations in a substantial number of children with headaches, mostly migraines, the yield of brain MRI scans was low. Therefore, the yield of brain MRI is not contributory to the diagnostic and therapeutic approach. In unusual circumstances when a complete physical examination is not possible or a thorough history is not available MRI could be considered.

CT

Similar to MRI, neuroimaging with CT rarely contributes to the evaluation of children with primary headache. “Sinus headache” is a common misdiagnosis to adult and pediatric migraneurs. A study by Gelfand et al [28] found that approximately 62% of pediatric migraneurs had at least 1 cranial autonomic symptom arising from activation of the trigeminal-autonomic reflex such as rhinorrhea, a greater percentage than is found in adults. In the pediatric patient with recurrent headaches and symptoms of sinusitis, a migraine with cranial autonomic symptoms should be considered.

CTA

There is no role for CTA in patients with primary headache and no concerning findings on clinical or physical examination.

CTV

There is no role for CTV in patients with primary headache and no concerning findings on clinical or physical examination.

MRA

There is no role for MRA in patients with primary headache and no concerning findings on clinical or physical examination.

MRV

There is no role for MRV in patients with primary headache and no concerning findings on clinical or physical examination. The use of contrast in MRV depends on institutional preferences.

Arteriography

There is no role for arteriography in patients with primary headache and no concerning findings on clinical or physical examination.

Variant 2: Child. Secondary headache. Initial imaging.

According to the International Headache Society, secondary headaches include those attributed to head and/or neck trauma, cranial or cervical vascular disorder, nonvascular intracranial disorder, a substance or its withdrawal, infection, a disorder of homeostasis, or psychiatric disorder. Secondary headaches or facial pain can also be related to disorders of the cranium, neck, eyes, ears, nose, sinuses, teeth, mouth, or other facial or cranial structures. This discussion does not include headache attributable to acute trauma (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] on “[Head Trauma–Child](#)” [16] and “[Suspected Physical Abuse–Child](#)” [29]).

Radiography

Radiographs are usually not appropriate in the imaging of children with headache. They may be appropriate in headache in children with suspected head trauma (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] on “[Head Trauma–Child](#)” [16] and “[Suspected Physical Abuse–Child](#)” [29]).

MRI

If there are signs of increased intracranial pressure and if there is concern for possible tumor, MRI is the imaging modality of choice. Major studies addressing the issues of brain tumors and indications for imaging, including the data from 3,291 children described by the Childhood Brain Tumor Consortium [30], 315 children in the Boston Children’s review [31], and 245 children in Germany [32], suggest that nearly all children with intracranial tumors have other symptoms or neurologic signs accompanying their headache. Symptoms depend on the location of the tumor and on the age of the patients. Increased intracranial pressure leads to an increase of head circumference in the first year of life, which might prevent a rapid development of symptoms [32]. The data from the Childhood Brain Tumor Consortium [30] showed that 94% of children with brain tumors had abnormal neurologic findings at diagnosis and 60% had papilledema. Other neurological findings included gait disturbance, abnormal reflexes, cranial nerve findings, and altered sensation. These studies stress the need for a meticulous neurological and ophthalmological examination. If an abnormality is detected on noncontrast MRI scan, postcontrast imaging is usually indicated.

Another diagnosis to consider in patients with headache and papilledema is pseudotumor cerebri, also known as pseudotumor cerebri syndrome (PTCS). Primary PTCS is also known as idiopathic intracranial hypertension. This disorder typically manifests as severe headaches and visual impairments and prevails in overweight females of childbearing age but can occur in obese males and prepubertal thin girls and boys. Its incidence is rising in parallel with the obesity epidemic. The etiology of pseudotumor cerebri is unclear, with impaired cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) homeostasis and altered venous hemodynamics the proposed mechanisms for elevated intracranial pressure. A study by Alperin et al [33] supported these mechanisms by demonstrating a reduced relative cerebral drainage through the internal jugular vein with an increased intracranial CSF volume that accumulates in the subarachnoid space.

Secondary PTCS is a result of cerebral venous abnormalities such as thrombosis, medications such as vitamin A, and medical disorders such as endocrinopathies [34]. In cases of suspected PTCS, MRI of the brain with and without contrast should be performed as MRI is more sensitive for detection of secondary signs of increased intracranial pressure such as an empty sella, dilated optic sheaths, tortuous or enhancing optic nerves, and flattening of the posterior aspects of the globes. MRI reveals more details of the intracranial structures without radiation and is better able to evaluate for meningeal infiltration and isodense tumors over CT. In patients without PTCS, MRI should reveal normal brain parenchyma without evidence of hydrocephalus, mass, or structural lesion and no abnormal meningeal enhancement. It is important to note that meningeal enhancement can be seen on MRI following lumbar puncture and should not be confused with pathology. Imaging of the orbits including a coronal, fat-saturated T2-weighted sequence is recommended to better evaluate for dilatation of the optic sheaths [24].

In patients in whom there is high suspicion for Chiari I deformity, a noncontrast MRI scan of the brain to include a sagittal T2-weighted sequence of the craniocervical junction with optional phase-contrast CSF flow study at the craniocervical junction is the study of choice. The Chiari I deformity is a condition characterized by the herniation of the cerebellar tonsils through the foramen magnum with headache as its most common symptom in older children [35,36]. In children <3 years of age, abnormal oropharyngeal function is commonly demonstrated. In children >3 years of age, scoliosis (associated with syringohydromyelia) or headache worsened by the Valsalva

maneuver are typical findings. Most literature agrees that occipital headache in children is rare and calls for diagnostic caution; however, isolated occipital and cervical pain are not characteristic symptoms of any headache group in the pediatric age group, and their presence or absence does not correspond to changes on conventional brain MRI [37].

Children with sickle cell anemia are a special subgroup of patients who require particular attention as recurrent headaches and migraines in these children are common and undertreated [17]. Low hemoglobin levels and high pain rates are associated with recurrent headaches and migraines, whereas silent cerebral infarction is not. However, acute headache in children with sickle cell anemia is more frequently associated with acute central nervous system events than in the general pediatric population, so the threshold to image these patients should be lower. These children are at risk for posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome, especially after a bone marrow transplant, and for SAH, especially in the setting of arterial aneurysm. A history of stroke, transient ischemic attack, seizures, neurological symptoms, focal neurological examination, or elevated platelet counts at presentation warrants confirmatory imaging studies [38]. MRI is the imaging modality of choice in these children because of its superior sensitivity for infarction and other parenchymal abnormalities.

Seizures are one of the most common secondary etiologies for headache and often have auras similar to some migraines [39]. MRI without IV contrast is indicated in the evaluation of patients with seizures.

CT

Most often used in the emergency setting, CT may be indicated in the evaluation of children with secondary headache, especially in the setting of trauma (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] on “[Head Trauma–Child](#)” [16]). CT without contrast may be appropriate in the screening evaluation of children with secondary headache, especially when MRI is not available. Contrast is usually not needed when screening CT is performed.

CTA

If an acute stroke is suspected, CTA in conjunction with a noncontrast CT scan of the head is indicated, with MRI/MRA the preferred modality because of its greater sensitivity in detecting acute stroke versus CT. CT should not be delayed if MRI is not available or feasible. CTA of the head and neck are usually indicated if there is strong suspicion for arterial dissection. If MRA is performed initially to evaluate for arterial dissection and is inconclusive, CTA may be helpful for further evaluation.

CTV

If there is concern for venous outflow obstruction, such as in the setting of venous sinus thrombosis or PTCS, CTV has been found to be an alternative approach with high sensitivity and specificity in detecting venous sinus thrombosis compared with MRV [25]. MRV remains the imaging study of choice over CTV in children.

MRA

MRI is more sensitive for detecting early changes of a stroke, and a concurrent MRA plays an important role in stroke imaging. MRA is indicated for children with sickle cell anemia in the setting of headache.

MRV

In conjunction with MRI, MRV is indicated in patients with possible venous sinus abnormalities, such as those with suspected PTCS. Decreased spinal canal compliance has been identified in patients with PTCS [40]. A study by Dwyer et al [41] that reviewed more than 200 MRVs in suspected cases of pseudotumor cerebri found that 52% of scans showed evidence of venous obstruction in the dominant side of venous circulation. This was statistically higher than in control groups. It is important to note that reversibility of venous outflow obstruction can be seen on MRV in these patients following lumbar puncture, which argues that the presence of venous outflow obstruction could be secondary to the increased intracranial pressure itself [42]. When cerebellar tonsillar ectopia of >5 mm is identified, imaging and clinical consideration of PTCS are warranted to avoid misdiagnosis as Chiari I [43]. In addition to the initial MRV in patients with suspected PTCS, a second MRV following CSF drainage may be helpful. Venous sinus occlusion and arteriovenous fistulas may produce PTCS.

MRV is indicated when there is concern for venous sinus thrombosis, especially in children with intracranial extension of infection. Children with mastoiditis are at a particularly high risk for venous sinus thrombosis. Girls using oral contraceptives are also at increased risk for thrombosis. The use of contrast in MRV depends on institutional preferences. Contrast-enhanced MRV may be helpful when evaluating areas such as the sigmoid venous sinuses, a location often degraded by artifact on noncontrast MRVs.

Arteriography

In patients with evidence for stroke on CT or MRA, arteriography may be helpful for further evaluation, especially when intervention such as thrombolysis or treatment of vascular malformations is considered. Arteriography is also more sensitive in detecting small vessel disease and arterial dissection and may be a useful examination if results of MRA or CTA are unclear and there is strong suspicion for such.

Variant 3: Child. Sudden severe headache (thunderclap headache). Initial imaging.

Radiography

There is no role for radiography in children with sudden severe headache.

MRI

Sudden severe headaches, also known as “thunderclap headaches,” are rare in children, and evidence for appropriate use of imaging [44-48] is mainly based on experience from the adult population. Sudden severe unilateral headaches can be related to carotid or vertebral artery dissection, especially when associated with neurologic signs and symptoms (eg, Horner syndrome). In cases of sudden onset of severe headache and when arterial dissection is suspected, the diagnosis is generally made by MRI as it is more sensitive than CT in detection of acute infarction.

Severe sudden headaches can be associated with SAH and intracranial hemorrhage that may occur with aneurysms or other vascular malformations, such as AVMs and cavernomas. Neuroimaging of children with severe or unusual head pain who have a first-degree relative with an aneurysm or other vascular abnormality is indicated, as these vascular pathologies can be familial but are otherwise uncommon [39]. The cornerstone for the diagnosis of SAH is a noncontrast CT scan; however, the use of MRI techniques such as proton-density-weighted imaging, susceptibility-weighted imaging (SWI)/gradient-recalled echo (GRE) imaging, or T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) imaging improves the diagnosis of acute SAH, as conventional sequences are insensitive to the finding [21]. A study by Mitchell et al [49] found that sensitivity to SAH varied among MR sequence from 50% to 94% in acute SAH and from 33% to 100% in subacute SAH. The most sensitive sequences were FLAIR and SWI/GRE. It is important to note that signal in the sulci on the FLAIR sequence can be artifactually increased in children receiving propofol and supplemental oxygenation and can mimic SAH. Meningitis can also give this appearance.

CT

In the acute setting, noncontrast CT is indicated in the evaluation of acute thunderclap headache. The sensitivity of CT for the detection of acute SAH is greater than MRI at 98% with a specificity of 99% [50]. CT is often the initial imaging study of choice because of availability and lack of need for sedation.

CTA

CTA may be appropriate in the setting of patients with thunderclap headache, especially if SAH is identified on noncontrast CT scan of the head. CTA is readily available in most cases and is comparable to arteriography in the evaluation of children with acute intracranial hemorrhage. In 2008, Agid et al [44] determined that CTA is faster, safer (ie, better) care for patients with SAH. A 2011 meta-analysis by Westerlaan et al [48] concluded that multidetector CTA can be used as a primary examination tool in the diagnostic workup of patients with SAH. For aneurysms ≥ 5 mm, sensitivity of CTA is between 95% and 100% compared with between 64% and 83% for aneurysms < 5 mm [21].

CTV

Except in cases of thunderclap headache related to an AVM, CTV is usually not indicated in patients with thunderclap headache.

MRA

MRA in conjunction with MRI is indicated in patients with thunderclap headache. In patients with suspected arterial dissection, MRA of the neck is also indicated [26]. The sensitivity of noncontrast MRI for detecting aneurysms ≥ 5 mm is 85% to 100% and 56% for aneurysms < 5 mm [21]. The sensitivity increases with IV contrast.

MRV

Except in cases of thunderclap headache related to an AVM, MRV is usually not indicated in patients with thunderclap headache. The use of contrast in MRV depends on institutional preferences.

Arteriography

As an invasive and often unavailable study, arteriography is rarely the initial angiographic evaluation performed in children with thunderclap headache. A study in 2011 by Sabri et al [47] evaluated patients that presented with intracranial hemorrhage, predominantly SAH. Their findings showed that the yield from CTA and arteriography are relatively comparable, but that arteriography is superior in detection of aneurysm. Hence, in cases in which the CTA result was found to be normal despite high suspicion for lesion in the setting of SAH, a follow-up CTA or arteriography is considered useful. However, use of CTA over arteriography has been controversial. In 2007, Kallmes et al [45] declared that because both negative and positive CTA scans mandate subsequent conventional angiography, the CTA should be dispensed with and patients should proceed directly to arteriography. Furthermore, Moran et al [46] declared that conventional angiography with arteriography is the ideal method for imaging these patients because of its ability to detect aneurysms quickly, reliably, and safely and that it guides the prompt proper therapy. The applicability of these adult-based studies to the pediatric population is debatable.

Variant 4: Child. Headache attributed to infection. Initial imaging.

Radiography

In children with headaches related to infection, radiography is usually not appropriate. Radiography is very limited in the evaluation of the paranasal sinuses, especially in children in whom the sinuses are small and the study is difficult to perform (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] on “[Sinusitis–Child](#)” [23]).

MRI

In a study by Lateef et al [1], the overwhelming majority of acute headaches in children and adolescents were attributable to common, minor, transient conditions, such as upper respiratory illness. Headache is the most common symptom identified with the intracranial spread of infection resulting from dural irritation and localized encephalitis. The headache can be attributed to either intracranial or extracranial infections.

In the setting of suspected intracranial infection, the need for neuroimaging is guided by laboratory tests and clinical signs [51]. Clinical signs suggesting intracranial abnormality include high fever and change in mental status with and without focal signs. Neurologic signs and symptoms such as nuchal rigidity or alteration in consciousness may be indications for imaging. Symptoms in infants may be nonspecific, including fever, poor feeding, irritability, and lethargy. Seizures are not uncommon in these young children, mostly occurring when the inflammation has progressed to involve the brain parenchyma. Older children may have fever, headache, nausea, vomiting, confusion, stiff neck, and photophobia. Symptoms of viral meningitis can resemble those of the flu. An MRI scan of the brain is indicated in patients with signs of intracranial infection with headache. MRI with and without IV contrast is indicated in the evaluation of intracranial infections that include meningitis, encephalitis, and brain abscess. MRI may improve the sensitivity for detecting encephalitis as T2 FLAIR is sensitive for vasogenic edema, diffusion-weighted imaging is sensitive for cytotoxic edema, and postcontrast T1 and T2 FLAIR sequences are sensitive for meningeal enhancement. The combination of MRI sequences can be very helpful to exclude mimics of encephalitis, identify the extent of inflammation, and confirm if lesion distribution is concordant with symptoms [52]. The distribution of abnormalities on MRI can help guide in determining the pathogen in some cases. For instance, brainstem and spinal cord involvement is common with enterovirus, and basal ganglia/thalamic involvement is common with West Nile virus or Japanese encephalitis. It is important to note that the classic limbic distribution of herpes simplex virus-1 may not always be present, and that extratemporal involvement is not uncommon [52].

Extracranial infections, including subdural empyemas (SDE) and epidural empyemas, can also be well evaluated with MRI. Epidural empyemas are collections of suppurative fluid located between the skull and dura. In infants, SDE is most commonly a complication of purulent meningitis, whereas in older children the source of SDE is typically direct extension of sinusitis or otitis media into the extracranial spaces. MRI can help identify epidural empyemas because of its ability to distinguish between different types of fluid, especially with use of diffusion-weighted imaging. Acute meningitis is a common neurological emergency and the diagnosis is usually made based on clinical and laboratory findings.

CT

Neuroimaging is reserved for specific adverse features, such as prompt diagnosis of SAH, or underlying causes, such as mastoiditis. Neurologic signs and symptoms such as nuchal rigidity or alteration in consciousness may be indications for imaging with CT. However, the sensitivity of CT in diagnosing pediatric encephalitis in comparison to MRI is generally poor [52]. In the emergency setting, CT may be indicated in evaluating children

with suspected intracranial infection, often performed prior to lumbar puncture. IV contrast is recommended in these patients if MRI is not rapidly available. A negative noncontrast CT scan of the head should not conclude the evaluation for suspected encephalitis. In a study by Bykowski et al [52], cranial CTs were the initial study in 94 patients, and abnormal findings were present in 22. An additional 26 children had a normal acute CT and abnormal findings identified on MRI performed within 2 days [52].

CT can be especially helpful in the evaluation for sinusitis and mastoiditis and may be appropriate in evaluating children with headache related to extracranial infections. Sinus disease may present with headache or may be associated with it. The diagnosis of acute sinusitis in children is made clinically; however, in children who present with severe and persistent headache as the dominant feature of sinusitis, imaging may be warranted (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “[Sinusitis–Child](#)” [23]). Noncontrast CT scan of the paranasal sinuses is also indicated for surgical planning, usually requiring specific imaging protocols.

CTA

The role for CTA is limited in children with headache attributed to infection unless SAH or stroke is suspected and MRI/MRA is not possible.

CTV

As children with mastoiditis are at particularly high risk for venous sinus thrombosis, CTV may be helpful in the evaluation of these patients. Children with sphenoid sinusitis are also at risk for cavernous sinus thrombosis, and CTV may be helpful in these patients.

MRA

The role for MRA is limited in children with headache attributed to infection unless SAH or stroke is suspected. If arteritis is suspected, as can be seen in the setting of sphenoid sinusitis and skull base osteomyelitis, MRA may be helpful.

MRV

If venous sinus thrombosis is suspected, MRV is indicated. It should be noted, however, that in some cases of infection-induced venous sinus or cavernous sinus thrombosis, contrast-enhanced MRI could be superior to MRV as it shows the cross-sectional area of the vein with direct delineation of the thrombus itself and not just the absence of flow in the lumen, as seen on MRV [53]. The use of contrast in MRV depends on institutional preferences.

Arteriography

There is usually no role for arteriography in the evaluation of children with headache related to infection.

Variant 5: Child. Headache attributed to remote trauma. Initial imaging.

Radiography

Clearly, intracranial imaging plays a critical role in the evaluation of the acutely injured patient; however, because headache is rarely a major indication for imaging, in the context of this Appropriateness Criteria topic we will consider only the evaluation of headache related to subacute or remote trauma (see the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® on “[Head Trauma–Child](#)” [16]). Radiography is not indicated in the setting of headache related to remote trauma.

MRI

Patients who have a history of subacute or remote trauma may present with headaches. Post-traumatic headache is defined as a headache that begins within 2 weeks of a closed head injury. A prospective study of children admitted with a closed head injury (minor 79%, major 21%) found that 7% of children reported chronic post-traumatic headaches, 4% had episodic tension-type headaches, and 2.5% had migraine without aura [54]. When neurologic signs or symptoms are positive, when headaches are associated with vomiting, or when headaches are increasing in frequency, duration, or severity, regardless of the severity of the initial trauma, neuroimaging, preferably with noncontrast MRI, is indicated. SWI or GRE imaging is helpful in identifying hemosiderin deposition related to prior hemorrhage and should be included in the MRI examination. These sequences are limited because of susceptibility artifact in children with orthodontic braces or other metallic hardware, especially on higher Tesla strength MRI scanners.

CT

CT is usually not indicated in children with headaches attributed to remote trauma. A retrospective study by

Dayan et al [55] identified 2,462 children who had minor blunt head trauma and headaches as their only symptom. None of these children had clinically important traumatic brain injuries, and only 0.7% had a traumatic brain injury identified on CT scan of the head. CT can be used if there are concerning symptoms and MRI is not possible.

CTA

Unless there is concern for a post-traumatic arterial abnormality, such as an aneurysm or arteriovenous fistula detected by CT or MRI, CTA is usually not indicated in these patients.

CTV

CTV is usually not indicated in children with headache secondary to remote trauma.

MRA

Unless there is concern for a post-traumatic arterial abnormality such as an aneurysm or arteriovenous fistula detected by CT or MRI, MRA is usually not indicated in these patients. MRA is preferred over CTA.

MRV

MRV is usually not indicated in children with headache secondary to remote trauma. The use of contrast in MRV depends on institutional preferences.

Arteriography

Arteriography is usually not indicated in children with headache secondary to remote trauma.

Summary of Recommendations

- For the initial imaging of primary headache in children, imaging is usually not appropriate.
- For the initial imaging of secondary headache in children, MRI head without IV contrast is usually appropriate, and postcontrast imaging is indicated if the noncontrast study is abnormal.
- For the initial imaging of sudden severe headache (thunderclap headache) in children, either noncontrast CT head or MRI brain is usually appropriate. Noncontrast MRA head is also usually appropriate.
- For the initial imaging of headache attributed to infection in children, MRI head with and without IV contrast is usually appropriate.
- For the initial imaging of headache attributed to remote trauma in children, MRI head without contrast is usually appropriate.

Summary of Evidence

Of the 56 references cited in the *ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Headache-Child* document, 1 is categorized as a therapeutic reference. Additionally, 53 references are categorized as diagnostic references including 3 good-quality studies, and 15 quality studies that may have design limitations. There are 36 references that may not be useful as primary evidence. There are 2 references that are meta-analysis studies.

The 56 references cited in the *ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Headache-Child* document were published from 1991 to 2016.

Although there are references that report on studies with design limitations, 3 well-designed or good-quality studies provide good evidence.

Appropriateness Category Names and Definitions

Appropriateness Category Name	Appropriateness Rating	Appropriateness Category Definition
Usually Appropriate	7, 8, or 9	The imaging procedure or treatment is indicated in the specified clinical scenarios at a favorable risk-benefit ratio for patients.
May Be Appropriate	4, 5, or 6	The imaging procedure or treatment may be indicated in the specified clinical scenarios as an alternative to imaging procedures or treatments with a more favorable risk-benefit ratio, or the risk-benefit ratio for patients is equivocal.
May Be Appropriate (Disagreement)	5	The individual ratings are too dispersed from the panel median. The different label provides transparency regarding the panel's recommendation. "May be appropriate" is the rating category and a rating of 5 is assigned.
Usually Not Appropriate	1, 2, or 3	The imaging procedure or treatment is unlikely to be indicated in the specified clinical scenarios, or the risk-benefit ratio for patients is likely to be unfavorable.

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document [56].

Relative Radiation Level Designations		
Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
○	0 mSv	0 mSv
⊕	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
⊕⊕	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
⊕⊕⊕	1-10 mSv	0.3-3 mSv
⊕⊕⊕⊕	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
⊕⊕⊕⊕⊕	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv

*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (e.g., region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as "Varies".

Supporting Documents

For additional information on the Appropriateness Criteria methodology and other supporting documents go to www.acr.org/ac.

References

1. Lateef TM, Grewal M, McClintock W, Chamberlain J, Kaulas H, Nelson KB. Headache in young children in the emergency department: use of computed tomography. *Pediatrics*. 2009;124(1):e12-17.
2. Lewis DW, Ashwal S, Dahl G, et al. Practice parameter: evaluation of children and adolescents with recurrent headaches: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology and the Practice Committee of the Child Neurology Society. *Neurology*. 2002;59(4):490-498.
3. International Headache Society. ICHD / Guidelines. Available at: <http://www.ihs-headache.org/ichd-guidelines>. Accessed December 4, 2017.
4. Arruda MA, Guidetti V, Galli F, Albuquerque RC, Bigal ME. Primary headaches in childhood--a population-based study. *Cephalalgia*. 2010;30(9):1056-1064.
5. Schwedt TJ, Guo Y, Rothner AD. "Benign" imaging abnormalities in children and adolescents with headache. *Headache*. 2006;46(3):387-398.
6. Sempere AP, Porta-Etessam J, Medrano V, et al. Neuroimaging in the evaluation of patients with non-acute headache. *Cephalalgia*. 2005;25(1):30-35.
7. Nallasamy K, Singhi SC, Singhi P. Approach to headache in emergency department. *Indian J Pediatr*. 2012;79(3):376-380.
8. Raieli V, Eliseo M, Pandolfi E, et al. Recurrent and chronic headaches in children below 6 years of age. *J Headache Pain*. 2005;6(3):135-142.
9. Alexiou GA, Argyropoulou MI. Neuroimaging in childhood headache: a systematic review. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2013;43(7):777-784.
10. DeVries A, Young PC, Wall E, et al. CT scan utilization patterns in pediatric patients with recurrent headache. *Pediatrics*. 2013;132(1):e1-8.
11. Martens D, Oster I, Gottschling S, et al. Cerebral MRI and EEG studies in the initial management of pediatric headaches. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2012;142:w13625.
12. Yilmaz U, Celegen M, Yilmaz TS, Gurcinar M, Unalp A. Childhood headaches and brain magnetic resonance imaging findings. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol*. 2014;18(2):163-170.
13. Rho YI, Chung HJ, Suh ES, et al. The role of neuroimaging in children and adolescents with recurrent headaches--multicenter study. *Headache*. 2011;51(3):403-408.
14. Roser T, Bonfert M, Ebinger F, Blankenburg M, Ertl-Wagner B, Heinen F. Primary versus secondary headache in children: a frequent diagnostic challenge in clinical routine. *Neuropediatrics*. 2013;44(1):34-39.
15. Abu-Arafeh I, Macleod S. Serious neurological disorders in children with chronic headache. *Arch Dis Child*. 2005;90(9):937-940.
16. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Head Trauma — Child. Available at: <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3083021/Narrative/>. Accessed December 4, 2017.
17. Dowling MM, Noetzel MJ, Rodeghier MJ, et al. Headache and migraine in children with sickle cell disease are associated with lower hemoglobin and higher pain event rates but not silent cerebral infarction. *J Pediatr*. 2014;164(5):1175-1180 e1171.
18. Ozge A, Termine C, Antonaci F, Natriashvili S, Guidetti V, Wober-Bingol C. Overview of diagnosis and management of paediatric headache. Part I: diagnosis. *J Headache Pain*. 2011;12(1):13-23.
19. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Seizures — Child. Available at: <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69441/Narrative/>. Accessed December 4, 2017.
20. Mortimer AM, Bradley MD, Stoodley NG, Renowden SA. Thunderclap headache: diagnostic considerations and neuroimaging features. *Clin Radiol*. 2013;68(3):e101-113.
21. Bederson JB, Connolly ES, Jr., Batjer HH, et al. Guidelines for the management of aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: a statement for healthcare professionals from a special writing group of the Stroke Council, American Heart Association. *Stroke*. 2009;40(3):994-1025.
22. Morgenstern LB, Hemphill JC, 3rd, Anderson C, et al. Guidelines for the management of spontaneous intracerebral hemorrhage: a guideline for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke*. 2010;41(9):2108-2129.
23. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Sinusitis — Child. Available at: <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69442/Narrative/>. Accessed December 4, 2017.
24. Degnan AJ, Levy LM. Pseudotumor cerebri: brief review of clinical syndrome and imaging findings. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2011;32(11):1986-1993.

25. Linn J, Ertl-Wagner B, Seelos KC, et al. Diagnostic value of multidetector-row CT angiography in the evaluation of thrombosis of the cerebral venous sinuses. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2007;28(5):946-952.
26. Abend NS, Younkin D, Lewis DW. Secondary headaches in children and adolescents. *Semin Pediatr Neurol*. 2010;17(2):123-133.
27. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Cerebrovascular Disease. Available at: <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69478/Narrative/>. Accessed December 4, 2017.
28. Gelfand AA, Reider AC, Goadsby PJ. Cranial autonomic symptoms in pediatric migraine are the rule, not the exception. *Neurology*. 2013;81(5):431-436.
29. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Suspected Physical Abuse—Child. Available at: <https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69443/Narrative/>. Accessed December 4, 2017.
30. The epidemiology of headache among children with brain tumor. Headache in children with brain tumors. The Childhood Brain Tumor Consortium. *J Neurooncol*. 1991;10(1):31-46.
31. Medina LS, Pinter JD, Zurakowski D, Davis RG, Kuban K, Barnes PD. Children with headache: clinical predictors of surgical space-occupying lesions and the role of neuroimaging. *Radiology*. 1997;202(3):819-824.
32. Reulecke BC, Erker CG, Fiedler BJ, Niederstadt TU, Kurlemann G. Brain tumors in children: initial symptoms and their influence on the time span between symptom onset and diagnosis. *J Child Neurol*. 2008;23(2):178-183.
33. Alperin N, Ranganathan S, Bagci AM, et al. MRI evidence of impaired CSF homeostasis in obesity-associated idiopathic intracranial hypertension. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2013;34(1):29-34.
34. Friedman DI, Liu GT, Digre KB. Revised diagnostic criteria for the pseudotumor cerebri syndrome in adults and children. *Neurology*. 2013;81(13):1159-1165.
35. Toldo I, Tangari M, Mardari R, et al. Headache in children with Chiari I malformation. *Headache*. 2014;54(5):899-908.
36. Victorio MC, Khoury CK. Headache and Chiari I Malformation in Children and Adolescents. *Semin Pediatr Neurol*. 2016;23(1):35-39.
37. Eidlitz-Markus T, Zeharia A, Haimi-Cohen Y, Konen O. Occipital and craniocervical pain and brain MRI in children with migraine. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2014;50(4):347-352.
38. Hines PC, McKnight TP, Seto W, Kwiatkowski JL. Central nervous system events in children with sickle cell disease presenting acutely with headache. *J Pediatr*. 2011;159(3):472-478.
39. Ahad R, Kossoff EH. Secondary intracranial causes for headaches in children. *Curr Pain Headache Rep*. 2008;12(5):373-378.
40. Alperin N, Lam BL, Tain RW, et al. Evidence for altered spinal canal compliance and cerebral venous drainage in untreated idiopathic intracranial hypertension. *Acta Neurochir Suppl*. 2012;114:201-205.
41. Dwyer CM, Prelog K, Owler BK. The role of venous sinus outflow obstruction in pediatric idiopathic intracranial hypertension. *J Neurosurg Pediatr*. 2013;11(2):144-149.
42. Rohr A, Dorner L, Stingele R, Buhl R, Alfke K, Jansen O. Reversibility of venous sinus obstruction in idiopathic intracranial hypertension. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2007;28(4):656-659.
43. Aiken AH, Hoots JA, Saindane AM, Hudgins PA. Incidence of cerebellar tonsillar ectopia in idiopathic intracranial hypertension: a mimic of the Chiari I malformation. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2012;33(10):1901-1906.
44. Agid R, Willinsky RA, Farb RI, Terbrugge KG. Life at the end of the tunnel: why emergent CT angiography should be done for patients with acute subarachnoid hemorrhage. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2008;29(6):e45; author reply e46-47.
45. Kallmes DF, Layton K, Marx WF, Tong F. Death by nondiagnosis: why emergent CT angiography should not be done for patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2007;28(10):1837-1838.
46. Moran CJ. Aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage: DSA versus CT angiography--is the answer available? *Radiology*. 2011;258(1):15-17.
47. Sabri A, Robbs JV, Maharajh J, Sikwila TC. Descriptive retrospective analysis of the diagnostic yield and morbidity of four vessel catheter-directed cerebral angiography and multidetector computed tomographic angiography (MDCTA) performed at Inkosi Albert Luthuli Central Hospital (IALCH). *Eur J Radiol*. 2011;80(2):498-501.
48. Westerlaan HE, van Dijk JM, Jansen-van der Weide MC, et al. Intracranial aneurysms in patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage: CT angiography as a primary examination tool for diagnosis--systematic review and meta-analysis. *Radiology*. 2011;258(1):134-145.

49. Mitchell P, Wilkinson ID, Hoggard N, et al. Detection of subarachnoid haemorrhage with magnetic resonance imaging. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2001;70(2):205-211.
50. Dubosh NM, Bellolio MF, Rabinstein AA, Edlow JA. Sensitivity of Early Brain Computed Tomography to Exclude Aneurysmal Subarachnoid Hemorrhage: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Stroke*. 2016;47(3):750-755.
51. Hughes DC, Raghavan A, Mordekar SR, Griffiths PD, Connolly DJ. Role of imaging in the diagnosis of acute bacterial meningitis and its complications. *Postgrad Med J*. 2010;86(1018):478-485.
52. Bykowski J, Kruk P, Gold JJ, Glaser CA, Sheriff H, Crawford JR. Acute pediatric encephalitis neuroimaging: single-institution series as part of the California encephalitis project. *Pediatr Neurol*. 2015;52(6):606-614.
53. Wasay M, Kojan S, Dai AI, Bobustuc G, Sheikh Z. Headache in Cerebral Venous Thrombosis: incidence, pattern and location in 200 consecutive patients. *J Headache Pain*. 2010;11(2):137-139.
54. Kirk C, Nagiub G, Abu-Arafeh I. Chronic post-traumatic headache after head injury in children and adolescents. *Dev Med Child Neurol*. 2008;50(6):422-425.
55. Dayan PS, Holmes JF, Hoyle J, Jr., et al. Headache in traumatic brain injuries from blunt head trauma. *Pediatrics*. 2015;135(3):504-512.
56. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction. Available at: <http://www.acr.org/~media/ACR/Documents/AppCriteria/RadiationDoseAssessmentIntro.pdf>. Accessed December 4, 2017.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.