

**American College of Radiology  
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®  
Urinary Tract Infection–Child**

**Variant 1:                   Age <2 months, first febrile urinary tract infection.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
US kidneys and bladder	9		O
Voiding cystourethrography	6	Consider this procedure in boys and in the presence of sonographic abnormality.	☼☼
Tc-99m pertechnetate radionuclide cystography	5	Consider this procedure in girls.	☼☼
Tc-99m DMSA renal cortical scintigraphy	3	This procedure is not a first-line test. It could be used 4 to 6 months after UTI to detect scarring.	☼☼☼
<b>Rating Scale:</b> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

**Variant 2:                   Age >2 months and ≤6 years, first febrile urinary tract infection with good response to treatment.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
US kidneys and bladder	7	This procedure has a low yield, especially if US in the third trimester is normal.	O
Voiding cystourethrography	4		☼☼
Tc-99m pertechnetate radionuclide cystography	4		☼☼
Tc-99m DMSA renal cortical scintigraphy	3		☼☼☼
<b>Rating Scale:</b> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

**Variant 3:                   Age >6 years, first febrile urinary tract infection with good response to treatment.**

Radiologic Procedure	Rating	Comments	RRL*
US kidneys and bladder	5	This procedure may be appropriate but there was disagreement among panel members on the appropriateness rating as defined by the panel's median rating.	O
Voiding cystourethrography	3		☼☼
Tc-99m pertechnetate radionuclide cystography	3		☼☼
Tc-99m DMSA renal cortical scintigraphy	2		☼☼☼
<b>Rating Scale:</b> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

**Variant 4:**

**Child. Atypical (poor response to antibiotics within 48 hours, sepsis, poor urine stream, raised creatinine, or non-*E coli* UTI) or recurrent febrile urinary tract infection.**

<b>Radiologic Procedure</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Comments</b>	<b>RRL*</b>
US kidneys and bladder	9	This is a complementary procedure.	O
Voiding cystourethrography	7	This is a complementary procedure.	☼☼
Tc-99m pertechnetate radionuclide cystography	7	This procedure is an alternative for cystourethrography. Consider it in girls.	☼☼
Tc-99m DMSA renal cortical scintigraphy	6	This procedure could be used 4 to 6 months after UTI to detect scarring.	☼☼☼
CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	4	This procedure is indicated in patients with suspected abscess.	☼☼☼☼
CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	2	This procedure may be useful in rare cases when stone disease is suspected.	☼☼☼☼
CT abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	1		☼☼☼☼
<b>Rating Scale:</b> 1,2,3 Usually not appropriate; 4,5,6 May be appropriate; 7,8,9 Usually appropriate			<b>*Relative Radiation Level</b>

## URINARY TRACT INFECTION–CHILD

Expert Panel on Pediatric Imaging: Boaz K. Karmazyn, MD<sup>1</sup>; Adina L Alazraki, MD<sup>2</sup>; Sudha A. Anupindi, MD<sup>3</sup>; Molly E. Dempsey, MD<sup>4</sup>; Jonathan R. Dillman, MD<sup>5</sup>; Scott R. Dorfman, MD<sup>6</sup>; Matthew D. Garber, MD<sup>7</sup>; Sheila G. Moore, MD<sup>8</sup>; Craig A. Peters, MD<sup>9</sup>; Henry E. Rice, MD<sup>10</sup>; Cynthia K. Rigsby, MD<sup>11</sup>; Nabile M. Safdar, MD, MPH<sup>12</sup>; Stephen F. Simoneaux, MD<sup>13</sup>; Andrew T. Trout, MD<sup>14</sup>; Sjirk J. Westra, MD<sup>15</sup>; Sandra L. Wootton-Gorges, MD<sup>16</sup>; Brian D. Coley, MD.<sup>17</sup>

### **Summary of Literature Review**

#### **Introduction/Background**

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is the most frequent serious bacterial infection during childhood, affecting approximately 2% of boys and 8% of girls by the age of 7 years [1,2]. UTI is defined by the presence of bacteria within the urine and is confirmed by a urine culture of at least  $5 \times 10^4$  colony-forming units (cfu)/mL of the same bacterial species in a catheterized specimen or  $10^5$  cfu/mL in a voided specimen [3-6]. Approximately 75% of UTIs occur in the first 2 years of life [7]. The first peak of UTI is in the first year of life, and the second peak of UTI occurs between the ages of 2 to 4 years during toilet training. After the age of 6 years, UTIs are infrequent and often associated with dysfunctional elimination [8].

Cystitis is a UTI limited to the bladder. Cystitis typically presents with localizing symptoms of frequency, urgency, and dysuria. Acute pyelonephritis is infection of the kidneys. Pyelonephritis typically presents with systemic symptoms such as high fever, malaise, vomiting, abdominal or flank pain, and tenderness [3-6]. Pyelonephritis can cause renal scarring, which is the most severe long-term sequela of UTI and can lead to hypertension and chronic renal failure [3-6]. With the increased use of prenatal ultrasound (US), it was realized that many of the scars that were attributed to pyelonephritis actually occur in utero and represent renal dysplasia [3-6]. Contrary to earlier studies suggesting that renal scarring secondary to pyelonephritis is the most common cause of chronic renal disease in children, it is now evident that the long-term risk is low [3-6]. The role of imaging is to guide treatment by identifying patients who are at high risk to develop recurrent UTIs or renal scarring. However, identification of children at risk is valuable only if there is effective treatment. Current management strategy to prevent UTIs and renal scarring is based on prophylactic antibiotics and selective surgical correction of vesicoureteral reflux (VUR). Prospective studies failed to demonstrate significant decrease in renal scarring in patients with febrile UTI who were treated with prophylactic antibiotics [8-10], and surgical correction of VUR was not found to improve outcome [11]. Thus the effectiveness of current management of UTIs is put into question [3,4,8].

Pyelonephritis is diagnosed in children based on the presence of pyuria and/or bacteriuria, fever, flank pain, or tenderness. Between 50% and 64% of children who have a febrile UTI are found to have defects on renal cortical scintigraphy (RCS) indicating acute pyelonephritis [12]. The relationship between childhood UTIs, VUR, and renal scarring is complex and not completely understood. Children with VUR are at an increased risk for pyelonephritis and parenchymal scarring, but pyelonephritis and renal scarring commonly occur without VUR [13-20]. The incidence of acute pyelonephritis in the absence of documented VUR is much too high to be explained only by intermittent VUR [21,22]. Previous episodes of pyelonephritis or VUR increase the risk for recurrent pyelonephritis [17]. Absence of fever does not exclude development of pyelonephritis [23].

Cystitis in the absence of pyelonephritis is usually not associated with long-term sequelae [5]. The incidence of scarring in children following pyelonephritis varies widely in the literature. A systemic review of the literature showed that 15% (95% CI, 11%-18%) of the children had evidence of renal scarring after the first episode of UTI [12]. Contrary to common belief, renal scarring after pyelonephritis does not decrease in older children [17,24].

<sup>1</sup>Principal Author and Panel Chair, Riley Hospital for Children, Indiana University, Indianapolis, Indiana. <sup>2</sup>Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia. <sup>3</sup>Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. <sup>4</sup>Texas Scottish Rite Hospital for Children, Dallas, Texas. <sup>5</sup>Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio. <sup>6</sup>Texas Children's Hospital, Houston, Texas. <sup>7</sup>Wolfson Children's Hospital, Jacksonville, Florida, American Academy of Pediatrics. <sup>8</sup>Children's Hospital of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. <sup>9</sup>UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, Society for Pediatric Urology. <sup>10</sup>Duke University Medical Center, Durham, North Carolina, American Pediatric Surgical Association. <sup>11</sup>Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois. <sup>12</sup>Children's National Medical Center, Washington, District of Columbia. <sup>13</sup>Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia. <sup>14</sup>Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio. <sup>15</sup>Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. <sup>16</sup>University of California Davis Medical Center, Sacramento, California. <sup>17</sup>Specialty Chair, Cincinnati Children's Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio.

The American College of Radiology seeks and encourages collaboration with other organizations on the development of the ACR Appropriateness Criteria through society representation on expert panels. Participation by representatives from collaborating societies on the expert panel does not necessarily imply individual or society endorsement of the final document.

Reprint requests to: [publications@acr.org](mailto:publications@acr.org)

Reports from the 1960s and 1970s showed that scarring secondary to pyelonephritis is the etiology for 50% of hypertension and 30% of end-stage renal disease (ESRD) cases in children [1,25]. Many of the cases that were attributed to scarring from pyelonephritis actually represented congenital hypoplastic or dysplastic kidneys [1,5,25]. Scarring accounts for 5% of children with hypertension [25]. Retrospective studies demonstrated that mainly children with bilateral renal scarring are at risk for renal insufficiency [26]. According to the North American Pediatric Renal Trials and Collaborative Studies 2011 report, reflux nephropathy accounted for 3.5% of ESRD cases [25]. Worldwide, reflux nephropathy accounted for 7% to 17% of ESRD cases [25].

The main purposes of treating UTIs are to cure acute pyelonephritis and cystitis and to prevent recurrent UTIs and renal scarring. Acute UTIs are typically treated with oral antibiotics [6]. Prophylactic long-term oral antibiotics may decrease the incidence of recurrent UTIs and renal scarring. However, the benefit is small and should be weighed against the risk of microbial resistance [1,10,27,28].

Prospective studies in children between the ages of 2 months and 6 years with UTIs were done to evaluate the effect of therapy [1,6,8,27,29]. There is limited medical-based evidence to support routine imaging of uncomplicated UTIs, and optimal imaging is controversial [1,3,6,8,29,30]. Currently there are 2 main methods for evaluating children with UTIs: the bottom-up approach [3,31], which focuses on detection of VUR, and the top-down approach [3,6,32,33], which focuses on the diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis and renal scarring [3,6,33].

Until recently it was not clear if children with VUR benefit from treatment. A prospective Swedish reflux trial randomized treatment (antibiotic prophylaxis, endoscopic correction of reflux, or surveillance) in 203 children with grade III or IV reflux [34]. There was a significantly lower rate of recurrent febrile UTIs in girls receiving antibiotics and endoscopic treatment. No scar developed in children treated with antibiotics. However, the number of patients in this study was small [34]. The Randomized Intervention for Children with Vesicoureteral Reflux (RIVUR) trial in 607 children aged 2 months to 6 years with VUR grades of I to IV demonstrated decreased recurrent UTIs in half of the patients (n=305) who received prophylactic antibiotics as compared to those who received placebo (n=302). Incidence of recurrent UTIs increased with increased grade of VUR (14.3% in grades I-II and 22.9% in grades III-IV). The benefits of prophylactic antibiotics increased with the presence of fever (80%) and bowel and bladder dysfunction (60%) [29]. There was no significant change in the development of new renal scarring (about 8%) [29].

Surgery—open, laparoscopic, or endoscopic (injection of a bulking agent)—is usually reserved for high-grade VUR, recurrent UTI despite antibiotic prophylaxis, and noncompliance with prophylactic antibiotics [35].

Other nonsurgical treatment options are targeted to children with a variety of bladder functional abnormalities, including behavioral modification, biofeedback relaxation of the pelvic floor, and treatment of constipation [36].

UTI in a neonate requires special consideration. The prevalence of UTI in term neonates varies from 0.1% to 1%, with a male predominance in the first 2 months of life [19,37-40]. The presentation of UTI is generally nonspecific, with symptoms similar to those seen in neonatal sepsis, and not all children will have fever. Concomitant bacteremia with UTI is common and was observed in the range of 4% to 36.4% [6,37-40]. Neonates with UTI have a high incidence of urinary anomalies; the most common is VUR [19,37-40].

## **Overview of Imaging Modalities**

### *Ultrasonography*

Renal US is a noninvasive imaging method that avoids the risk of ionizing radiation and is readily available. It can detect urinary tract anomalies such as hydronephrosis, duplex renal system, hydroureter, and ureterocele. In older children, postvoid evaluation of bladder volume could be useful to assess for functional bladder abnormalities and retention syndrome [41]. The main limitation of US is the low sensitivity for detecting VUR and renal scarring [31,42-47].

### *Renal cortical scintigraphy*

RCS with Tc-99m dimercaptosuccinic acid (DMSA) or Tc-99m glucoheptonate is used for the detection of pyelonephritis [48]. Tc-99m DMSA has a higher image quality than Tc-99m glucoheptonate, which makes DMSA a more desirable agent for renal cortical imaging, especially in small infants, in those with poorly functioning kidneys, and when other studies have identified dilated uropathy or high-grade VUR [49]. Pinhole imaging or single-photon emission computed tomography should be considered to maximize the sensitivity of RCS without loss of specificity [50].

The likelihood of dilated VUR in children with normal RCS is low [51]. RCS is the gold-standard study for evaluation of renal scarring [25]. It is used as an important outcome finding in studying various treatment options for children with UTIs.

#### *Voiding cystourethrography, nuclear cystography, and voiding ultrasonography*

The main role of voiding cystourethrography (VCUG) is to detect VUR [3-6]. Radionuclide cystography (RNC) has a lower absorbed radiation dose than VCUG, but it does not have the spatial resolution needed to identify anatomic abnormalities of the urethra, bladder, and ureters. Voiding ultrasonography (VUS) is a nonionizing, safe, and accurate method to evaluate for VUR. The bladder is filled with a solution containing microbubbles that appear echogenic by US. This technique has been accepted in several European countries where US contrast agents are approved for this application. Using a first-generation contrast agent, the diagnostic accuracy of VUS as compared to VCUG has ranged from 78% to 96%, with most studies showing accuracy of 90% or above [52-54]. Some studies suggest that VUS is more sensitive than VCUG in the detection of dilated VUR [54,55]. Use of a second-generation contrast agent and transperineal approach enables improved evaluation of the bladder and urethra [54,56,57]. However, in the United States, US contrast for VUS is not approved by the Food and Drug Administration and can only be used as off-label. There is not yet any published experience with VUS in the United States.

#### *Computed tomography*

Postcontrast computed tomography (CT) scan is sensitive in diagnosing pyelonephritis [48]. It has a role in evaluation of renal abscess or unusual complications such as xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis [58].

#### *Magnetic resonance imaging*

Small series demonstrated that magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has high sensitivity for detecting pyelonephritis, comparable to DMSA scintigraphy [48,59,60]. Diffusion MR has comparable sensitivity to contrast-enhanced MRI in the detection of pyelonephritis [61,62]. There is no role of MRI in predicting the presence of VUR [63]. MRI is not routinely used in the evaluation of children with UTI because of its relatively high cost, low availability, and potential need for sedation in younger patients.

### **VARIANT 1: Age <2 months, first febrile urinary tract infection.**

#### *Ultrasonography*

In children <2 months there is increased incidence of sepsis and renal anomalies associated with UTIs and increased rate of hospitalization. Therefore, the potential benefit at that age is greater than in older children. However, there is low-quality evidence on the benefit of imaging on outcome [37-40,64]. Hydronephrosis is the most frequent abnormality, found in 45% of neonates with UTI [38]. US should be performed even if the intrauterine US was normal. In the study by Goldman et al [38] on newborn males with UTI, 8 of 12 children with abnormal US had a normal intrauterine US; 1 patient had a posterior urethral valve and 4 patients had dilated (grades III-IV) VUR. As discussed above, the main limitation of US is in the detection of parenchymal abnormalities and VUR.

#### *Voiding cystourethrography and nuclear cystography*

VCUG has been shown to detect VUR in newborn males even if US is normal [37-40]. A finding of VUR, especially high-grade VUR, may lead to a change in management [38]. VUR is more commonly detected in boys as compared to girls. In addition, one of the main concerns in boys is missing a case of posterior urethral valve [38]. The UK National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines for UTI do not recommend routine VCUG in evaluation of UTI in children younger than 6 months [32]. Others advocate performing routine VCUG studies in all male newborns [38]. In females there is no need for detailed anatomical evaluation of the urethra, and RNC can be performed instead of VCUG as it has lower radiation [65].

#### *Renal cortical scintigraphy*

RCS has a limited role in guiding management of newborns with febrile UTI as the main concern in this age is the presence of underlying renal anomalies.

### **VARIANT 2: Age >2 months and ≤6 years, first febrile urinary tract infection with good response to treatment.**

#### *Ultrasonography*

Routine US study after the first episode of UTI is suggested by several guidelines [2,32]. The main benefit of US is for the detection of underlying congenital renal anomalies [2,32].

The potential harm of using US as the only imaging for UTI is the poor sensitivity for VUR and parenchymal abnormalities. The sensitivity for detecting VUR and renal scarring is low [31,42-46]. There are limited data showing inconsistent results on the sensitivity of US in the detection of dilated VUR [66,67]. Grayscale US identifies about 25% of acute pyelonephritis and about 40% of chronic parenchymal scarring cases [45,47,68-73].

In a retrospective study of 2259 children younger than 5 years, sensitivity was related to criteria for the definition of a normal study. With the use of the most relaxed criteria (25% abnormal), US had a sensitivity of 28% (specificity of 77%), and with the most stringent criteria (4% abnormal), US had a sensitivity of 5% (specificity of 97%) [47]. Assuming 40% prevalence of VUR and 20% recurrent rate of UTIs in 100 children who have US, up to 11 children will have positive US studies that will be followed by a VCUG study, of which 8 will be positive for VUR. Two years of a prophylactic antibiotic will decrease recurrent UTIs from up to 2 children to 1 child. This means that 1 child will benefit from the US study and an additional 3 children that may benefit from prophylactic antibiotic will not be treated. In addition, with the increased use of prenatal US screening, the yield of detection of unknown renal abnormalities in children with UTIs has decreased [74].

Few studies with small series of children suggest good correlation between power Doppler and Tc-99m DMSA findings of pyelonephritis [75,76]. Other studies, however, demonstrated low sensitivity for pyelonephritis and low prediction for development of renal scarring [77-79]. Therefore, the use of power Doppler as a replacement for RCS cannot be recommended [42,77,79].

#### *Renal cortical scintigraphy*

RCS, Tc-99m DMSA, and Tc-99m glucoheptonate are sensitive (90%) and specific (95%) tests for detecting pyelonephritis [48]. However, short-term studies have demonstrated that many of these abnormalities resolve over time, irrespective of whether a prophylactic antibiotic was used [9,10,23]. This suggests little benefit in using RCS after the first episode of UTI [6]. Persistent parenchymal abnormality in RCS or decreased uptake of tracer associated with loss of contour or cortical thinning are indications of renal scarring [25]. Most recurrent UTIs occur within 3 to 6 months after the first episode of UTI. The NICE guideline suggests a delayed RCS (4 to 6 months) to evaluate for renal scarring in high-risk patients [32].

RCS, followed by cystourethrography if the RCS suggests pyelonephritis, is the top-down approach. The benefit of this approach is the decrease in the number of cystourethrography studies. There are few deficiencies with the use of RCS as the primary imaging for UTI.

Evidence of acute pyelonephritis is detected by RCS in children with UTIs in about 50% to 80% of cases [24,50,79-82]. This means that RCS will not change the need to perform VCUG in most patients. There is conflicting evidence on the sensitivity of RCS in the detection of VUR [30,51,83]. In a randomized controlled study comparing oral versus intravenous antibiotic administration, 308 patients who had Tc-99m DMSA were evaluated. The sensitivity for VUR was 70%, with a specificity of 42% [30]. A meta-analysis study on the use of DMSA in acute UTI yielded a sensitivity and specificity of 79% and 53%, respectively, for dilated hydronephrosis. There was marked statistical heterogeneity between the studies. The authors concluded that acute-phase DMSA renal scanning cannot be recommended as a replacement for VCUG in the evaluation of young children with a first febrile UTI [83].

There are other limitations of using RCS as the primary imaging for UTI. RCS for children is not readily available and usually takes place in specialized medical centers. Studies are performed 3 to 4 hours after intravenous injection and may require sedation in young children [84]. The estimated effective dose of DMSA is approximately 1 mSv, which is about 100 times more than nuclear cystography and about 10 times more than current low-dose VCUG technique [85].

#### *Voiding cystourethrography and nuclear cystography*

The main role of VCUG is to detect VUR [3-6]. Studies that include only children with VUR suggest that this group of patients may benefit more from prophylactic antibiotics. The RIVUR study, which enrolled 607 children 2 months to 6 years old with any grade of VUR, demonstrated that 2 years of prophylactic antibiotics in children with VUR decreased the incidence of recurrent UTIs by half (number needed to treat for 2 years, 8) [18]. Patients with high-grade VUR (grades III-IV) are more likely to have recurrent UTIs and scarring [12,16-18,29,35,80] and may benefit even more from prophylactic antibiotics. The Swedish study randomly assigned 203 children 12 to 23 months of age with dilated (grade III or IV) VUR and demonstrated benefit only in girls who received either prophylactic antibiotics or endoscopic treatment in decreasing recurrent UTI (number needed to treat for 2 years,

2.5 and 3, respectively) [34]. Girls who received antimicrobial prophylaxis had the lowest incidence of renal scarring (number needed to treat for 2 years, 5) [34].

The potential harms of VUCG relate to exposing children that will not benefit from a prophylactic antibiotic to an unpleasant study associated with minimal ionizing radiation and additional costs. In addition, there was no evidence of a decrease in renal scarring with prophylactic antibiotics, and the proportion of *Escherichia coli* isolates that were resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole increased (63% in the prophylaxis group and 19% in the placebo group) [29]. Minor adverse drug reactions from prophylactic antibiotics were reported in 7% of children in the Montini et al [9] trial and antibiotics were stopped for suspected adverse reactions in up to 3.5% of the children in other studies [18,28].

VUR is detected with equal sensitivity by fluoroscopic contrast VUCG and direct RNC [86,87]. A second filling of the bladder (cyclic cystography) increases overall detection of VUR and dilated VUR [21,22]. Cyclic VUCG may be appropriate in children older than 2 years who cannot control voiding and when there is a high suspicion of VUR [21,22].

RNC has a lower absorbed radiation dose than VUCG, but it does not have the spatial resolution needed to identify anatomic abnormalities of the urethra, bladder, and ureters. Initial evaluation of VUR in girls and follow-up studies can be done by RNC [65].

### **Variant 3: Age >6 years, first febrile urinary tract infection with good response to treatment.**

The incidence of new-onset UTI in children older than 6 years is infrequent and often associated with behavioral abnormalities, dysfunctional elimination syndrome, or, at the teenage period, initiation of sexual intercourse [8,88]. Girls are affected more often than males [8]. The likelihood of detection of unknown underlying renal anomaly is low [88]. There is no evidence to support any routine imaging in the first UTI in this group of patients.

### **Variant 4: Atypical (poor response to antibiotics within 48 hours, sepsis, poor urine stream, raised creatinine, or non-*E coli* UTI) or recurrent febrile urinary tract infection.**

#### *Ultrasonography*

In children with atypical, recurrent, or complicated UTI, the main benefit of US is the detection of underlying abnormalities, stones, or complications such as a renal or perirenal abscess [89,90]. US has low sensitivity in detection of parenchymal abnormalities or VUR [31,42-47].

#### *Renal cortical scintigraphy*

RCS may have limited benefit in patients with VUR and atypical, complicated, or recurrent UTIs. Demonstration of renal scarring may suggest increased long-term risk for renal injury from VUR and support endoscopic or surgical antireflux management. RCS may also be helpful in predicting the risk of breakthrough infection and renal damage in children with VUR [91].

#### *Voiding cystourethrography and nuclear cystography*

The prevalence of VUR increases in children with recurrent UTIs [29]. In a hypothetical cohort of infants after first UTI and recurrent UTI, VUR increases from 35% to 74%, with increased risk of renal scarring with each UTI [2]. A finding of VUR may lead to antibiotic prevention treatment, and a finding of dilated VUR may lead to endoscopic or surgical treatment. As discussed above, nuclear cystography can be used in follow-up studies and as a first study in females.

#### *Computed tomography*

Postcontrast CT scan is sensitive in diagnosing pyelonephritis [48]. However, because of its radiation it should be performed selectively when there is suspicion for complications such as renal abscess or xanthogranulomatous pyelonephritis [58,89,92].

### **Summary of Recommendations**

- Imaging of UTI in children younger than 2 months may need to be more conservative, as neonates with UTI have a higher incidence of renal anomalies and are more likely to be complicated with sepsis. US is usually appropriate and cystourethrography may be appropriate in boys and whenever there are any sonographic abnormalities.
- In children aged >2 months and ≤6 years with a first febrile UTI with good response to treatment, imaging typically does not have a role in guiding management. US is the only imaging that is usually appropriate.

- In children aged >6 years with a first febrile UTI with good response to treatment, there is lower prevalence of VUR and there is usually no need for imaging to guide treatment. The role of US in this age group is controversial.
- In complicated UTIs (not responding well to antibiotics within 48 hours, sepsis, decreased urine stream, raised creatinine, or non-*E coli* UTI) or recurrent UTIs, the child should be imaged with VCUG in addition to US to help select children who may benefit from prophylactic antibiotics or antireflux intervention.

### Summary of Evidence

Of the 92 references cited in the *ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Urinary Tract Infection – Child* document, 6 are categorized as therapeutic references including 5 well-designed studies and 1 good quality study. Additionally, 80 references are categorized as diagnostic references including 2 well-designed studies, 18 good quality study/studies, and 27 quality study/studies that may have design limitations. There are 33 references that may not be useful as primary evidence. There are 6 references that are meta-analysis studies.

The 92 references cited in the *ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Urinary Tract Infection – Child* document were published from 1987-2014.

While there are references that report on studies with design limitations, 26 well-designed or good quality studies provide good evidence.

### Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, both because of organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared to those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the *ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction* document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations		
Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
○	0 mSv	0 mSv
⊕	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
⊕⊕	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
⊕⊕⊕	1-10 mSv	0.3-3 mSv
⊕⊕⊕⊕	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
⊕⊕⊕⊕⊕	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv

\*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (eg, region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as “Varies”.

### Supporting Documents

For additional information on the Appropriateness Criteria methodology and other supporting documents go to [www.acr.org/ac](http://www.acr.org/ac).

### References

1. Montini G, Tullus K, Hewitt I. Febrile urinary tract infections in children. *N Engl J Med*. 2011;365(3):239-250.



2. Roberts KB. Urinary tract infection: clinical practice guideline for the diagnosis and management of the initial UTI in febrile infants and children 2 to 24 months. *Pediatrics*. 2011;128(3):595-610.
3. Koyle MA, Elder JS, Skoog SJ, et al. Febrile urinary tract infection, vesicoureteral reflux, and renal scarring: current controversies in approach to evaluation. *Pediatr Surg Int*. 2011;27(4):337-346.
4. Lim R. Vesicoureteral reflux and urinary tract infection: evolving practices and current controversies in pediatric imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2009;192(5):1197-1208.
5. Merguerian PA, Sverrisson EF, Herz DB, McQuiston LT. Urinary tract infections in children: recommendations for antibiotic prophylaxis and evaluation. An evidence-based approach. *Curr Urol Rep*. 2010;11(2):98-108.
6. Williams GJ, Hodson EH, Isaacs D, Craig JC. Diagnosis and management of urinary tract infection in children. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 2012;48(4):296-301.
7. Ismaili K, Wissing KM, Lolin K, et al. Characteristics of first urinary tract infection with fever in children: a prospective clinical and imaging study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2011;30(5):371-374.
8. Keren R, Carpenter MA, Hoberman A, et al. Rationale and design issues of the Randomized Intervention for Children With Vesicoureteral Reflux (RIVUR) study. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122 Suppl 5:S240-250.
9. Montini G, Rigon L, Zucchetta P, et al. Prophylaxis after first febrile urinary tract infection in children? A multicenter, randomized, controlled, noninferiority trial. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122(5):1064-1071.
10. Pennesi M, Travan L, Peratoner L, et al. Is antibiotic prophylaxis in children with vesicoureteral reflux effective in preventing pyelonephritis and renal scars? A randomized, controlled trial. *Pediatrics*. 2008;121(6):e1489-1494.
11. Hodson EM, Wheeler DM, Vimalchandra D, Smith GH, Craig JC. Interventions for primary vesicoureteric reflux. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2007(3):CD001532.
12. Shaikh N, Ewing AL, Bhatnagar S, Hoberman A. Risk of renal scarring in children with a first urinary tract infection: a systematic review. *Pediatrics*. 2010;126(6):1084-1091.
13. Ditchfield MR, De Campo JF, Cook DJ, et al. Vesicoureteral reflux: an accurate predictor of acute pyelonephritis in childhood urinary tract infection? *Radiology*. 1994;190(2):413-415.
14. Goldman M, Bistrizter T, Horne T, Zoareft I, Aladjem M. The etiology of renal scars in infants with pyelonephritis and vesicoureteral reflux. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2000;14(5):385-388.
15. Gordon I, Barkovics M, Pindoria S, Cole TJ, Woolf AS. Primary vesicoureteric reflux as a predictor of renal damage in children hospitalized with urinary tract infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2003;14(3):739-744.
16. Lee JH, Son CH, Lee MS, Park YS. Vesicoureteral reflux increases the risk of renal scars: a study of unilateral reflux. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2006;21(9):1281-1284.
17. Orellana P, Baquedano P, Rangarajan V, et al. Relationship between acute pyelonephritis, renal scarring, and vesicoureteral reflux. Results of a coordinated research project. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2004;19(10):1122-1126.
18. Polito C, Rambaldi PF, Signoriello G, Mansi L, La Manna A. Permanent renal parenchymal defects after febrile UTI are closely associated with vesicoureteric reflux. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2006;21(4):521-526.
19. Sastre JB, Aparicio AR, Cotallo GD, Colomer BF, Hernandez MC. Urinary tract infection in the newborn: clinical and radio imaging studies. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2007;22(10):1735-1741.
20. Wennerstrom M, Hansson S, Jodal U, Stokland E. Primary and acquired renal scarring in boys and girls with urinary tract infection. *J Pediatr*. 2000;136(1):30-34.
21. Papadopoulou F, Efremidis SC, Oiconomou A, et al. Cyclic voiding cystourethrography: is vesicoureteral reflux missed with standard voiding cystourethrography? *Eur Radiol*. 2002;12(3):666-670.
22. Polito C, Moggio G, La Manna A, Cioce F, Cappabianca S, Di Toro R. Cyclic voiding cystourethrography in the diagnosis of occult vesicoureteric reflux. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2000;14(1):39-41.
23. Rosenberg AR, Rossleigh MA, Brydon MP, Bass SJ, Leighton DM, Farnsworth RH. Evaluation of acute urinary tract infection in children by dimercaptosuccinic acid scintigraphy: a prospective study. *J Urol*. 1992;148(5 Pt 2):1746-1749.
24. Ataei N, Madani A, Habibi R, Khorasani M. Evaluation of acute pyelonephritis with DMSA scans in children presenting after the age of 5 years. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2005;20(10):1439-1444.
25. Chesney RW, Carpenter MA, Moxey-Mims M, et al. Randomized Intervention for Children With Vesicoureteral Reflux (RIVUR): background commentary of RIVUR investigators. *Pediatrics*. 2008;122 Suppl 5:S233-239.
26. Lahdes-Vasama T, Niskanen K, Ronnholm K. Outcome of kidneys in patients treated for vesicoureteral reflux (VUR) during childhood. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2006;21(9):2491-2497.

27. Williams G, Craig JC. Long-term antibiotics for preventing recurrent urinary tract infection in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2011(3):CD001534.
28. Craig JC, Simpson JM, Williams GJ, et al. Antibiotic prophylaxis and recurrent urinary tract infection in children. *N Engl J Med*. 2009;361(18):1748-1759.
29. Hoberman A, Greenfield SP, Mattoo TK, et al. Antimicrobial prophylaxis for children with vesicoureteral reflux. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;370(25):2367-2376.
30. Shaikh N, Hoberman A, Rockette HE, Kurs-Lasky M. Identifying children with vesicoureteral reflux: a comparison of 2 approaches. *J Urol*. 2012;188(5):1895-1899.
31. Downs SM. Technical report: urinary tract infections in febrile infants and young children. The Urinary Tract Subcommittee of the American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Quality Improvement. *Pediatrics*. 1999;103(4):e54.
32. Urinary tract infection in children: diagnosis, treatment and long-term management. National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence. August 2007. 2007; <http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG54NICEguideline.pdf>.
33. Marks SD, Gordon I, Tullus K. Imaging in childhood urinary tract infections: time to reduce investigations. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2008;23(1):9-17.
34. Brandstrom P, Neveus T, Sixt R, Stokland E, Jodal U, Hansson S. The Swedish reflux trial in children: IV. Renal damage. *J Urol*. 2010;184(1):292-297.
35. Peters CA, Skoog SJ, Arant BS, Jr., et al. Summary of the AUA Guideline on Management of Primary Vesicoureteral Reflux in Children. *J Urol*. 2010;184(3):1134-1144.
36. Yang SS, Chiang IN, Lin CD, Chang SJ. Advances in non-surgical treatments for urinary tract infections in children. *World J Urol*. 2012;30(1):69-75.
37. Baracco R, Mattoo TK. Diagnosis and management of urinary tract infection and vesicoureteral reflux in the neonate. *Clin Perinatol*. 2014;41(3):633-642.
38. Goldman M, Lahat E, Strauss S, et al. Imaging after urinary tract infection in male neonates. *Pediatrics*. 2000;105(6):1232-1235.
39. Milas V, Puseljic S, Stimac M, Dobric H, Lukic G. Urinary tract infection (UTI) in newborns: risk factors, identification and prevention of consequences. *Coll Antropol*. 2013;37(3):871-876.
40. Santoro JD, Carroll VG, Steele RW. Diagnosis and management of urinary tract infections in neonates and young infants. *Clin Pediatr (Phila)*. 2013;52(2):111-114.
41. Sillen U, Brandstrom P, Jodal U, et al. The Swedish reflux trial in children: v. Bladder dysfunction. *J Urol*. 2010;184(1):298-304.
42. Foresman WH, Hulbert WC, Jr., Rabinowitz R. Does urinary tract ultrasonography at hospitalization for acute pyelonephritis predict vesicoureteral reflux? *J Urol*. 2001;165(6 Pt 2):2232-2234.
43. Kenney IJ, Negus AS, Miller FN. Is sonographically demonstrated mild distal ureteric dilatation predictive of vesicoureteric reflux as seen on micturating cystourethrography? *Pediatr Radiol*. 2002;32(3):175-178.
44. Mahant S, Friedman J, MacArthur C. Renal ultrasound findings and vesicoureteral reflux in children hospitalised with urinary tract infection. *Arch Dis Child*. 2002;86(6):419-420.
45. Moorthy I, Wheat D, Gordon I. Ultrasonography in the evaluation of renal scarring using DMSA scan as the gold standard. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2004;19(2):153-156.
46. Muensterer OJ. Comprehensive ultrasound versus voiding cystourethrography in the diagnosis of vesicoureteral reflux. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2002;161(8):435-437.
47. Nelson CP, Johnson EK, Logvinenko T, Chow JS. Ultrasound as a screening test for genitourinary anomalies in children with UTI. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(3):e394-403.
48. Majd M, Nussbaum Blask AR, Markle BM, et al. Acute pyelonephritis: comparison of diagnosis with 99mTc-DMSA, SPECT, spiral CT, MR imaging, and power Doppler US in an experimental pig model. *Radiology*. 2001;218(1):101-108.
49. Piepsz A, Blaufox MD, Gordon I, et al. Consensus on renal cortical scintigraphy in children with urinary tract infection. Scientific Committee of Radionuclides in Nephrourology. *Semin Nucl Med*. 1999;29(2):160-174.
50. Craig JC, Wheeler DM, Irwig L, Howman-Giles RB. How accurate is dimercaptosuccinic acid scintigraphy for the diagnosis of acute pyelonephritis? A meta-analysis of experimental studies. *J Nucl Med*. 2000;41(6):986-993.
51. Zhang X, Xu H, Zhou L, et al. Accuracy of early DMSA scan for VUR in young children with febrile UTI. *Pediatrics*. 2014;133(1):e30-38.

52. Berrocal T, Gaya F, Arjonilla A, Lonergan GJ. Vesicoureteral reflux: diagnosis and grading with echo-enhanced cystosonography versus voiding cystourethrography. *Radiology*. 2001;221(2):359-365.
53. Darge K, Troeger J, Duetting T, et al. Reflux in young patients: comparison of voiding US of the bladder and retrovesical space with echo enhancement versus voiding cystourethrography for diagnosis. *Radiology*. 1999;210(1):201-207.
54. McCarville MB. Contrast-enhanced sonography in pediatrics. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2011;41 Suppl 1:S238-242.
55. Kljucsevsek D, Battelino N, Tomazic M, Kersnik Levart T. A comparison of echo-enhanced voiding urosonography with X-ray voiding cystourethrography in the first year of life. *Acta Paediatr*. 2012;101(5):e235-239.
56. Duran C, del Riego J, Riera L, Martin C, Serrano C, Palana P. Voiding urosonography including urethrosography: high-quality examinations with an optimised procedure using a second-generation US contrast agent. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2012;42(6):660-667.
57. Papadopoulou F, Ntoulia A, Siomou E, Darge K. Contrast-enhanced voiding urosonography with intravesical administration of a second-generation ultrasound contrast agent for diagnosis of vesicoureteral reflux: prospective evaluation of contrast safety in 1,010 children. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2014;44(6):719-728.
58. Cheng CH, Tsai MH, Su LH, et al. Renal abscess in children: a 10-year clinical and radiologic experience in a tertiary medical center. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2008;27(11):1025-1027.
59. Chan YL, Chan KW, Yeung CK, et al. Potential utility of MRI in the evaluation of children at risk of renal scarring. *Pediatr Radiol*. 1999;29(11):856-862.
60. Kavanagh EC, Ryan S, Awan A, McCoubrey S, O'Connor R, Donoghue V. Can MRI replace DMSA in the detection of renal parenchymal defects in children with urinary tract infections? *Pediatr Radiol*. 2005;35(3):275-281.
61. Faletti R, Cassinis MC, Fonio P, et al. Diffusion-weighted imaging and apparent diffusion coefficient values versus contrast-enhanced MR imaging in the identification and characterisation of acute pyelonephritis. *Eur Radiol*. 2013;23(12):3501-3508.
62. Vivier PH, Sallem A, Beurdeley M, et al. MRI and suspected acute pyelonephritis in children: comparison of diffusion-weighted imaging with gadolinium-enhanced T1-weighted imaging. *Eur Radiol*. 2014;24(1):19-25.
63. Kocyigit A, Bayram R, Yuksel S, Yilmaz I, Karabulut N. Diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging of kidneys in children with vesicoureteral reflux. *Eur J Radiol*. 2014;83(1):e56-60.
64. Bonadio W, Maida G. Urinary tract infection in outpatient febrile infants younger than 30 days of age: a 10-year evaluation. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2014;33(4):342-344.
65. Bisset GS, 3rd, Strife JL, Dunbar JS. Urography and voiding cystourethrography: findings in girls with urinary tract infection. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 1987;148(3):479-482.
66. Lee HY, Soh BH, Hong CH, Kim MJ, Han SW. The efficacy of ultrasound and dimercaptosuccinic acid scan in predicting vesicoureteral reflux in children below the age of 2 years with their first febrile urinary tract infection. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2009;24(10):2009-2013.
67. Quirino IG, Silva JM, Diniz JS, et al. Combined use of late phase dimercapto-succinic acid renal scintigraphy and ultrasound as first line screening after urinary tract infection in children. *J Urol*. 2011;185(1):258-263.
68. Biggi A, Dardanelli L, Pomero G, et al. Acute renal cortical scintigraphy in children with a first urinary tract infection. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2001;16(9):733-738.
69. Christian MT, McColl JH, MacKenzie JR, Beattie TJ. Risk assessment of renal cortical scarring with urinary tract infection by clinical features and ultrasonography. *Arch Dis Child*. 2000;82(5):376-380.
70. Giorgi LJ, Jr., Bratslavsky G, Kogan BA. Febrile urinary tract infections in infants: renal ultrasound remains necessary. *J Urol*. 2005;173(2):568-570.
71. Jahnukainen T, Honkinen O, Ruuskanen O, Mertsola J. Ultrasonography after the first febrile urinary tract infection in children. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2006;165(8):556-559.
72. Temiz Y, Tarcan T, Onol FF, Alpay H, Simsek F. The efficacy of Tc99m dimercaptosuccinic acid (Tc-DMSA) scintigraphy and ultrasonography in detecting renal scars in children with primary vesicoureteral reflux (VUR). *Int Urol Nephrol*. 2006;38(1):149-152.
73. Zamir G, Sakran W, Horowitz Y, Koren A, Miron D. Urinary tract infection: is there a need for routine renal ultrasonography? *Arch Dis Child*. 2004;89(5):466-468.
74. Hoberman A, Charron M, Hickey RW, Baskin M, Kearney DH, Wald ER. Imaging studies after a first febrile urinary tract infection in young children. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;348(3):195-202.
75. Halevy R, Smolkin V, Bykov S, Chervinsky L, Sakran W, Koren A. Power Doppler ultrasonography in the diagnosis of acute childhood pyelonephritis. *Pediatr Nephrol*. 2004;19(9):987-991.

76. Stogianni A, Nikolopoulos P, Oikonomou I, et al. Childhood acute pyelonephritis: comparison of power Doppler sonography and Tc-DMSA scintigraphy. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2007;37(7):685-690.
77. Basiratnia M, Noohi AH, Lotfi M, Alavi MS. Power Doppler sonographic evaluation of acute childhood pyelonephritis. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2006;21(12):1854-1857.
78. Bykov S, Chervinsky L, Smolkin V, Halevi R, Garty I. Power Doppler sonography versus Tc-99m DMSA scintigraphy for diagnosing acute pyelonephritis in children: are these two methods comparable? *Clin Nucl Med.* 2003;28(3):198-203.
79. Hitzel A, Liard A, Vera P, Manrique A, Menard JF, Dacher JN. Color and power Doppler sonography versus DMSA scintigraphy in acute pyelonephritis and in prediction of renal scarring. *J Nucl Med.* 2002;43(1):27-32.
80. Lin KY, Chiu NT, Chen MJ, et al. Acute pyelonephritis and sequelae of renal scar in pediatric first febrile urinary tract infection. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2003;18(4):362-365.
81. Preda I, Jodal U, Sixt R, Stokland E, Hansson S. Normal dimercaptosuccinic acid scintigraphy makes voiding cystourethrography unnecessary after urinary tract infection. *J Pediatr.* 2007;151(6):581-584, 584 e581.
82. Tseng MH, Lin WJ, Lo WT, Wang SR, Chu ML, Wang CC. Does a normal DMSA obviate the performance of voiding cystourethrography in evaluation of young children after their first urinary tract infection? *J Pediatr.* 2007;150(1):96-99.
83. Mantadakis E, Vouloumanou EK, Georgantzi GG, Tsalkidis A, Chatzimichael A, Falagas ME. Acute Tc-99m DMSA scan for identifying dilating vesicoureteral reflux in children: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics.* 2011;128(1):e169-179.
84. Flynn JT. Don't stop performing voiding cystourethrography in young children after the initial febrile urinary tract infection--at least not yet. *J Pediatr.* 2009;155(5):761.
85. Ward VL, Strauss KJ, Barnewolt CE, et al. Pediatric radiation exposure and effective dose reduction during voiding cystourethrography. *Radiology.* 2008;249(3):1002-1009.
86. Polito C, Rambaldi PF, La Manna A, Mansi L, Di Toro R. Enhanced detection of vesicoureteric reflux with isotopic cystography. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2000;14(8-9):827-830.
87. Unver T, Alpay H, Biyikli NK, Ones T. Comparison of direct radionuclide cystography and voiding cystourethrography in detecting vesicoureteral reflux. *Pediatr Int.* 2006;48(3):287-291.
88. Mazzola BL, von Vigier RO, Marchand S, Tonz M, Bianchetti MG. Behavioral and functional abnormalities linked with recurrent urinary tract infections in girls. *J Nephrol.* 2003;16(1):133-138.
89. Comploj E, Cassar W, Farina A, et al. Conservative management of paediatric renal abscess. *J Pediatr Urol.* 2013;9(6 Pt B):1214-1217.
90. De Palma D, Manzoni G. Different imaging strategies in febrile urinary tract infection in childhood. What, when, why? *Pediatr Radiol.* 2013;43(4):436-443.
91. Mingin GC, Nguyen HT, Baskin LS, Harlan S. Abnormal dimercapto-succinic acid scans predict an increased risk of breakthrough infection in children with vesicoureteral reflux. *J Urol.* 2004;172(3):1075-1077; discussion 1077.
92. Cheng CH, Tsau YK, Lin TY. Is acute lobar nephronia the midpoint in the spectrum of upper urinary tract infections between acute pyelonephritis and renal abscess? *J Pediatr.* 2010;156(1):82-86.

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.