Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Noninvasive Clinical staging of Primary Lung Cancer

Literature Search Performed on: 09/28/2016
Beginning Date: January 2012
End Date: August 2016

Database: Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>
Search Strategy:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Search Term</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>exp Carcinoma, Bronchogenic/</td>
<td>51051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>exp Diagnostic Imaging/</td>
<td>1948167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1 and 2</td>
<td>6026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Neoplasm Staging/</td>
<td>143819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3 and 4</td>
<td>1862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>limit 5 to &quot;all child (0 to 18 years)&quot;</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5 not 6</td>
<td>1839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>limit 7 to case reports</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7 not 8</td>
<td>1708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>limit 9 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr=&quot;2012 -Current&quot;)</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Carcinoma, Small Cell/</td>
<td>17106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Lung Neoplasms/</td>
<td>185455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4 and 11 and 12</td>
<td>1363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2 and 13</td>
<td>196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>limit 14 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr=&quot;2012 -Current&quot;)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10 or 15</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>remove duplicates from 16</td>
<td>448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>lung cancer.mp.</td>
<td>116006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>staging.mp.</td>
<td>181077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>adenocarcinoma in situ.mp.</td>
<td>1084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>18 and 19 and 20</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>prognostic factors.mp.</td>
<td>50883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>18 and 19 and 22</td>
<td>998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>limit 21 to (abstracts and english language and yr=&quot;2016&quot;)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>limit 23 to (abstracts and english language and yr=&quot;2016&quot;)</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>10 or 15 or 24 or 25</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>remove duplicates from 26</td>
<td>477</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.