Literature Search

ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Radiologic Management of Lower Gastrointestinal Tract Bleeding

Literature Search Performed on: 7/30/2013
Beginning date: January 2010
End date: June 2013

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) without Revisions <1996 to July Week 3 2013>

Search Strategy:

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------
1    exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (962747)
2    lower gastrointestinal bleeding.mp. (556)
3    Gastrointestinal Hemorrhage/ (13125)
4    Lower Gastrointestinal Tract/ (104)
5    Ileum/ (9706)
6    Intestine, Large/ (1810)
7    Jejunum/ (6940)
8    Intestine, Small/ or Intestinal Mucosa/ (42939)
9    Intestines/ (23063)
10   2 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 (77957)
11   3 and 10 (1677)
12   1 and 11 (359)
13   limit 12 to (guideline or meta analysis or practice guideline) (3)
14   limit 12 to "all adult (19 plus years)" (259)
15   13 or 14 (261)
16   limit 15 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2010 -Current") (54)
17   limit 16 to case reports (25)
18   16 not 17 (29)
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Notes:
exp = explode (retrieves results using the selected term and all of its more specific terms)
.mp = multi-purpose (retrieves results that have this keyword in several fields)

Literature Search Summary

Of the 25 citations in the original bibliography, 22 were retained in the final document. Articles were removed from the original bibliography if they were more than 10 years old and did not contribute to the evidence or they were no longer cited in the revised narrative text.

A new literature search was conducted in July 2013 to identify additional evidence published since the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Radiologic Management of Lower Gastrointestinal Tract Bleeding topic was finalized. Using the search strategy described above, 29 articles were found. Nine articles were added to the bibliography. Twenty articles were not used due to either poor study design, the articles were not relevant or generalizable to the topic, the results were unclear, misinterpreted, or biased, or the articles were already cited in the original bibliography.

The author added 13 citations from bibliographies, websites, or books that were not found in the new literature search.