Literature Search ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Crohn Disease

Literature Search Performed on: 07/26/2017

Beginning Date: January 2013

End Date: June 2017

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R)

Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) < 1946 to Present>

Search Strategy

1 exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (2427992)

- 2 crohn\$.mp. (49557)
- 3 1 and 2 (5428)
- 4 limit 3 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2013 -Current") (738)
- 5 limit 4 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (249)
- 6 limit 4 to case reports (130)
- 7 4 not (5 or 6) (391)
- 8 remove duplicates from 7 (366)

Literature Search Performed on: 06/30/2017

Beginning Date: January 2013

End Date: May 2017

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R)

Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) < 1946 to Present>

Search Strategy

- 1 exp diagnostic imaging/ (2414268)
- 2 Crohn Disease/ (35174)
- 3 1 and 2 (4613)
- 4 limit 3 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2013 -Current") (577)
- 5 limit 4 to case reports (101)
- 6 4 not 5 (476)
- 7 limit 6 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (184)
- 8 6 not 7 (292)
- 9 remove duplicates from 8 (277)
- 10 exp diagnostic imaging/ (2414268)
- 11 Inflammatory Bowel Diseases/ (17542)
- 12 10 and 11 (1094)
- 13 limit 12 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2013 -Current") (202)
- 14 limit 13 to case reports (12)
- 15 13 not 14 (190)
- 16 limit 15 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (62)
- 17 15 not 16 (128)
- 18 remove duplicates from 17 (118)
- 19 9 or 18 (376)
- 20 remove duplicates from 19 (376)

Summary

| Source | #Unique Refs | #Retained Refs |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Old bibliography | 140 | 76 |
| Literature Search(es) | 434 | 25 |
| Author Added | 15 | 15 |

Literature Search ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Crohn Disease

| Supporting Docs | 1 | 1 |
|-----------------|---|-----|
| Total | | 117 |

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.