## American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

## **Imaging after Breast Surgery**

Variant 1: Female. Age 40 years or older. Postsurgical excision with nonmalignant pathology. Asymptomatic. Initial imaging.

	Appropriatenes	22							F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRI	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6		8	9
Mammography screening	Usually appropriate	Limited	�� 0.1-1mS	Sv	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		1 (28648873)			4										
		18 (20601590)	)		3										
		17 (-3194409)	)		4										
Digital breast tomosynthesis screening	Usually appropriate	Limited	�� 0.1-1mS	Sv	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		1 (28648873)			4										
		18 (20601590)	)		3										
		17 (-3194409)			4										
MRI breast without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	3	0	1	0	12	1	0	0	0
Mammography diagnostic	Usually not appropriate	Limited	�� 0.1-1mS	Sv	1	1	12	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Stud	y Quality		•	•	•					•	
		11 (30172804)	)		4										
		13 (29299726)	)		4										
		12 (25423143)	)		3										

			15 (26492902)				4										
			20 (31825261)				4										
			16 (24639339)				4										
			14 (27425222)				4										
			42 (-3194411)				4			,							
MRI breast without IV contrast	Usually approp	y not oriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET breast dedicated	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	��⊕ 1-10 mSv	0		1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
US breast	Usually approp	y not oriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Digital breast tomosynthesis diagnostic	Usually approp		Limited	�� 0.1-1m	nSv		1	1	9	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			11 (30172804)				4										
			13 (29299726)				4										
			12 (25423143)				3										
			15 (26492902)				4										
			16 (24639339)				4										
			14 (27425222)				4										
			42 (-3194411)				4			1			1				
Sestamibi MBI	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	0		1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 2: Female. Age 30 to 39 years. Postsurgical excision with nonmalignant pathology. Asymptomatic. Initial imaging.

ъ 1	Appropriateness	COE	A L L DDI	n i nnr	D 41	3.6 11			F	inal '	Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI breast without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	4	1	1	2	9	0	0	0	0

Mammography screening	May approp	Limited	�� 0.1-1m	Sv	4	4	4	1	2	5	5	0	0	0	0
		References 1 (28648873)		Stu	ıdy Quality 4										
		17 (-3194409)			4										
Digital breast tomosynthesis screening	May approp	Limited	�� 0.1-1m	Sv	4	4	5	1	1	4	6	0	0	0	0
		References		Stı	ıdy Quality										
		1 (28648873)			4										
		17 (-3194409)			4		_								
Mammography diagnostic	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	�� 0.1-1m	Sv	1	1	14	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI breast without IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET breast dedicated	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	)	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
US breast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	3	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
Digital breast tomosynthesis diagnostic	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	�� 0.1-1m	Sv	1	1	13	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sestamibi MBI	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv		1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 3: Adult female younger than 30 years of age. Postsurgical excision with nonmalignant pathology. Asymptomatic. Initial imaging.

ъ .	Appropriateness	COF	A L L DDY	n i nni	D (1	3.6.11			F	inal [	Гаbu	lation	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Mammography diagnostic	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	�� 0.1-1mSv		1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Mammography screening	Usuall approp	Limited	�� 0.1-1m	ıSv	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References 1 (28648873) 17 (-3194409)		Study	y Quality 4										
MRI breast without IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI breast without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET breast dedicated	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	<b>≎≎≎</b> 1-1 mSv	0	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
US breast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	15	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Digital breast tomosynthesis diagnostic	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	�� 0.1-1m	ıSv	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Digital breast tomosynthesis screening	Usuall approp	Limited	�� 0.1-1m	ıSv	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		1 (28648873)			4										
		17 (-3194409)			4										
Sestamibi MBI	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	��� 1-1 mSv	0	1	1	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Variant 4: Adult female. Postsurgical excision for breast cancer. Positive margins. Asymptomatic. Initial imaging.

	Appropriateness	COL	A L L DDI	D 1 DD1	D 41	3.5.11			F	inal '	Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Mammography diagnostic	May be appropriate	Strong	�� 0.1-1mSv		5	5	0	0	0	0	9	5	2	1	0

		References		Study	Quality										
		25 (24674371)			2										
		24 (31182403)			2										
MRI breast without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	1	9	5	1	0	1
		References		Study	Quality										
		26 (25091598)			3										
		27 (20545940)			4										
		24 (31182403)			2										
Digital breast tomosynthesis diagnostic	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	�� 0.1-1mS	SV	5	5	6	0	0	1	5	4	0	1	0
Mammography screening	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	�� 0.1-1mS	SV	1	1	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
MRI breast without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET breast dedicated	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv		1	1	12	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
US breast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	1	3	1	0	0	0	0
Digital breast tomosynthesis screening	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	�� 0.1-1mS	SV .	1	1	12	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Sestamibi MBI	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv		1	1	14	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 5: Adult female. Surveillance following completion of breast conservation therapy for breast cancer. Negative margins. With or without radiation. Asymptomatic.

Procedure	Appropriateness	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median			F	inal [	[abu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SUE	Adults KKL	Peus KKL	Kating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Mammography diagnostic	Usually appropriate	Limited	�� 0.1-1mSv		9	9	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	3	9

ъ	Appropriateness	COE	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D 4	3.6.19			. ]	Final	Tab	ulatio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

References	Study Quality
36 (25904721)	3
40 (24113745)	3
57 (22692036)	3
34 (29748762)	3
43 (29064749)	4
59 (27163158)	3
44 (31685110)	4
45 (29450753)	4
53 (28822394)	4
37 (28341031)	4
46 (22005943)	4
47 (20091247)	4
48 (28749724)	3
23 (27810132)	4
30 (22872291)	3
58 (31313678)	3
54 (29102277)	4
49 (29353367)	4
33 (23860534)	4
35 (29718790)	4
50 (23408106)	4
55 (21951942)	4
41 (24106867)	3
22 (20956827)	4
56 (28675577)	4
28 (30207076)	4

61 (32529566)	3
60 (33350890)	3
52 (-3194403)	4
51 (-3194405)	4
42 (-3194411)	4

Mammography screening	Usually appropriate	Limited	�� 0.1-1mSv		9	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	14
-----------------------	---------------------	---------	-------------	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

References	Study Quality
36 (25904721)	3
40 (24113745)	3
57 (22692036)	3
34 (29748762)	3
43 (29064749)	4
59 (27163158)	3
44 (31685110)	4
45 (29450753)	4
53 (28822394)	4
37 (28341031)	4
46 (22005943)	4
47 (20091247)	4
48 (28749724)	3
23 (27810132)	4
30 (22872291)	3
58 (31313678)	3
54 (29102277)	4
49 (29353367)	4
33 (23860534)	4
35 (29718790)	4
50 (23408106)	4
55 (21951942)	4
41 (24106867)	3

		22 (20956827)	,		4										
		56 (28675577)			4										
		28 (30207076)			4										
		61 (32529566)		3											
		60 (33350890)			3										
		52 (-3194403)			4										
		51 (-3194405)			4										
Digital breast tomosynthesis screening	Usually appropriate	Limited	�� 0.1-1mS	v	9	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	10
		References		Stu	dy Quality	•	•					•	•		
		36 (25904721)	)		3										
		40 (24113745)	)		3										
		57 (22692036)	)												
		34 (29748762)	)		3										
		43 (29064749)	)		4										
		59 (27163158)			3										
		44 (31685110)	)		4										
		67 (31161975)	)		4										
		45 (29450753)			4										
		53 (28822394)			4										
		37 (28341031)			4										
		46 (22005943)			4										
		47 (20091247)			4										
		48 (28749724)			3										
		23 (27810132)			4										
		30 (22872291)			3										
		58 (31313678)			3										
		54 (29102277)			4										
		49 (29353367)			4										
		33 (23860534)			4										
		35 (29718790)	)		4										

		50 (23408106)			4										
		55 (21951942)			4										
		41 (24106867)			3										
		22 (20956827)			4										
		56 (28675577)			4										
		28 (30207076)			4										
		61 (32529566)			3										
		60 (33350890)			3										
		52 (-3194403)			4										
		51 (-3194405)		_	4				1						
Digital breast tomosynthesis diagnostic	Usually appropriate	Limited	�� 0.1-1mSv		8	8	1	0	0	0	2	0	2	4	7
		References		Study	Quality								•		
		36 (25904721)			3										
		40 (24113745)			3										
		57 (22692036)			3										
		34 (29748762)			3										
		43 (29064749)			4										
		59 (27163158)			3										
		44 (31685110)			4										
		45 (29450753)			4										
		53 (28822394)			4										
		37 (28341031)			4										
		46 (22005943)			4										
		47 (20091247)			4										
		48 (28749724)			3										
		23 (27810132)			4										
		30 (22872291)			3										
		58 (31313678)			3										
		54 (29102277)			4										
		49 (29353367)			4										

г

							,									
		33 (23860534)														
		35 (29718790)				4										
		50 (23408106)				4										
		55 (21951942)				4										
		41 (24106867)				3										
		22 (20956827)				4										
		56 (28675577)				4										
		28 (30207076)				4										
		61 (32529566)				3										
		60 (33350890)				3										
		52 (-3194403)		4												
		51 (-3194405)				4										
		42 (-3194411)				4										
MRI breast without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv		O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	1	8	3	4	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		69 (29357842)														
		65 (28700966)														
		70 (26142951)														
		67 (31161975)														
		71 (20605594)		3												
		68 (31738203)				3										
		62 (26400412)				3										
US breast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv		O 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	5	2	1	1	5	1	1	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		75 (26663035)				3										
		77 (23695431)				3										
		73 (28786298)		4												
		74 (27184247)				3										
		72 (31038406)				3										

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\neg$ 

76 (21208726) 3

		70 (=1=007=0)							_						
MRI breast without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
FDG-PET breast dedicated	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	<b>≎≎≎</b> 1-10 mSv		1	1	14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Sestamibi MBI	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv		1	1	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

## **Appendix Key**

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

**Appropriateness Category**: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

**SOE**: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.