Variant 1: Chronic chest pain, noncardiac etiology unlikely: low to intermediate probability of coronary artery disease. Initial imaging.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Appropriateness Category</th>
<th>SOE</th>
<th>Adults RRL</th>
<th>Peds RRL</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Final Tabulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTA coronary arteries with IV contrast</td>
<td>Usually appropriate</td>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>&lt;1-10 mSv</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0 0 1 0 0 0 0 4 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Study Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 (25984459)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 (26782999)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 (25844996)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 (26112200)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 (23684682)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 (27081014)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 (28973101)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 (28329294)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 (26892420)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 (27043808)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 (26746631)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 (25714275)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 (22830462)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 (23517254)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 (25788230)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 (25773919)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 (28389572)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 (21565735)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US echocardiography transthoracic stress</td>
<td>28 (25473041)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI heart with function and vasodilator stress perfusion without and with IV contrast</td>
<td>51 (15215802)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb-82 PET/CT heart stress</td>
<td>55 (12403662)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>Usual Appropriateness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc-99m SPECT/CT MPI rest and stress</td>
<td>Usually appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc-99m SPECT/CT MPI stress only</td>
<td>Usually appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US echocardiography transthoracic resting</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI heart with function and inotropic stress without IV contrast</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>Appropriateness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRI heart with function and inotropic stress without and with IV contrast</strong></td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>Study Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 (12403662)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 (15289384)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 (23578348)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58 (22196944)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 (16580532)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 (22084203)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CT coronary calcium</strong></td>
<td>Limited</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>Study Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 (22825529)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 (23733236)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 (22919038)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 (22461571)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 (23370817)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 (21067988)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MRI heart function and morphology without IV contrast</strong></td>
<td>Strong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>Study Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 (12403662)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 (15289384)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57 (23578348)</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58 (22196944)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59 (16580532)</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 (22084203)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CT chest with IV contrast</strong></td>
<td>Expert Consensus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>References</td>
<td>Study Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 (22084203)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>Appropriateness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRA coronary arteries without and with IV contrast</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT chest without IV contrast</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT chest without and with IV contrast</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arteriography coronary</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tc-99m SPECT/CT MPI rest only</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix Key
A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- References: The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.