American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Abnormal Uterine Bleeding

Variant 1: Abnormal uterine bleeding. Initial imaging.

Procedure US duplex Doppler pelvis US pelvis transvaginal	Appropriateness	G0-7					Final Tabulations					ns			
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Median 1 2 3	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
US duplex Doppler pelvis	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	12
		References		Study	Quality										•
		35 (12905512)			3										
		38 (28766365)			4										
		36 (27629758)			4										
		37 (24862861)			2										
		4 (24157565)			4										
		34 (23529686)			4										
		30 (23341379)			3										
US pelvis transvaginal	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	11
		References		Study	Quality			•	•	•			•		•
		41 (19398089)		•	M										
		39 (15293302)			4										
		44 (12780419)			4										
		45 (19921229)			2										
		46 (8184058)			3										
		40 (9809732)		Inac	lequate										
		5 (11587008)		<u>-</u>	4										

			47 (11679533)				2										
			42 (25800522)				3										
			4 (24157565)				4										
			10 (29683909)				4										
			43 (15229010)				3										
US pelvis transabdominal	Usua approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv		0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	6
US sonohysterography	May approp (Disagree	riate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv		0 mSv [ped]	5	5	3	2	1	0	1	4	1	1	1
			References			Study	Quality										
			49 (27454735)				4										
			37 (24862861)				2										
			50 (24371110)				3										
			10 (29683909)				4										
			48 (26206817)				4										
			51 (27862503)			G	lood										
MRI pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv		0 mSv [ped]	3	3	3	2	7	1	0	1	0	0	0
MRI pelvis without IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv		0 mSv [ped]	2	2	5	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT pelvis with IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	1 1	��� 3- 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT pelvis without IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	1 1	��� 3- 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	ଡଡଡଡ 10-3 mSv	³⁰ 1	��� 3- 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 2: Abnormal uterine bleeding. Follow-up imaging when original ultrasound is inconclusive or further imaging characterization is needed.

Procedure	Appropriateness]	Final	Tabı	ılatio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
US sonohysterography	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	9	2
		References		Study	Quality										
		60 (17429332)			2										
		58 (26576978)			4										
		28 (24984544)			3										
		10 (29683909)			4										
MRI pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	7	2	3
		References		Study	Quality										
		52 (-3132600)			4										
		55 (28106642)			4										
		17 (27921159)			2										
		12 (27903466)			4										
		20 (27997438)			4										
		16 (26383110)			3										
		21 (24368137)			4										
		13 (27810281)			4										
		53 (22665041)													
		14 (24380638)			3			_							
MRI pelvis without IV contrast	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	2	3	0	4	4	0	1
		References		Study	Quality										
		55 (28106642)			4										
		17 (27921159)			2										
		12 (27903466)			4										
		20 (27997438)			4										
		16 (26383110)			3										

			21 (24368137)			4										
			13 (27810281)			4										
			53 (22665041)			3										
			14 (24380638)			3										
US duplex Doppler pelvis	May approp (Disagree	riate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	1	0	1	0	6	1	4
			References		Study	Quality										
			57 (26980265) 58 (26576978) 37 (24862861) 56 (23379512) 30 (23341379) Expert Opinion O 0 mSv			4										
			58 (26576978)			4										
			37 (24862861)			2										
			56 (23379512)			4										
			30 (23341379)		3			_								
US pelvis transabdominal	May approp (Disagre	riate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	4	4
US pelvis transvaginal	May approp (Disagre	riate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	5	5
			References		Study	Quality										
			59 (23917081)			4										
	_		10 (29683909)			4										
CT pelvis with IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
CT pelvis without IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	≎≎≎≎ 10-3 mSv	30	1	1	10	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

Variant 3: Abnormal uterine bleeding. Follow-up imaging when surveillance is appropriate given findings from the initial ultrasound.

Procedure US pelvis transvaginal	Appropriateness								F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Limited O.0 mSv O.0 mSv o		Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
US pelvis transvaginal	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	9
		References		Study	Quality										
		59 (23917081)			4										
		10 (29683909)			4										
US duplex Doppler pelvis	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	5
		References		Study											
		57 (26980265)			4										
		58 (26576978)			4										
		37 (24862861)			2										
		56 (23379512)			4										
		30 (23341379)			3										
US pelvis transabdominal	Usually appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4
US sonohysterography	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	4	5
		References		Study	Quality		•			•					
		60 (17429332)			2										
		49 (27454735)			4										
		58 (26576978)			4										
		37 (24862861)			2										
		50 (24371110)			3										
		10 (29683909)			4										
		48 (26206817)			4										
		51 (27862503)		C	Good			1	ı			1			
MRI pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	1	0	2	4	4	2	1

		references		Brady	Quanty										
		55 (28106642)			4										
		17 (27921159)			2										
		12 (27903466)			4										
		20 (27997438)		4											
		16 (26383110)		3											
		21 (24368137)			4										
		13 (27810281)			4										
		53 (22665041)			3										
		14 (24380638)			3										
MRI pelvis without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	1	0	0	3	2	8	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality		•	•			•				
		55 (28106642)		•	4										
		17 (27921159)			2										
		12 (27903466)			4										
		20 (27997438)			4										
		16 (26383110)			3										
		21 (24368137)			4										
		13 (27810281)			4										
		53 (22665041)			3										
		14 (24380638)			3										
CT pelvis with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT pelvis without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv		1	1	11	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv		1	1	11	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0

Study Quality

References

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.