#### American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria<sup>®</sup>

#### **Radiologic Management of Mesenteric Ischemia**

Variant 1: Recent onset abdominal pain, no peritoneal signs, and known atrial fibrillation. CTA shows filling defect in proximal SMA consistent with embolus. No intramural or extra-luminal air. Initial therapy.

	Appropriateness	~ ~ ~							F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Systemic anticoagulation	Usually appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	7	5
		References		Study	Quality			-	-		-			•	
		1 (30360689)			4										
		4 (28359440)			4										
		3 (29757725)			4			-							
Transcatheter thrombolysis	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	1	0	1	1	10	1	0
		References		Study	v Quality										
		7 (29455017)			3										
		8 (30809084)			2										
		4 (28359440)			4										
		11 (21889287)			2										
		12 (23394456)			3						-				
Angiography and aspiration embolectomy	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	1	1	2	0	6	4	1
		References		Study	v Quality										
		9 (22503176)			2										
		7 (29455017)			3										
		6 (25737456)			3										

			1 (30360689)				4										
			8 (30809084)				2										
			5 (28121281)			Ina	dequate										
			4 (28359440)				4										
Surgical embolectomy	May approp	be riate	Strong	N/A		N/A	5	5	0	0	0	2	9	2	0	0	1
			References			Stud	y Quality										
			9 (22503176)				2										
			6 (25737456)				3										
			6 (25737456) 8 (30809084)				2										
			8 (30809084) 5 (28121281)			Ina	dequate										
			4 (28359440)				4										
			10 (31382003)				3										

Variant 2: Recent onset abdominal pain, no peritoneal signs, and known atrial fibrillation. CTA shows calcified atherosclerotic plaque involving the aorta and its major branches, as well as proximal short-segment occlusion of the proximal SMA. No intramural or extra-luminal air. Initial therapy.

	Appropri	ateness	COL		Ŧ						F	'inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ	gory	SOE	Adults RR	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Systemic anticoagulation	Usua approp		Limited	N/A		N/A	8	8	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	4	6
			References			Study	Quality										
			1 (30360689)				4										
			4 (28359440)				4		_	_			_	-		_	
Angiography and endovascular intervention including possible thrombolysis, angioplasty, or stent placement	Usua approp		Strong	N/A		N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	1
			References			Study	Quality										
			7 (29455017)				3										
			14 (27178034)				2										
			6 (25737456)				3										

			1 (30360689)				4										
			5 (28121281)			Inac	dequate										
	_		13 (33589326)				3										
Surgical endarterectomy or bypass	May approp	be briate	Limited N/A			N/A	5	5	0	0	0	2	9	2	0	1	0
			Limited N/A   References			Study	Quality										
			References       15 (24199769)				3										
			13 (33589326)				3										

### Variant 3: Patient with cardiac disease causing low cardiac output who developed abdominal pain but without peritoneal signs. CTA shows patent origins and proximal portions of celiac artery, SMA, and IMA, with diffuse irregular narrowing of SMA branches. Initial therapy.

	Appropriateness	COL							ŀ	<b>Final</b>	Tabu	latio	ıs		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RR	L Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Angiography with infusion of vasodilator	Usually appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	5	0
		References		Study	/ Quality										
		4 (28359440)			4										
		17 (32444922)			3										
		19 (28794797)			4										
		18 (31832858)			3			- <u>-</u>		_	_	-			
Systemic anticoagulation	Usually appropriate	Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	0	1	3	0	9	1	0
Systemic infusion of prostaglandin E1	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	1	0	1	8	3	1	0
		References		Study	V Quality		_								
		20 (30909787)			3										
Angiography with percutaneous transluminal angioplasty	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	3	3	3	2	9	0	0	0	0	0	0

	Appropriateness				D.(				F	'inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Surgical revascularization	Usually appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	3
		References		Study	V Quality										
		21 (30777691)			2										
		4 (28359440)			4										
Systemic anticoagulation	Usually appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	4	3
		References		Study	/ Quality										
		1 (30360689)			4										
		4 (28359440)			4										
Angiography and aspiration embolectomy	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	0	1	1	9	3	0	0	0
Transcatheter thrombolysis	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	3	3	1	3	7	2	1	0	0	0	0

#### Variant 4: Recent onset abdominal pain, peritoneal signs, and known atrial fibrillation. CTA shows filling defect in the proximal SMA consistent with embolus and evidence of bowel infarction. Initial therapy.

#### Variant 5: Abdominal pain after meals and CTA showing widely patent origins of SMA and IMA, with compression of the celiac origin by the median arcuate ligament. Initial therapy.

	Appropriat	teness	COL		Ŧ		D. (1	N. 11			F	'inal '	Fabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categor		SOE	Adults RR	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Surgery with median arcuate ligament release	Usually appropria	•	Strong	N/A		N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	2
			References			Study	v Quality										
			31 (3965762)				4										

		22 (25814203)			4										
		30 (26365109)			3										
		28 (25758451)			2										
		32 (28851450)			2										
Mesenteric angiography in lateral projection during both inspiration and expiration	Usually appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	4	1
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		23 (28259570)		1	2				-						
Supportive measures only	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	1	0	0	1	11	0	1	0
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		29 (28189355)			2										
Percutaneous transluminal angioplasty with stent placement	May be appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	4	4	2	3	2	5	1	2	0	0	0
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		22 (25814203)			4										
		28 (25758451)			2										
		27 (18375098)			4										
		26 (11800352)			2										
		25 (19128929)			4										
		24 (17903658)			4				1	1	1				
Systemic anticoagulation	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	2	2	4	8	0	1	1	0	0	0	1

# Variant 6: History of abdominal pain after meals for the past few months and weight loss. CTA shows aortic atherosclerotic disease and suggests SMA-origin stenosis with occlusion of celiac origin and an occluded IMA. Initial therapy.

Deres large	Appropriateness	SOF			Detter	Mallan			F	'inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Angiography with possible	Usually	Strong	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	3

Procedure	Appropri Categ	ateness ory	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2		'inal ' 4	Fabu 5	ilatio		8	9
percutaneous transluminal angioplasty and stent placement	approp	riate														
			References		Study	y Quality										
			36 (27066948)			2										
			38 (29217008)			3										
			33 (29574024)			4										
			34 (27581131)			2										
			37 (33171195)			4										
			35 (31327617)			2										
			13 (33589326)			3										
			39 (29571626)		(	Good										
			40 (19497510)			2						1	_			
Surgical bypass or endarterectomy	May approp	be riate	Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	1	9	2	2	0
			References		Study	y Quality			-							
			38 (29217008)			3										
			37 (33171195)			4										
			37 (33171195)   13 (33589326)			3										
Systemic anticoagulation	May approp	be riate	Limited	N/A	N/A	5	5	1	1	3	2	6	0	1	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			37 (33171195)			4										

# Variant 7: Previously healthy with worsening diffuse abdominal pain for 2 weeks. CTA shows occlusion of the superior mesenteric vein and its major tributaries. Bowel appears normal. Serum lactate level is normal. Initial therapy.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Fir		9
	Category							5 6 7 8	9

	Appropriateness	egorySOEAddits KKLFeds KKLKatingMediaually opriateModerateN/AN/A99								inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Systemic anticoagulation	Usually appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
		References		Study	y Quality										
		4 (28359440)			4										
		44 (19821530)			2										
Transhepatic superior mesenteric vein catheterization and pharmacomechanical thrombolysis	Usually appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	1	1
		References		Study	y Quality										
		47 (15872320)			4										
		46 (24704749)			4										
		4 (28359440)			4										
		48 (26253926)			4										
		45 (31489698)			2						-				
Transjugular superior mesenteric vein catheterization and pharmacomechanical thrombolysis and TIPS	May be appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	3	8	1	2	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		45 (31489698)			2										
SMA angiography followed by thrombolytic infusion	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	4	4	0	1	0	10	2	1	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		42 (19859995)			4										
		41 (27263813)			3			-			-				
Surgical thrombectomy	Usually not appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	3	3	1	1	8	2	1	1	1	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		4 (28359440)			4										
		43 (30428441)			4										

#### **Appendix Key**

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

**Appropriateness Category**: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

**SOE**: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

**RRL**: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

**Rating**: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.