American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®]

Radiologic Management of Infected Fluid Collections

Variant 1: Patient with right lower quadrant abdominal pain, fever, and leukocytosis for 7 days. Physical examination shows no peritoneal signs. CT scan shows a thin-walled fluid collection, greater than 3 cm, adjacent to the cecum, nonvisualization of the appendix, and an appendicolith. Imaging findings are highly suspicious for appendicitis. Treatment includes antibiotics.

	Appropri	ateness								F	'inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Conservative management only	Usually approp	y not riate	Limited	N/A	N/A	3	3	1	4	5	1	2	1	0	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			28 (16498095)			3										
	-		27 (16322960)			2										
			2 (24293807)			4										
Surgical drainage	May approp	be riate	Limited	N/A	N/A	4	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			30 (6709901)			4										
	-		29 (21299091)			2										
			31 (29180172)			4										
Needle aspiration	May approp		Limited	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	1	0	1	6	2	3	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			28 (16498095)			3										
			27 (16322960)			2										
			2 (24293807)			4										

Percutaneous catheter drainage followed by delayed surgery	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	0 ()	1 3	3	3	3
		References		Study	Quality								•	
		17 (20149402)			dequate									
		26 (22249438)			2									
		16 (16175691)			3									
		20 (17390174)			4									
		22 (22052039)			2									
		23 (11867780)			4									
		24 (17032194)			2									
		25 (21286921)			4									
		18 (27756361)			3									
		19 (29431586)			4									
		21 (29361105)			4			1						,
Percutaneous catheter drainage only	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	1 ()	1 3	1	6	1
		References		Study	Quality									
		12 (10826415)			3									
		13 (8320186)			3									
		11 (12093344)			2									
		14 (12525909)			3									
		8 (22821308)			2									
		15 (23575402)			2									
		2 (24293807)			4									
		9 (28151844)			3									
		10 (16091990)			3									

Variant 2: Patient with a history of left hemicolectomy 2 months ago for colon carcinoma. Two weeks after placement of a drain into an abdominal abscess; the patient presents with abdominal pain and fever. Drain output is 25 cc per day and the collection is unchanged in size by CT. Treatment includes antibiotics.

	Appropri	ateness	COL			.				F	inal	Tabu	latio	ıs		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Catheter upsizing	Usua approp		Limited	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7	3
			References		Study	Quality										
			33 (20173165)			2										
			32 (14736682)			3					-		1		. 	
Continued antibiotics and drain removal	Usually approp		Limited	N/A	N/A	2	2	4	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			2 (24293807)			4										
Continued antibiotics and drainage (no change in care)	May approp	be riate	Limited	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	3	2	1	6	1	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality					•					
			47 (1719787)			2										
			48 (1627886)			4										
			23 (11867780)			4					-	-	1			,
Intracavitary thrombolytic therapy and drainage	Usua approp		Strong	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	0	1	1	1	8	2	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			40 (21482136)			4										
			34 (18372451)			4										
			36 (18309017)			3										
			37 (20036149)			4										
			38 (19190912)			1										
			39 (18503906)			1										
			41 (17867950)			1										
			35 (30202126)			4			1	1	1	1	1	1		<u> </u>
Laparoscopic drainage	May approp		Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	3	11	0	0	0

		References		Stud	y Quality										
		42 (11083215)			4										
		43 (15616752)							-						
Open surgical drainage	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	2	4	0	7	0	0	0
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		46 (2479049)			4										
		44 (8607582)			4										
		45 (-3148208)			4										

Variant 3: Patient who is an intravenous drug abuser presents with fever and tachycardia and on imaging is found to have 2 noncommunicating splenic abscesses accessible percutaneously through a 1 cm rim of normal splenic tissue. Treatment includes antibiotics.

	Appropri	iateness	COF							F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns	-	
Procedure	Categ	gory	SOE	Adults RR	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Conservative management only	Usuall approp	y not oriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	3	3	2	3	5	1	2	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			55 (29666665)			4										
			57 (30326233)			4		_	-	-						
Needle aspiration	May approp		Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	1	4	7	1	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			54 (19070526)			4										
			56 (30244225)			4		_	-							
Percutaneous catheter drainage only	Usua approp		Limited	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	7	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			51 (16489650)			3										
			52 (16676856)			2										

		53 (20152354) 49 (21319348) 50 (30187605)				4 4 4										
Splenectomy	Usua approp	Limited	N/A		N/A	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	0	0
		References			Stud	y Quality								•		
		55 (29666665)				4										
		50 (30187605)				4										
		50 (30187605) 54 (19070526)				4										

Variant 4: Patient with abdominal pain radiating to the back 5 weeks after hospitalization for acute pancreatitis. Afebrile. CT scan shows a walled-off collection in the body of the pancreas indenting a broad portion of the body of the stomach, affecting the gastric outlet. The collection is percutaneously accessible with a 3-cm window. MR cholangiopancreatography shows a patent pancreatic duct.

	Appropria	ateness								F	'inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Catego	ory ory ont riate	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Conservative management only	Usually appropr	/ not riate	Limited	N/A	N/A	3	3	0	3	4	3	2	0	1	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			70 (11437038)			3										
	_		71 (17219288)			4										
	-		72 (10102326)			3										
	-		64 (1702557)			4										
			59 (27163588)		1	4				-						
Endoscopic cystgastrostomy	Usual appropi		Limited	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	12	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
	-		62 (11818936)			3										
	Ļ		63 (10744807)			3										
			61 (23732774)			1										

Percutaneous catheter drainage only	May be appropria	e ate	Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	3	4	3	3	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			67 (8995072)			4										
			62 (11818936)			3										
			68 (1734573)			4										
			69 (3357953)			4										
			64 (1702557)			4										
			65 (24315454)			3										
			66 (30146334)			3										
Percutaneous needle aspiration	May be appropria	e ate	Limited	N/A	N/A	4	4	0	2	2	4	4	1	0	0	0
		·	References		Study	Quality		-						•		
			73 (12607787)			4										
			74 (24955337)			4										
			75 (12911171)			4										
Surgical cystenterostomy	May be appropria		Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	1	0	5	2	3	1	1
		i	References	Study	Quality											
			60 (26900288)			4										

Variant 5: Patient with a 2 week history of cough, fever, and foul-smelling sputum. Worsening condition despite a full course of broad-spectrum antibiotics. Sputum cultures negative. CT scan shows a right lower lobe abscess. Treatment includes antibiotics.

	Appropriatene	SS COL							F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE SOE	Adults RRI	2 Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Another course of antibiotics and postural drainage	May be appropriate (Disagreement	Expert Opinion	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	4	4	2	0	3	1	0	0
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		83 (17273583)			4										

		77 (1987590)			4										
		78 (3977469)			4										
		76 (26366400)			4										
		80 (22347342)			4			-		_					
Needle aspiration	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	3	3	0	0	7	2	3	1	0	0	0
Percutaneous catheter drainage only	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	1	0	0	1	5	2	3	1
		References		Stud	y Quality								-		
		77 (1987590)			4										
		78 (3977469)			4										
		79 (21980735)			3										
		81 (21439848)			3										
		76 (26366400)			4										
		80 (22347342)			4			-		_					
Surgery	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	1	5	2	5	0	0
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		76 (26366400)			4										
		82 (22361063)			4										

Variant 6: Patient with a 3 week history of pneumonia, fever, dyspnea. Worsening condition despite a full course of broad-spectrum antibiotics. CT scan shows a loculated pleural collection with overlying pleural thickening (empyema). Treatment includes antibiotics.

	Appropri	iateness	COF		Ŧ			N7 11			F	'inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RR	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Another course of antibiotics and postural drainage	Usuall approp		Strong	N/A		N/A	2	2	1	9	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			84 (28840000)			C	lood										

		86 (22459772)		(Good]			-	-				
Needle aspiration	Usually not appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	3	3	2	3	4	2	0	1	1	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		84 (28840000)		(Good										
		86 (22459772)		(Good										
Open decortication	May be appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	3	2	6	1	1	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		84 (28840000)		(Good										
		87 (30370082)			4					-	-	-			
Percutaneous catheter drainage with administration of thrombolytic therapy	Usually appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	3	4
		References		Study	y Quality										
		36 (18309017)			3										
		84 (28840000)		(Good		-								
		85 (28274565)			4	I									
Video-assisted thoracic surgery decortication	Usually appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	2	1
		References		Study	y Quality										
		84 (28840000)		(Good										
		87 (30370082)			4]								

Variant 7: Woman of childbearing age with abdominal pain, fever, and leukocytosis. Marked tenderness on pelvic examination. CT scan shows a walled-off, probable tuboovarian abscess (TOA) greater than 3 cm. Treatment includes antibiotics.

Procedure	Appropriateness	COL			D. (1				F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Conservative management only	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	0	5	2	6	1	0	0	0

		References		Stud	y Quality										
		100 (23539877)			2										
		99 (26848871)			4				-						
Endoscopic US-guided drainage	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	0	0	1	7	6	0	0	0
		References		Stud											
		105 (20659232)	4												
		104 (28918808)							1						
Surgical/laparoscopic drainage	May be appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	0	0	0	11	3	0	0	0
		References		Stud											
		103 (19393776)													
		101 (21419651)			2										
		102 (-3148231)			4		_			-					
Transabdominal needle aspiration	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	2	10	2	0	0
Transabdominal percutaneous catheter drainage	Usually appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	10	1
		References		Stud	y Quality				•						
		90 (12881584)			2										
		91 (12099560)			4										
		92 (10871453)			4										
		93 (9646800)			3										
		95 (15516608)			4										
		89 (15665222)		3											
		88 (27106643)	4												
		94 (26591964)		4				1							
Transgluteal needle aspiration	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	2	10	2	0	0

Transgluteal percutaneous catheter drainage	Usually appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	10	1
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		90 (12881584)			2										
		91 (12099560)		4											
		92 (10871453)		4											
		93 (9646800)			3										
		95 (15516608)			4										
		89 (15665222)			3										
		88 (27106643)			4										
		94 (26591964)			4				1		1	1			
Transrectal needle aspiration	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	2	10	2	0	0
Transrectal percutaneous catheter drainage	Usually appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	10	2	0
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		90 (12881584)		2											
		91 (12099560)		4											
		92 (10871453)		4											
		93 (9646800)			3										
		95 (15516608)			4										
		89 (15665222)			3										
		98 (15219951)			4										
		88 (27106643)			4										
		94 (26591964)		1	4										
Transvaginal needle aspiration	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	2	10	2	0	0
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		99 (26848871)			4							-			
Transvaginal percutaneous catheter drainage	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	8	5	0

References	Study Quality
90 (12881584)	2
91 (12099560)	4
92 (10871453)	4
93 (9646800)	3
95 (15516608)	4
89 (15665222)	3
97 (19772677)	4
96 (22579130)	2
88 (27106643)	4
94 (26591964)	4

Variant 8: Patient with recent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and sphincterotomy now with 3 weeks of worsening right upper quadrant pain, fever, jaundice, and malaise. CT scan reveals 2 liver abscesses greater than 3 cm. MRCP demonstrates no biliary obstruction or stones. Treatment includes antibiotics.

	Appropria	ateness	005		•		D (1				F	'inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ	ory	SOE	Adults RR	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Continued conservative management	May appropr	be riate	Limited	N/A		N/A	4	4	0	2	5	0	5	2	0	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			114 (12567615))													
	_		115 (1863218)				4										
			108 (27350946)		4												
Needle aspiration	May appropr	be riate	Limited	N/A		N/A	6	6	0	0	1	0	4	3	4	1	0
			References		Study Quality												
			114 (12567615)			4											
	_		115 (1863218)			4											
	-		108 (27350946)			4											
			116 (27047771)				4										
			117 (15708321))			4										

	[118 (24046781)		4												
Percutaneous catheter drainage only	Usual appropr	lly riate	Limited	N/A		N/A	8	8	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	5	2
			References		Study Quality												
			106 (21195630)			4											
			107 (22578643)			4											
			108 (27350946)	4													
	_		109 (17715080))	1												
			110 (18306874)				3			1							
Percutaneous catheter drainage with conversion to percutaneous biliary drain	May l appropr	be riate	Limited	N/A		N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	4	5	1	2	1
			References		Study Quality												
			111 (21879281)		3												
			112 (16528651)	1	3												
			113 (11918890)		2												
Surgical management	May l appropr	be riate	Limited	N/A		N/A	5	5	0	1	1	1	4	5	0	1	0
			References			Stud	y Quality		-								
			108 (27350946)	1			4										
			116 (27047771))			4										
	_		110 (18306874)			3											
		119 (22536008) 3															
		120 (21472130) 4															
			121 (15729072)		3												

Variant 9: Patient presents to the emergency department with 5 days of progressive ankle swelling and 2 days of fever. An ultrasound was obtained given the diffuse soft-tissue swelling around the ankle which revealed a subperiosteal abscess. Treatment includes antibiotics.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

	Appropri	iateness	COF			D (1				F	inal	Tabul	Final Tabulations											
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9								
Continued conservative management	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	N/A	N/A	2	2	1	7	3	0	0	1	0	1	0								
Needle aspiration	May approp		Limited	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	0	0	2	11	1	0	0	0								
			References	v Quality																				
			126 (27280623))																				
			127 (15895789))			-	-																
Percutaneous catheter drainage only	May approp		Limited	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	0	0	5	7	1	1	0	0								
			References		Study										<u> </u>									
			122 (8929301)		4																			
Surgical drainage	Usua approp		Limited	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	7	3								
			References		Study Quality																			
			123 (24446020)		4																			
			124 (26323185)		4																			
			125 (28405074))		4																		

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.