American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®]

Osteonecrosis

Variant 1: Clinically suspected osteonecrosis. Initial imaging.

	Appropri	iateness						Final Tabulations										
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
Radiography area of interest	Usually appropriate		Limited	Varies	Varies	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11		
			References		Study	v Quality												
			27 (32767711)			2												
			28 (26088795)															
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	y not oriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0		
Bone scan area of interest	Usually not appropriate		Limited	ଝେଝ 1-10 mSv		1	1	9	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0		
			References		Study	Quality												
			30 (12163624)			1												
			29 (3336682)	3														

31 (18360802)	3
	-

Variant 2: Clinically suspected osteonecrosis. Normal radiographs or radiographs that show findings suspicious for osteonecrosis. Next imaging study.

	Appropri	ateness								F	inal	Tabu	Final Tabulations									
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9						
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	Usua approp		Moderate	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	10						
			References		Study	Quality						•										
			5 (25019438)																			
			13 (18310696)			4																
			43 (29973239)	C																		
			47 (27519911)																			
			40 (27905865)																			
			48 (28355130)			3																
			46 (30676781)			4																
			14 (18350349)			3																
			28 (26088795)			4																
			42 (26009009)																			
			24 (24966252)																			
			44 (30112253)																			
			45 (26397350)																			
CT area of interest without IV contrast	May be appropriate (Disagreement)		Expert Opinion	Varies	Varies	5	5	1	0	1	0	6	1	1	2	0						
			References		Study																	
		36 (2732252) 37 (12540435)																				
			38 (19234700)							_												
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	May approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	1	2	6	1	2	0	0						

			References	Study												
			41 (25129825)													
			39 (21343508)	2												
			40 (27905865)	4												
Bone scan area of interest	Usually approp	y not riate	Strong	ତେତ 1-10 mSv		2	2	5	3	0	3	1	0	0	0	0
			References	Stud												
			30 (12163624)		1											
			34 (30646869)		2											
			32 (28089085)		3											
			33 (32746824)		2											
			35 (32251061)			4				-						
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually approp	y not oriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	y not oriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 3: Known osteonecrosis with articular collapse by radiographs. Surgery planned. Next imaging study.

	Appropriateness	SOE		L Peds RRL	Rating		Final Tabulations											
Procedure	Category		Adults RRL			Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	Varies	Varies	8	8	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	3	5			
		References		Study	/ Quality													
		37 (12540435)	i .															
		38 (19234700)	i .															
		50 (29848357)			1													
		49 (31571188)			4													
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	3	5			

		References		Study											
		13 (18310696)													
		52 (18712375)		2											
		14 (18350349)			_	-	-	_	-	-	-				
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	0	1	3	1	1	0	0	0
		References	Study	Quality											
		51 (30697641)													
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	9	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	9	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	ଝେଝ 1-10 mSv		1	1	8	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
MR arthrography area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.