American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Primary Bone Tumors

Variant 1: Suspect primary bone tumor. Initial imaging.

	Appropri	iateness	g 0.7							F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ	gory	SOE	Adults RRI	Peds RF	RL Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Radiography area of interest	Usua approp		Strong	Varies	Varies	9	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
			References		S	tudy Quality										
			10 (9308471)			3										
			3 (5846856)			4										
			4 (7323290)			4										
			5 (7323291)			4										
			6 (7323292)			4										
			7 (16247641)			3										
			8 (27070373)			3										
			9 (26220916)			2										
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	11	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	12	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	12	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mS [ped]	5v 1	1	10	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0

MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���⊕ 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
US area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Variant 2: Suspect primary bone tumor. Radiographs negative or do not explain symptoms. Next imaging study.

D 1	Appropri	ateness	COL	4 1 1/ DDI		DDI	D (1	3.6.31			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RRI	Peds I	KKL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 n [pe		9	9	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	8
			References			Study	Quality										
			12 (2120933)				2		_								
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 n [pe		7	7	0	0	1	0	5	0	2	2	4
			References		·	Study	Quality										
			12 (2120933)				2										
CT area of interest without IV contrast	May approp	be riate	Limited	Varies	Vari	ies	5	5	1	0	1	1	6	4	1	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			11 (12886126)				3										
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	May approp	be riate	Limited	Varies	Vari	ies	4	4	4	1	1	3	4	0	1	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			11 (12886126)				3										

CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually approp	y not riate	Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	/ Quality										
			11 (12886126)			3										
Bone scan whole body	Usually approp	y not riate	Limited	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			12 (2120933)			2										
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	���� 10-3 mSv	0	1	1	8	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0
US area of interest	Usually approp	y not riate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

Variant 3: Suspect primary bone tumor. Benign radiographic features. Not osteoid osteoma. Next imaging study.

n 1	Appropriat	teness	COF	4 1 14 DD		D I DDI	D 4	3.6 11			F	inal [Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categor		SOE	Adults RR	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	May be appropria	e ate	Limited	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	5	8	1	0	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			13 (-3145589)				4										
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	May be appropria	e ate	Limited	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	3	7	3	1	0	0
			References			Study	Quality		_								
			13 (-3145589)				4										
CT area of interest without IV contrast	May be appropria		Expert Consensus	Varies		Varies	4	4	6	0	1	2	3	2	0	0	0
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually nappropria	not ate	Expert Consensus	Varies		Varies	1	1	10	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0

CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	9	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	8	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
US area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0

Variant 4: Suspect primary bone tumor. Radiographs or clinical presentation suggest osteoid osteoma. Next imaging study.

	Appropri	ateness	GOT							F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RRI	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	Varies	Varies	9	9	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	10
			References		Stud	ly Quality						•				
			14 (2119115)			4										
			15 (8134575)			3										
			16 (24631034)			4		_						_		
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	May approp (Disagree	riate	Expert Opinion	Varies	Varies	5	5	0	4	1	2	5	0	2	0	0
			References		Stud	ly Quality		-								
			14 (2119115)			4										
			15 (8134575)			3										
			16 (24631034)			4										
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	May approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	0	2	10	0	1	0	0
			References		Stud	ly Quality										
			17 (12324824)			4										

		18 (12773675)			2										
		15 (8134575)		1	3			1			1				
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	1	10	2	1	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		17 (12324824)			4										
		18 (12773675)			2										
		15 (8134575)			3										
Bone scan whole body with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	May be appropriate	Limited	≎ ⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	1	4	8	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		19 (24751704)			3										
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Limited	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	2	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		19 (24751704)			3										
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		14 (2119115)			4										
		15 (8134575)			3										
		16 (24631034)			4										
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	9	1	1	9	3	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
US area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1

Variant 5: Suspect primary bone tumor. Lesion on radiographs. Indeterminate or aggressive appearance for malignancy. Next imaging study.

	Appropriateness	go.		D 1 DD1	- ·	3.5.31			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	10
		References		Study	Quality										
		14 (2119115)			4										
		41 (7529935)			2										
		37 (3055041)			3										
		36 (1737596)			3										
		40 (1853820)			4										
		44 (8058957)			3										
		39 (16436820)			2										
		48 (20566784)			3										
		21 (14530882)			4										
		20 (19277645)			4										
		42 (10671608)			3										
		22 (14512511)			4										
		23 (8988217)			2										
		34 (12652336)			4										
		49 (26388466)			3										
		35 (24035342)			4										
		7 (16247641)			3										
		9 (26220916)			2										
		38 (26724650)			3										
		43 (25656545)			4										
		45 (26162578)			3										
		46 (26559290)		C	lood										
		47 (22210011)			lood										
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	6	2	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										

14 (2119115)	4
41 (7529935)	2
37 (3055041)	3
36 (1737596)	3
40 (1853820)	4
44 (8058957)	3
39 (16436820)	2
48 (20566784)	3
21 (14530882)	4
20 (19277645)	4
42 (10671608)	3
22 (14512511)	4
23 (8988217)	2
34 (12652336)	4
49 (26388466)	3
35 (24035342)	4
7 (16247641)	3
9 (26220916)	2
38 (26724650)	3
43 (25656545)	4
45 (26162578)	3
46 (26559290)	Good
47 (22210011)	Good

References	Study	Quality	
21 (14530882)		4	
20 (19277645)	·	4	
22 (14512511)		4	
23 (8988217)		2	
24 (26002126)		3	

CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	May t appropr (Disagree	priate Expert Opinion Varies		Varies	Varies	5	5	0	1	2	1	4	4	2	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			21 (14530882)			4										
			20 (19277645)			4										
			22 (14512511)			4										
			23 (8988217)			2										
			24 (26002126)			3										
FDG-PET/CT whole body	May b		Strong	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	0	1	10	1	1	0	0
		References			Study											
			31 (15286325)													
			25 (11376267)													
			27 (8657920)													
			33 (15937711)													
			26 (18278491)													
			29 (18756363)													
			32 (18309481)													
			28 (26356700)		(
			30 (22072239)		(
Bone scan whole body with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	May b		Limited	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	4	4	1	0	0	8	4	1	0	0	0
			References		Study											
	_	50 (22865157)														
Bone scan whole body	Usually appropr		Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	4	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually appropr		Moderate	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
-			References													

		21 (14530882)															
		20 (19277645)															
		22 (14512511)			4												
		23 (8988217)			2												
			24 (26002126)			3											
US area of interest	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 i	mSv ed]	1	1	11	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Radiography skeletal survey	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv)		1	1	9	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0

Variant 6: "Incidental" osseous lesion on MRI or CT scan for unrelated indication. Suspect primary bone tumor. Not clearly benign. Next imaging study.

D 1	Appropri	ateness	COF	Adults RRL		D. J. DDI D. C.		3.6.11	Final Tabulations											
Procedure	Categ	gory	SOE			Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9			
Radiography area of interest	Usua approp			Varies		Varies	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	12			
		References				Study	Quality													
			3 (5846856)																	
		4 (7323290)																		
		5 (7323291)																		
		6 (7323292)																		
			7 (16247641)																	
			8 (27070373)		3															
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	May approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	0	0	6	2	1	3	2			
CT area of interest without IV contrast	May approp		Expert Varies			Varies	5	5	0	1	0	2	11	0	0	0	0			
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	May approp (Disagre	riate	Expert Opinion			Varies	5	5	0	0	3	2	3	2	1	2	1			

MRI area of interest without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	0	12	2	0	0	0
Bone scan whole body	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	4	4	4	2	1	5	2	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���≎ 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	2	3	2	0	0	1	0	0
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
US area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.