American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Imaging After Total Knee Arthroplasty

Variant 1: Follow-up of symptomatic or asymptomatic patients with a total knee arthroplasty. Initial imaging.

ъ .	Appropriateness	COF	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D. (3.4 11			F	inal T	Гabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Radiography knee	Usually appropriate	Strong	ଡ <0.1 mSv		9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11

References	Study Quality
27 (8713906)	4
35 (19826813)	2
32 (15284990)	4
33 (14658097)	4
18 (8895629)	3
31 (16485456)	4
29 (15837396)	4
21 (24370168)	4
20 (16514580)	4
22 (16846767)	4
19 (18612008)	4
24 (21641179)	2
42 (7871170)	4
36 (14566740)	3
38 (16672885)	4
40 (15864052)	3
39 (16980893)	3

37 (16958475)	4
28 (16595481)	4
4 (24261390)	4
30 (18310744)	4
34 (20218424)	3
41 (31954608)	3
23 (32490778)	4
25 (34017659)	3
26 (19057730)	4

CT arthrography knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	 	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	⊕ <0.1 mSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	 <0.1 mSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	 <0.1 mSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fluoroscopy knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	 <0.1 mSv		1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Image-guided aspiration knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI knee without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI knee without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-phase bone scan knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv		1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
US knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fluoride PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WBC scan and sulfur colloid scan knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���⊕ 10-30 mSv		1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 2: Suspected infection after total knee arthroplasty. Additional imaging following radiographs.

B 1	Appropriateness	COE	A L I/ DDI	D I DDI	D 4	3.7.11			F	inal T	Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Image-guided aspiration knee	Usually appropriate	Strong	Varies	Varies	9	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8

References	Study	Quality	
44 (19692690)		4	
45 (12168179)		2	
46 (17918072)		2	
47 (16224857)		4	
18 (8895629)		3	
49 (12164519)		3	
50 (15307559)		3	
83 (21427339)		4	
84 (8836084)		3	
85 (14658109)		3	
86 (15465503)		3	
87 (16434514)		4	
88 (16520210)		3	

		89 (9418615)			3										
		90 (17823024)			3										
		92 (21776555)			4										
		30 (18310744)			4										
		54 (-3195822)			4										
		91 (34587890)			3				,						
MRI knee without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	0	0	2	4	5	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		97 (16950034)			3										
		93 (26295591)			4										
		22 (16846767)			4										
		96 (16627160)			4										
		95 (27232641)			2										
		94 (23091176)			2										
WBC scan and sulfur colloid scan knee	May be appropriate	Strong	���� 10-30 mSv		6	6	0	0	0	1	4	1	4	1	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		107 (2027967)			3										
		49 (12164519)			3										
		50 (15307559)			3										
		98 (3733846)			4										
		100 (10794224))		3										
		101 (8496202)			3										
		104 (10810483))		3										
		103 (9785394)			4										
		102 (2208853)			3										
		105 (17138732))		2										
		69 (15534056)			3										
		99 (15001684)			2										
					3										

		64 (19038601)			4										
		63 (19182727)			4										
		108 (31218592))		3										
		66 (-3195824)			4										
		109 (-3195826)			3										
MRI knee without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	0	1	4	2	2	1	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		97 (16950034)			3										
		93 (26295591)			4										
		22 (16846767)			4										
		96 (16627160)			4										
		95 (27232641)			2										
		94 (23091176)			2										
3-phase bone scan knee	May be appropriate	Strong	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv		5	5	0	1	0	1	5	1	2	0	1
		References		Study	Quality										
		18 (8895629)			3										
		59 (22361912)			4										
		60 (11247700)			3										
		61 (2354603)			2										
		62 (2225613)			3										
		64 (19038601)			4										
		63 (19182727)			4										
		65 (25071885)			4										
		30 (18310744)			4										
		66 (-3195824)			4										
CT knee with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	⊕ <0.1 mSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	4	4	3	0	2	3	3	0	0	0	0

US knee	May b	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	0	1	3	3	2	1	1	0	0
CT knee without IV contrast	Usually appropr	Limited	୫ <0.1 mS\		3	3	4	0	2	3	2	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		20 (16514580)			4										
		67 (17080648)			3										
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually appropr	Strong	≎≎≎≎ 10-3 mSv	0	3	3	1	2	3	3	2	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		73 (18704405)			M										
		82 (11685492)			2										
		75 (16829764)			M										
		70 (24196917)			3										
		78 (17448973)		3											
		80 (12271415)			3										
		76 (12766596)			3										
		79 (16721569)			4										
		74 (16512924)			3										
		71 (12089487)			3										
		69 (15534056)			3										
		68 (11197979)			3										
		81 (24873788)			2										
		72 (32145890)			4										
		77 (-3195825)			4										
CT arthrography knee	Usually appropr	Expert Consensus	� <0.1 mSv	• • • 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
CT knee without and with IV contrast	Usually appropr	Limited	� <0.1 mSv	v 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	6	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0

		References			Study	/ Quality										
		20 (16514580)				4										
		67 (17080648)				3										
Fluoroscopy knee	Usuall approp	Limited				1	1	8	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		30 (18310744)				4										
Fluoride PET/CT whole body	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎≎ 10- mSv	-30	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 3: Pain after total knee arthroplasty. Infection excluded. Suspect aseptic loosening or osteolysis or instability. Additional imaging following radiographs.

D 1	Appropria	ateness	COF	4 1 14 DD		D I DDI	D (1	3.6.31			F	inal '	Tabul	latio	ns		
Procedure	Catego		SOE	Adults RR	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
CT knee without IV contrast	Usual appropr		Limited	⊕ <0.1 mS	Sv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	3	2
			References			Study	Quality										
			20 (16514580)				4										
			67 (17080648)			3											
			116 (25633024)			4											
			124 (16514583)			4											
			125 (15993602)			4											
MRI knee without IV contrast	Usual appropr	125 (15993602) Limited O 0 mSv				O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	1	0	2	4	2	2
			References			Study	Quality										
			97 (16950034)				3										
			93 (26295591)				4										
		93 (26295591) 22 (16846767)					4										
		116 (25633024)					4										
			96 (16627160)				4										

			131 (35315718)		2 99 1-10 5 5												
3-phase bone scan knee	May approp	be riate	Strong	��� 1-10 mSv			5	5	1	1	0	2	6	1	0	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										_
			20 (16514580)			2	4										
			60 (11247700)			3	3										
			120 (14579084)				3										
			61 (2354603)				2										
			62 (2225613)				3										
			119 (2295172)				3										
			121 (11553828)			4	4										
			123 (3655908)				3										
			122 (31263926) 3 66 (-3195824) 4														
			66 (-3195824)							1							
Fluoroscopy knee	Usually appropr	y not riate	Limited	⊕ <0.1 mSv	v		3	3	3	0	5	2	0	1	0	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			20 (16514580)			2	4										
			129 (8895643)		4												
			130 (2793887)				4										
WBC scan and sulfur colloid scan knee	Usually approp	y not riate	Strong	���� 10-3 mSv	0		3	3	3	1	2	3	2	0	0	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			107 (2027967)		3												
			101 (8496202)		3												
			106 (11547374)				3										
			134 (2266391)				3										
			127 (15100514)			,	2										
			66 (-3195824)			2	4										
			109 (-3195826)				3										

CT arthrography knee	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	⊕ <0.1 mS	Sv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	2	2	5	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
CT knee with IV contrast	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	⊕ <0.1 mS	Sv		1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee without and with IV contrast	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	≎ <0.1 mS	Sv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI knee without and with IV contrast	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually approp	Limited	���� 10-30 mSv		���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	6	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
		References		Study Quality												
		78 (17448973)		3												
		74 (16512924)				3										
		121 (11553828))			4										
		126 (27468360))			4										
		127 (15100514))			2										
		66 (-3195824)				4										
US knee	Usually approp	Limited	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	8	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		132 (18751851))			4										
		133 (27726755))	4												
Fluoride PET/CT whole body	Usually approp	Limited	���� 10- mSv	nSv [ped] 1 1		1	9	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
		References		Study Quality												
		128 (31040911))	3												

Variant 4: Pain after total knee arthroplasty. Suspect periprosthetic or hardware fracture. Additional imaging following radiographs.

	Appropriateness	COF	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D 41	3.5.11			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
CT knee without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	⊕ <0.1 mSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	8	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	5
		References		Study	y Quality										
,		20 (16514580)			4										
MRI knee without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	1	0	3	2	1	3	1
		References		Study	y Quality										
		22 (16846767)													
3-phase bone scan knee	May be appropriate	Limited	��� 1-10 mSv		4	4	3	1	1	2	4	0	0	0	0
	,	References		Study	y Quality										
		137 (22733959))		4										
		138 (24647514))		4										
CT arthrography knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎ <0.1 mSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎ <0.1 mSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎ <0.1 mSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fluoroscopy knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎ <0.1 mSv		1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI knee without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

US knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	8	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Fluoride PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WBC scan and sulfur colloid scan knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv		1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 5: Pain after total knee arthroplasty. Measuring component rotation. Additional imaging following radiographs.

D 1	Appropr	iateness	COL	4 1 14 DD		n i nni	3.6.11			I	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns			
Procedure	Categ	gory	SOE	Adults RR	KL .	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
CT knee without IV contrast	Usua approp		Strong	⊕ <0.1 mS	Sv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	9
	•		References			Study	Quality			-	-						
			20 (16514580)				4										
			139 (9917679) 140 (11689376)				3										
			140 (11689376)	140 (11689376)			4										
			143 (7497664)				2										
			40 (15864052)				3										
			144 (23906867))			2										
			142 (11021452))													
	_		145 (30473230))			3				_	_					_
MRI knee without IV contrast	May approp	be briate	Limited	O 0 mSv	/	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	1	0	4	4	1	0	1
	•		References			Study Quality								•			
			147 (21741843)				4										
			146 (10794232)				4										
			148 (22933492)				3										

CT arthrography knee	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	⊕ <0.1 mS		�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	� <0.1 mS		�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	� < 0.1 mS		�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fluoroscopy knee	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	⊕ <0.1 mS	Sv		1	1	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI knee without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-phase bone scan knee	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	0		1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usuall approp	Limited	���� 10- mSv	30	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		79 (16721569)				4			1	1						
US knee	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fluoride PET/CT whole body	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv		���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WBC scan and sulfur colloid scan knee	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv			1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 6: Pain after total knee arthroplasty. Suspect periprosthetic soft-tissue abnormality unrelated to infection, including quadriceps or patellar tendinopathy (quadriceps or patellar tendon tears, postoperative arthrofibrosis, patellar clunk syndrome, or impingement of nerves or other soft tissues). Additional imaging following radiographs.

	Appropriat	teness	go						F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns				
Procedure	Categor		SOE	Adults RRI	L Peds Rl	RL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI knee without IV contrast	Usually appropria		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 ms [ped]		8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	4
			References		\$	Study (Quality										
			147 (21741843))		۷	4										
			93 (26295591)			۷	4										
			152 (12579011))		۷	4										
			154 (27022733))		۷	4										
			153 (32993344))		3	3										
US knee	Usually appropria		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 ms [ped]		7	7	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	2	1
			References			Study (Quality		•						•		
			157 (17543487))	4												
			158 (14736658))	3												
			155 (29395745))	2												
			156 (32803377))		۷	4										
			160 (27613578))	4												
			159 (2273390)		4												
CT arthrography knee	Usually r appropria		Expert Consensus	≎ <0.1 mS	v 0.0 0.3 mS [ped]	Sv	1	1	9	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee with IV contrast	Usually r appropria		Expert Consensus	⊕ <0.1 mS	v 0.3 mS [ped]	Sv	1	1	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee without IV contrast	Usually r appropria		Expert Consensus	⊕ <0.1 mS	v 0.3 mS [ped]	Sv	1	1	6	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
CT knee without and with IV contrast	Usually r appropria		Expert Consensus	⊕ <0.1 mS	♥♥ 0.0 v 0.3 mS [ped]	Sv	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fluoroscopy knee	Usually r appropria		Expert Consensus				1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

MRI knee without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	7	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-phase bone scan knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv		1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fluoride PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WBC scan and sulfur colloid scan knee	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���⊕ 10-30 mSv		1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.