American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Thoracic Back Pain

Variant 1: Adult. Acute thoracic back pain without myelopathy or radiculopathy. No red flags. No prior management. Initial imaging.

	Appropriateness	GOT		D 1 DD1	- ·	35.11			F	inal '	Гаbи	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid- thigh	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Radiography thoracic spine	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv		1	1	15	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
CT thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
CT thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	15	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0
MRI thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	0
MRI thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
MRI thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	15	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0

CT myelography thoracic spine	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv		1	1	17	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT thoracic spine	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 2: Adult. Subacute or chronic thoracic back pain without myelopathy or radiculopathy. No red flags. Failed conservative management. Initial imaging.

D 1	Appropriateness	COE	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D. (N. 11	Final Tabulations 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9								
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Radiography thoracic spine	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv		5	5	11	4	1	0	0	1	2	0	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	15	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0
MRI thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
MRI thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	1	0	2	2	0	1	1	0
MRI thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0

CT myelography thoracic spine	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���⊕ 10-30 mSv		1	1	16	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT thoracic spine	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 3: Adult. Thoracic back pain with myelopathy or radiculopathy. Initial imaging.

D 1	Appropri	iateness	COE	4 1 14 DDI	Adults RRL Peds RRL Rating					F	inal '	Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RKL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	3	3	4	8
			References		Study	Quality										
			20 (22048067)			4										
			33 (31304197)			4										
CT thoracic spine without IV contrast	May approp (Disagre	oriate	Expert Opinion	��� 1-10 mSv	ଡେଡେଡେ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	5	5	3	0	0	5	8	3	0	0	0
MRI thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	May approp (Disagre	oriate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	2	0	1	0	1	0	8	4	3
CT myelography thoracic spine	May approp		Limited	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 10-3 mSv	0	5	5	0	0	1	1	14	3	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			32 (28338452)			4										
Radiography thoracic spine	May approp		Limited	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv		4	4	2	1	1	6	7	2	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			11 (19412022)			1		_								
Bone scan whole body	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	ଡେଡଡ 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	15	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
MRI thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT thoracic spine	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 4: Adult. Thoracic back pain without or with myelopathy or radiculopathy. One or more of the following: low-velocity trauma, osteoporosis, elderly individual, or chronic steroid use. Initial imaging.

	Appropri	iateness	COT		_	B 1 BB1	- ·				F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ	gory	SOE	Adults RR	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Radiography thoracic spine	Usua approp		Limited	⊛⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	0		7	7	0	0	1	0	1	0	13	2	2
			References 36 (15875926)			Study	Quality					-					
		36 (15875926)					2										
CT thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	��� 1-10 mSv	0	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	1	4	3	5	2	4
			References			Study	Quality										
			36 (15875926)				2										
MRI thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	1	0	2	3	4	2	7
		References			Study	Quality											
		36 (15875926)				2											

MRI thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	May approj		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	2	0	1	3	11	2	0	0	0
Bone scan whole body	May approp		Limited	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	4	4	7	1	0	4	7	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality				•		•				
			36 (15875926)			2										
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT thoracic spine	May approj		Limited	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	4	4	8	0	0	3	6	2	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			36 (15875926)			2										
FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usuall approp	•	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	0	1	1	16	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv		1	1	12	0	3	2	0	1	1	0	0
CT thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	0	1	1	18	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usuall approj		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	4	2	0	2	0	0	0
CT myelography thoracic spine	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv)	1	1	11	2	2	2	1	0	1	0	0

Variant 5: Adult. Thoracic back pain without or with myelopathy or radiculopathy. One or more of the following: suspicion of cancer, infection, or immunosuppression. Initial imaging.

ъ .	Appropriateness	COE	A L L DDI	n i nni	D. C	3.6 11			F	inal T	Fabu	latior	ıs		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	3	13

		References 38 (2028061) 39 (18278491)			Quality										
		38 (2028061)			1										
		39 (18278491)			3										
		41 (22312523)			4										
		40 (19325068)			4										
		36 (15875926)			2										
		42 (28677897)			4										
MRI thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	1	2	3	8	2	3
		References		Study	Quality										
		39 (18278491)			3										
		43 (29431498)			4										
		41 (22312523)			4										
		40 (19325068)			4										
		44 (32904056)			1										
		42 (28677897)			4										
Radiography thoracic spine	May be appropriate	Limited	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv		5	5	1	0	0	2	12	4	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality		-					•			
		45 (31100530)			4										
CT thoracic spine without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	1	1	12	5	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality		•								
		37 (35189892)			2										
CT myelography thoracic spine	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv		5	5	1	0	1	3	12	2	0	0	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	2	2	0	3	2	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0

CT thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT thoracic spine	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	1	1	1	3	1	1	0	0

Variant 6: Adult. Thoracic back pain without or with myelopathy or radiculopathy. Radiograph shows bone destruction or fracture or spinal deformity. Next imaging study.

ъ .	Appropriateness	COE	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D 4	3.6 11			F	inal '	Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	1	0	1	1	3	3	10
		References		Study	Quality										
		44 (32904056)			1		_								
CT thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	3	2	7	5	2
		References		Study	Quality										
		11 (19412022)			1										
		46 (33302988)			4										
MRI thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	1	3	2	5	4	4
		References		Study Quality					-						
		27 (33934648)			2										
CT myelography thoracic spine	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎≎ 10-30 mSv		5	5	0	0	0	1	12	5	1	0	0

Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎⊛≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	୫୫୫ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	15	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���⊕ 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	0	2	3	2	0	0	0	0
MRI thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT thoracic spine	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0

Variant 7: Adult. Thoracic back pain without or with myelopathy or radiculopathy. Post thoracic spine surgery. Follow-up imaging.

Procedure	Appropriateness	COE	A L L DDI	D I DDI	Dadina Madian		Final Tabulations									
	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Radiography thoracic spine	Usually appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv		7	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	13	3	2	
CT thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	4	5	2	6	2	
MRI thoracic spine without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	2	3	6	5	3	
MRI thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	1	1	4	4	3	6	

References	Study Quality
47 (33222899)	4
46 (33302988)	4

CT myelography thoracic spine	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv		6	6	0	1	1	0	5	6	4	2	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	≎≎≎≎ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT thoracic spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
MRI thoracic spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT thoracic spine	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	1	3	2	1	0	0	0	0

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.