## American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

## **Scoliosis-Child**

Variant 1: Child. Congenital scoliosis. Initial imaging.

	Appropriat	teness	~ ~ -								F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categor	ry	SOE	Adults RRI	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Radiography complete spine	Usually appropria		Limited			��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	16
			References			Study	Quality										
			12 (24782912)				4										
			21 (17945129)				4										
			22 (21057122)				4										
			23 (15114284)				4										
MRI complete spine without IV contrast	Usually appropria		Limited	O 0 mSv		O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	1	0	0	1	2	0	3	7	4
			References			Study	Quality										
			24 (23197014)				4										
,			11 (15292418)				3		_								
CT spine area of interest without IV contrast	May be appropria (Disagreen	ate	Expert Opinion	Varies		Varies	5	5	1	2	2	3	6	3	0	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			27 (19907198)				4										
			26 (21150659)				2										
			25 (19644327)		-		4										
MRI complete spine without and with IV contrast	Usually r appropria		Limited	O 0 mSv		O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	5	4	0	1	1	0	0	0

			References		Stud	y Quality										
			24 (23197014)			4										
			11 (15292418)			3										
CT spine area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropi	not riate	Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References		Stud	y Quality										
			27 (19907198)			4										
			26 (21150659)			2										
			25 (19644327)			4										
CT spine area of interest with IV contrast	Usually appropi		Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References		Stud	y Quality		•								
			27 (19907198)			4										
			26 (21150659)			2										
			25 (19644327)			4										
Bone scan complete spine	Usually appropi		Expert Consensus		���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 2: Child (0 to 9 years of age). Early onset idiopathic scoliosis. Initial imaging.

D 1	Appropriateness	COF	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D 41	3.6.11			F	inal '	Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRI	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Radiography complete spine	Usually appropriate	Limited		��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
		References		Study	Quality										
		References 12 (24782912)			4										
		28 (23223957)			4										
MRI complete spine without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	2	1	5	3	5	2

		References		Study	y Quality										
		30 (19454995)			4										
		28 (23223957)			4										
		9 (16845359)			4										
		29 (20173173)			4										
MRI complete spine without and with IV contrast	Usually appropri	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	4	2	1	1	2	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		30 (19454995)			4										
		28 (23223957)			4										
		9 (16845359)			4										
		 29 (20173173)			4										
CT spine area of interest without IV contrast	Usually appropri	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	11	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	0
CT spine area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropri	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT spine area of interest with IV contrast	Usually appropri	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan complete spine	Usually appropri	Expert Consensus		���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## Variant 3: Adolescent (10 to 17 years of age). Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. No risk factors. Initial imaging.

	Appropri	iateness	COL	4.1.14. DD	, D 1 DD1	D .:	3.7.11			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RR	L Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Radiography complete spine	Usua approp	.*	Strong		��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18
			References		Study	Quality										

References Study Quality
2 (22009775) 4

		29 (20173173)			4										
		31 (21191550)			4										
		33 (21221052)			3										
		34 (15507812)			3										
		36 (21336177)			2										
		32 (17572623)			2										
		35 (24220308)			3										
		37 (17978661)			3										
		38 (18007242)			3										
		39 (17108820)			3										
MRI complete spine without IV contrast	Usuall approp	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		5 (20479697)			4										
		4 (15466727)			3										
MRI complete spine without and with IV contrast	Usually approp	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	6	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality			•		•	•			•	
		5 (20479697)			4										
		4 (15466727)			3										
CT spine area of interest without IV contrast	Usuall approp	Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	13	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
		References		Study	Quality										
		16 (18458587)			3										
		17 (22020579)			3										
		40 (22362109)			3										
		41 (20173177)			4										
CT spine area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	17	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		16 (18458587)			3										

			17 (22020579)			3										
			40 (22362109)			3										
			41 (20173177)			4										
CT spine area of interest with IV contrast	Usuall approp	y not oriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			16 (18458587)			3										
			17 (22020579)			3										
			40 (22362109)			3										
			41 (20173177)			4										
Bone scan complete spine	Usuall approp	y not oriate	Expert Consensus		���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 4: Adolescent (10 to 17 years of age). Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Risk factors. Initial imaging.

D 1	Appropriateness	COF	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D 4	3.7.11			F	inal '	Гаbи	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Radiography complete spine	Usually appropriate	Strong		��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	17

References	Study Quality
2 (22009775)	4
29 (20173173)	4
31 (21191550)	4
33 (21221052)	3
34 (15507812)	3
36 (21336177)	2
32 (17572623)	2
35 (24220308)	3
37 (17978661)	3
38 (18007242)	3

		39 (17108820)			3										
MRI complete spine without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Moderate	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	5	4	1	5	3
		References		Study	Quality										
		45 (23472665)			4										
		3 (19308396)			4										
		7 (19506867)			4										
		9 (16845359)			4										
		5 (20479697)			4										
		43 (20081514)			4										
		8 (23143092)			4										
		42 (23064856)			4										
		6 (21178850)			3										
		44 (21194284)			4										
		46 (25421548)			2										
		4 (15466727)			3										
		47 (21194283)			3			1			1				
MRI complete spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Moderate	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	3	2	3	2	0	1	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		45 (23472665)			4										
		3 (19308396)			4										
		7 (19506867)			4										
		9 (16845359)			4										
		5 (20479697)			4										
		43 (20081514)			4										
		8 (23143092)			4										
		42 (23064856)			4										
		6 (21178850)			3										
		44 (21194284)			4										
		46 (25421548)			2										

	г															
			4 (15466727)			3										
			47 (21194283)			3										
CT spine area of interest without IV contrast	Usually appropr	y not riate	Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	2	2	1	2	0	0	1	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			16 (18458587)			3										
			17 (22020579)			3										
			40 (22362109)			3										
			41 (20173177)			4										
CT spine area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropr		Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	16	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			16 (18458587)			3										
			17 (22020579)			3										
			40 (22362109)			3										
			41 (20173177)			4	_									
CT spine area of interest with IV contrast	Usually appropri		Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	16	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			16 (18458587)			3										
			17 (22020579)			3										
			40 (22362109)			3										
			41 (20173177)			4										
Bone scan complete spine	Usually appropr		Expert Consensus		���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	15	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

## **Appendix Key**

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

**Appropriateness Category**: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

**SOE**: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.