American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Soft Tissue Vascular Anomalies: Vascular Malformations and Infantile Vascular Tumors (Non-CNS)-Child

Variant 1: Infant. Clinical signs or symptoms of infantile hemangioma. Initial imaging.

	Appropri	ateness	207		_						F	inal	Tabu	latio	ıs		
Procedure	Categ	ory	SOE	Adults RR	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
US area of interest	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv		O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	3	11
			References		•	Study	Quality							•			
			21 (10715041)				4										
			14 (31421839)				3										
US duplex Doppler area of interest	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv		O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	2	12
			References			Study	Quality										
			21 (10715041)				4										
			14 (31421839)				3			_							
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	May approp	be riate	Limited	O 0 mSv		O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	1	0	2	6	7	0	0	1
			References		•	Study	Quality		-					•			
			17 (21607598)				4										
MRA and MRV area of interest without and with IV contrast	May approp	be riate	Limited	O 0 mSv		O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	2	0	0	5	8	2	0	0	1
			References		•	Study	Quality										
			15 (21594550)				4										
CT area of interest with IV contrast	May approp		Limited	Varies		Varies	4	4	6	1	1	6	3	1	1	0	0

	1														
		References		Study	Quality										
		20 (15671395)			4										
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	2	6	2	0	1	0	0	0
US area of interest with IV contrast	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	7	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually approp	Limited	Varies	Varies	1	1	14	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality							•			
	İ	20 (15671395)			4										
Radiography area of interest	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	11	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
Arteriography area of interest	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	17	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
CTA and CTV area of interest with IV contrast	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	15	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 2: Infant. Multiple cutaneous infantile hemangiomas, screening for infantile hepatic hemangiomas. Initial imaging.

D 1	Appropriateness	go n	A L L DDI	D 1 DD1		3.6.11			F	inal '	Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
US duplex Doppler abdomen	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	4	10

References	Study Quality
26 (29957348)	2
25 (30244993)	4

						_										
US abdomen	Usua approp		Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	1	0	1	1	5	4	7
			References		Study	Quality										
			26 (29957348)			2										
			13 (28089471)			4										
			23 (33285272)			2										
US abdomen with IV contrast	May approp (Disagre	oriate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	2	0	2	6	2	3	2	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			26 (29957348)			2										
			27 (31967502)			4										
			28 (29019750)			4		_								
MRI abdomen without IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	3	2	1	3	1	1	0	0
MRI abdomen without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality			•	•		•	•	•	•	
			25 (30244993)		-	4										
CT abdomen with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	��⊕ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT abdomen without IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	��⊕ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT abdomen without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	ଡେଡେଡ 10-3 mSv	⊕⊕⊕⊕⊕ 0 10-30 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Arteriography abdomen	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	ଡ େଡ 1-10 mSv	����� 10-30 mSv [ped]	1	1	17	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0

Radiography abdomen	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	⊕⊕ 0.1-1mSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CTA and CTV abdomen with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus		≎≎≎≎≎ 10-30 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
MRA and MRV abdomen without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	3	3	2	0	1	0	0	0

Variant 3: Child. Clinical signs or symptoms of vascular anomaly (tumor or malformation) not suggesting infantile hemangioma. Initial imaging.

D 1	Appropriateness	COE	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D 4	3.6.11			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	5	8	3
		References		Study	Quality										
		31 (21918047)			4										
US duplex Doppler area of interest	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	1	0	0	1	5	3	9
		References		Study	Quality										
		36 (24147487)			4						_				
MRA and MRV area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	11	4
		References		Study	Quality										
		29 (11959728)			1										
		30 (26905867)			2										
		32 (32548214)			4										
		31 (21918047)			4										
US area of interest	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	1	0	0	2	10	3	3

			References		Study	y Quality										
			36 (24147487)			4										
			21 (10715041)			4										
			37 (29219827)			3		_								
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	May approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	1	1	3	11	1	0	0	1
			References		Study	y Quality										
			31 (21918047)			4										
US area of interest with IV contrast	May approp (Disagre	riate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	1	0	1	9	4	2	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			26 (29957348)			2										
			27 (31967502)			4										
			28 (29019750)			4										
MRA area of interest without IV contrast	May approp (Disagre	riate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	1	0	1	10	3	1	1	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			33 (33893543)			4										
			34 (15306760)			4										
Radiography area of interest	Usuall approp		Limited	Varies	Varies	3	3	9	0	2	2	4	2	0	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			35 (30300008)			3		_								
CTA and CTV area of interest with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	2	2	9	3	3	2	2	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	3	4	1	1	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usualli approp		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	16	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	15	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Arteriography area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	16	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0

Variant 4: Child. Ultrasound features raise suspicion for vascular malformation. Next imaging study.

ъ 1	Appropriateness	COF	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D 41	N. 11]	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	6	7
		References		Study	Quality										
		31 (21918047)			4		_				_				
MRA and MRV area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	1	0	0	0	1	1	3	6	7
		References		Study	Quality										
		29 (11959728)			1										
		47 (22840741)			3										
		30 (26905867)			2										
		32 (32548214)			4										
		46 (31425807)			3										
CT area of interest with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	5	5	1	0	0	3	13	0	0	1	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		40 (32145596)			4										
		41 (27517361)			4										
		39 (25625123)			4		_								
CTA and CTV area of interest with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	5	5	1	1	0	2	13	0	1	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										

		40 (32145596)			4										
		41 (27517361)			4										
		39 (25625123)			4										
		42 (30057879)			4										
		45 (31559161)			4										
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	2	2	8	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		43 (26560716)			2										
		40 (32145596)			4										
		41 (27517361)			4										
		39 (25625123)			4										
		42 (30057879)			4										
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	3	7	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
Radiography area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	2	2	9	4	2	3	1	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality			•		•					
		35 (30300008)			3										
Arteriography area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	2	2	7	4	3	1	3	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		38 (15466793)			2										
US area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality		-								
		48 (25110772)			4										
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	13	2	4	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 5: Child. Established diagnosis of vascular malformation presenting with new or persistent signs or symptoms. Initial imaging.

	Appropri	ateness	COF	4.1.14 DD	, D 1 DD1	D	3.6.11			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RR	L Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
US duplex Doppler area of interest	Usua approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	1	1	0	4	10	2
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	7	1
			References		Stud	y Quality										
			31 (21918047)			4		_								
MRA and MRV area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	2	0	0	0	2	4	2	6	3
			References		Stud	y Quality		•				•	•		•	
			29 (11959728)			1										
			47 (22840741)			3										
US area of interest	May approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	1	0	7	6	1	2	1
US area of interest with IV contrast	May approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	1	1	4	7	3	1	0	0
			References		Stud	y Quality							•			
			50 (26444594)			3										
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually approp		Limited	Varies	Varies	3	3	7	0	4	6	1	1	0	0	0
			References		Stud	y Quality										
			39 (25625123)			4		_								
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	4	3	5	4	2	1	0	0	0
Radiography area of interest	Usually approp		Limited	Varies	Varies	3	3	7	1	3	2	6	0	0	0	0

		References				Study Quality											
		35 (30300008)			3					_	_						
Arteriography area of interest	Usually not appropriate		Limited	Varies		Varies	2	2	7	7	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
			References	Study			Quality							•			
			49 (23101921)		1				_								
CTA and CTV area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate		Expert Consensus	Varies		Varies	2	2	7	4	5	1	1	1	0	0	0
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate		Expert Consensus	Varies		Varies	1	1	14	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate		Expert Consensus	Varies		Varies	1	1	13	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.