American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Joint Pain: Idiopathic Arthritis-Child

Variant 1: Child. Appendicular joint pain or swelling. Suspected idiopathic arthritis. Initial imaging.

ъ .	Appropriateness	COF	4 1 14 DDI	D I DDI	D (1	3.7.11			F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Radiography area of interest	Usually appropriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	9	9	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	2	9
		References		Study	Quality										
		25 (23983057)			2										
		24 (35233961)			4										
		23 (34020469)			4										
		22 (29582130)			4										
		6 (27931964)			4										
		8 (23283407)			4										
		4 (32399709)			4										
US area of interest	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	1	0	0	1	2	5	3	2	3
		References		Study	Quality										
		32 (29437586)			3										
		31 (36182106)			4										
		30 (30223838)			1										
		29 (36754113)			4										
		27 (33374013)			2										
		28 (37221153)			4										
		4 (32399709)			4										

		1 (28779188)			4										
		21 (36472701)			2										
		2 (28291375)			4										
		26 (36614888)			4										
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	1	2	1	6	6	1	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		19 (27252505)			4										
		18 (25979714)			4										
		14 (22764042)			4										
		15 (30076429)			3										
		17 (26233246)			2										
		16 (23370941)			1										
		4 (32399709)			4										
		13 (23085866)			4										
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	2	2	3	7	3	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		4 (32399709)			4										
		16 (23370941)			1										
		21 (36472701)			2										
		20 (28608162)			3										
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	11	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	13	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	14	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
MRI whole body without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	0

MRI whole body without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan whole body with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/MRI whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	≎≎≎≎ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0
US area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	2	1	2	0	1	1	0	0

References	Study Quality
33 (33783575)	4

Variant 2: Child. Back pain. Suspected idiopathic arthritis. Initial imaging.

D 1	Appropriateness	COE	4 1 14 DDI	D I DDI	D 41	N. 11			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI spine area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	6	3
		References		Study	Quality										
		4 (32399709)			4										
		34 (24593886)			4										
MRI complete spine without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	1	1	0	2	4	9	0	0

		References		Study	y Quality										
		4 (32399709)			4										
		34 (24593886)			4										
		35 (34115188)			3		•	,	,						
Radiography spine area of interest	May be appropriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	6	6	0	0	1	1	5	7	1	1	1
		References		Study	y Quality										
		4 (32399709)			4										
		23 (34020469)			4										
MRI spine area of interest without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	3	4	6	4	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		7 (38015293)			4										
		27 (33374013)			2										
Radiography complete spine	May be appropriate	Limited		��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	1	3	6	4	2	1	0
		References		Study	y Quality		•								
		4 (32399709)			4										
		23 (34020469)			4										
MRI complete spine without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	0	1	3	6	4	3	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		7 (38015293)			4										
		27 (33374013)			2										
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan whole body with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	1	2	2	0	0	0	0	0

FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	୫୫୫୫ 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
CT spine area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	10	3	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
CT spine area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	13	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
CT spine area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	11	2	2	1	0	0	1	0	0
CT complete spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT complete spine without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
CT complete spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	୫୫୫୫ 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
US spine area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
FDG-PET/MRI whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	2	3	1	0	1	0	0	0

Variant 3: Child. Sacroiliac joint pain. Suspected idiopathic arthritis. Initial imaging.

	Appropriateness	go.	A L L DDI	D 1 DD1	D (1	3.5.31			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI sacroiliac joints without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	2	0	1	4	3	7

		References		Study	Quality										
		42 (30623211)			2										
		41 (26201675)			1										
		9 (34311986)			4										
		10 (34311987)			4										
		38 (25892309)			4										
Radiography sacroiliac joints	May be appropriate	Limited	�� 0.1-1mS	& 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	0	2	4	3	5	1	2
		References		Study	Quality										
		4 (32399709)			4										
		23 (34020469)			4										
		43 (29996925)			2										
Radiography pelvis	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	�� 0.1-1mS	\$₹ 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	1	1	2	7	3	2	1	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		4 (32399709)			4										
		23 (34020469)			4										
		43 (29996925)			2										
MRI sacroiliac joints and lumbar spine without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	1	0	4	11	1	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		7 (38015293)			4										
MRI sacroiliac joints and lumbar spine without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	2	1	4	9	1	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		40 (27376529)			2										
MRI sacroiliac joints without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	4	3	2	1	5	2	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		References		Biddy	Quanty										

	1		38 (25892309)			4										
CT pelvis with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	0	1	1	13	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
CT pelvis without IV contrast	Usuall approp		Expert Opinion	��� 1-10 mSv	0	1	1	10	1	2	2	1	0	0	1	0
			References		Stud	ly Quality										
			37 (36629936)			4										
		37 (36629936) 39 (30976556) ✓ not Expert Consensus mSv				4										
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp			���� 10-3 mSv	30	1	1	14	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Bone scan whole body	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	0	1	1	10	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	≎≎≎≎ 10∹ mSv	30	1	1	13	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
US sacroiliac joints	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	15	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT sacroiliac joints	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	0	1	1	9	2	3	2	0	1	0	0	0
FDG-PET/MRI whole body	Usuall approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	0	1	1	13	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 4: Child. Temporomandibular joint pain. Suspected idiopathic arthritis. Initial imaging.

	Appropriateness	go.	A L L DDI	D 1 DD1	D (1	3.5.31			F	inal '	Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI temporomandibular joint without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	10

	Г														
		References		Study	y Quality										
		11 (29134239)			4										
		45 (36944679)			4										
		46 (29766249)		•	4										
MRI temporomandibular joint without IV contrast	Usually appropr	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	5	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		4 (32399709)			4										
Radiography temporomandibular joint	Usually appropr	Limited		�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	3	2	5	1	0	0	0	0
		References		Stud	y Quality										
		22 (29582130)			4										
		47 (36782277)			3										
CT maxillofacial with IV contrast	Usually appropi	Expert Consensus	&⊕ 0.1-1mSv	��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
CT maxillofacial without IV contrast	Usually appropi	Expert Opinion	�� 0.1-1mSv	��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
CT maxillofacial without and with IV contrast	Usually appropi	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually appropi	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	≎≎≎≎ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually appropi	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	≎≎≎≎ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/MRI whole body	Usually appropi	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	≎≎≎≎ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	15	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT maxillofacial	Usually appropi	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	≎≎≎≎ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0

US head and neck	Usually approp	· .	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
	•		References		Stud	y Quality										
			48 (31396836)			4										

Variant 5: Child. Appendicular joint pain or swelling. Idiopathic arthritis. Follow-up imaging.

D 1	Appropriateness	COF	4 1 14 DDF	D 1 DD1	37.11			F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns			
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Moderate	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	4	7
		References		Study	Quality										
		50 (34286915)			4										
		19 (27252505)			4										
		4 (32399709)			4										
		18 (25979714)			4										
		16 (23370941)			1										
US area of interest	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	1	0	0	1	1	5	3	2	4
		References		Study	Quality										
		53 (36530040)			4										
		52 (37117764)			4										
		1 (28779188)			4										
		32 (29437586)			3										
		2 (28291375)			4										
		26 (36614888)			4					1		1			
MRI area of interest without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	1	6	2	7	1	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		4 (32399709)			4										

		16 (23370941))		1										
		21 (36472701))		2										
	,	20 (28608162))		3		_								
Radiography area of interest	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	Varies	Varies	5	5	4	5	1	3	1	2	1	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		22 (29582130))		4										
		25 (23983057))		2					_					
MRI whole body without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	5	3	2	4	1	2	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		7 (38015293)			4										
		51 (34465447))		4										
MRI whole body without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	5	5	4	1	2	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality							•			
		51 (34465447))		4										
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually not appropriate	Limited	���� 10-3 mSv	30	2	2	7	4	1	2	2	1	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality										
		49 (20523983))		1										
FDG-PET/MRI whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Opinion	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	4	1	3	2	1	0	0	0
		References		Study	y Quality		-								
		49 (20523983))		1										
CT area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	12	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
CT area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	15	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
			•	•	-	-		-	-	-	-	-			

CT area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	1	1	14	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	୫୫୫ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan whole body with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	୫୫୫ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	୫୫୫ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
US area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	1	2	0	0	1	1	0	0

Variant 6: Child. Back pain. Idiopathic arthritis. Follow-up imaging.

D 1	Appropri	iateness	COL	4 1 14 DD		n i nnr	D. (1	3.6.11			F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RR	KL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI complete spine without and with IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	/	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	1	0	0	0	1	5	1	5	4
			References			Study	Quality										
			7 (38015293)				4						_				
MRI spine area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	/	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	1	2	1	2	6	5
			References			Study	Quality										
			7 (38015293)				4			_		_					
MRI spine area of interest without IV contrast	May approp	be oriate	7 (38015293) Limited O 0 mSv		/	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	1	0	2	5	4	5	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			7 (38015293)				4										
			27 (33374013)				2										

MRI complete spine without IV contrast	May be appropria	Limited	O 0 mSv		0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	1	0	2	8	5	1	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		7 (38015293)				4										
		 27 (33374013)				2										
Radiography spine area of interest	Usually r appropria	Limited	Varies	\	√aries	2	2	4	5	3	1	2	1	1	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		4 (32399709)				4										
		7 (38015293)				4										
		22 (29582130)				4										
		 54 (34945094)				2										
Radiography complete spine	Usually 1 appropria	Limited		3	୭⊕ 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	2	2	5	5	1	4	1	0	1	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		4 (32399709)				4										
		7 (38015293)				4										
		 22 (29582130)				4										
Bone scan whole body	Usually 1 appropria	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	⁾ 1	��� 3- 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
Bone scan whole body with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	Usually r appropria	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	⁾ 1	❤️❤️ 3- 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually 1 appropria	Expert Consensus	≎≎≎≎ 10-3 mSv	³⁰ 1	��� 3- 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
CT spine area of interest without IV contrast	Usually 1 appropria	Expert Consensus	Varies	\	√aries	1	1	12	1	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
CT spine area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually r appropria	Expert Consensus	Varies	\	√aries	1	1	14	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0

CT spine area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Opinion	Varies	Varies	1	1	12	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0
CT complete spine without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT complete spine without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT complete spine with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	���� 10-30 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0
US spine area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/MRI whole body	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	യയ ≎ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	1	1	1	2	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	1	0	2	1	0	0	0	0

Variant 7: Child. Sacroiliac joint pain. Idiopathic arthritis. Follow-up imaging.

n 1	Appropriateness	COF	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D. (3.6 11			F	inal T	Гabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI sacroiliac joints without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	1	1	0	5	1	1	8

References		Study	Quality	
42 (30623211)		2	
41 (26201675)		1	
9 (34311986)			4	
10 (34311987)		4	
38 (25892309)		4	

MRI sacroiliac joints and lumbar spine without and with IV contrast	May approp	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	0	2	6	3	2	3	1
		References			Study	Quality										
		55 (24127385)				2										
MRI sacroiliac joints and lumbar spine without IV contrast	May approp	Limited	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	1	0	3	6	5	2	0	0
		References		•	Study	Quality			•		•	•	•			
		7 (38015293)				4										
CT pelvis without IV contrast	Usually approp	Limited	��� 1-10 mSv	0	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	3	3	7	0	3	4	1	2	0	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		37 (36629936)				4										
		39 (30976556)				4										
Radiography pelvis	Usually approp	Limited	�� 0.1-1m	ıSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	3	3	5	3	4	4	0	0	1	0	0
		References		·	Study	Quality						•				
		4 (32399709)			-	4										
		43 (29996925)				2										
Radiography sacroiliac joints	Usually approp	Limited	�� 0.1-1m	ıSv	�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	3	3	6	2	3	3	2	0	1	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		4 (32399709)				4										
		43 (29996925)				2										
FDG-PET/MRI whole body	Usually approp	Limited	��� 1-10 mSv	0	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	3	3	6	2	4	1	4	0	0	0	0
		References			Study	Quality										
		49 (20523983)				1										
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually approp	Limited	���� 10-3 mSv	30	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	4	4	1	2	0	0	0	0

		References			Study	Quality										
			49 (20523983)			1										
MRI sacroiliac joints without and with IV contrast	Usually approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	2	7	6	0	1	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										
			38 (25892309)			4										
			41 (26201675)			1		_								
CT pelvis with IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	1	1	2	1	0	1	0	0
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	\$\$\$\$ 10-30 mSv	0	1	1	14	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	≎≎≎≎ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
US sacroiliac joints	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT sacroiliac joints	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	3	1	1	1	0	0	0	0

Variant 8: Child. Temporomandibular joint pain. Idiopathic arthritis. Follow-up imaging.

ъ	Appropriateness	COF	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D 41	3.7.11			F	inal '	Гаbи	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MRI temporomandibular joint without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	6	8

References	Study Quality
11 (29134239)	4
45 (36944679)	4

CT maxillofacial without IV contrast	May l		Limited	�� 0.1-1m\$	≎⊕⊕ 0.3- Sv 3 mSv [ped]	4	4	5	1	2	7	2	0	0	0	0
		References			Stud	ly Quality										
		4 (32399709)				4										
			56 (27649720)			3										
			57 (36515761)			4										
MRI temporomandibular joint without IV contrast	Usually appropi		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	3	1	5	4	2	1	0	1	0
		References			Study Quality											
			4 (32399709)			4										
Radiography temporomandibular joint	Usually appropi		Expert Opinion		�� 0.03- 0.3 mSv [ped]	3	3	3	1	6	4	1	1	0	1	0
		References			Study Quality			•								
		47 (36782277)			3											
CT maxillofacial with IV contrast	Usually appropi		Expert Consensus	�� 0.1-1m§	\$\pi \phi \phi \phi \phi \phi \phi \phi \	1	1	10	0	1	3	2	0	0	1	0
CT maxillofacial without and with IV contrast	Usually appropi		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	��� 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Bone scan whole body	Usually appropi		Expert Consensus	��� 1-10 mSv	\$\$\$\$\$ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	16	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
FDG-PET/CT whole body	Usually appropi		Limited	���� 10-3 mSv	0	1	1	9	2	1	4	1	0	0	0	0
		References		Stud	ly Quality		•				•					
		49 (20523983)				1										
FDG-PET/MRI whole body	Usually appropi		Limited	��⊕ 1-10 mSv	���� 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	2	0	3	2	0	1	0	0
		References			Stud	ly Quality										
		49 (20523983)				1										

Bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT maxillofacial	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	≎ ⊛≎ 1-10 mSv	≎≎≎≎ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	1	1	14	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
US head and neck	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0

References	Study Quality
48 (31396836)	4

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.