

American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®

Renal Failure

Variant 1: Renal failure. Acute kidney injury (AKI), unspecified. Initial imaging.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations								
							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
US kidneys retroperitoneal	Usually appropriate	Strong	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	6	8
		References		Study Quality											
		31 (26581096)		3											
		27 (26283754)		4											
		4 (24011084)		3											
		30 (23751145)		3											
		28 (12008814)		4											
		29 (21098348)		3											
		3 ()		4											
		26 (416685)		2											
US duplex Doppler kidneys retroperitoneal	May be appropriate	Strong	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	1	1	3	8	2	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		34 (27133237)		2											
		36 (26728776)		2											
		35 (25746587)		Good											
		4 (24011084)		3											
		33 (23731713)		2											
		37 (22771884)		3											

		32 (22595689)		2											
		17 (15458458)		3											
CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 3-10 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	4	9	2	0	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		16 (22578224)		3											
		15 (12819916)		2											
MRI abdomen without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	○ ○ mSv	○ ○ mSv [ped]	4	4	3	2	2	7	2	0	1	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		21 (27362585)		3											
		22 (26925411)		4											
		23 (10739798)		4											
MRI abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	○ ○ mSv	○ ○ mSv [ped]	4	4	2	2	3	5	5	0	0	0	0
MRA abdomen without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	○ ○ mSv	○ ○ mSv [ped]	4	4	2	2	1	5	6	1	0	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		4 (24011084)		3											
		9 (-3132600)		4											
		18 (21542417)		2											
		19 (25539255)		1											
		17 (15458458)		3											
		20 (23550187)		2											
MAG3 renal scan	May be appropriate	Limited	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	⊕⊕⊕ 0.3-3 mSv [ped]	4	4	2	1	5	7	1	1	0	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		25 (11852301)		4											
CT abdomen without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 3-10 mSv [ped]	3	3	4	3	5	2	2	0	1	0	0

MRU without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	4	3	2	4	1	1	0	0	0
CTA abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 10-30 mSv		2	2	8	3	2	4	0	0	0	0	0
		References	Study Quality												
		9 (-3132600)	4												
MRI abdomen without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	7	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
		References	Study Quality												
		21 (27362585)	3												
		22 (26925411)	4												
		23 (10739798)	4												
MRI abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	5	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
MRA abdomen without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Strong	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	2	3	2	2	0	0	0	0
		References	Study Quality												
		4 (24011084)	3												
		9 (-3132600)	4												
		18 (21542417)	2												
		19 (25539255)	1												
		17 (15458458)	3												
		20 (23550187)	2												
MRU without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	6	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
Radiography abdomen and pelvis	Usually not appropriate		⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	⊕⊕⊕ 0.3-3 mSv [ped]	2	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DMSA renal scan	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	⊕⊕⊕ 0.3-3 mSv [ped]	2	2	4	7	4	2	0	0	0	0	0

CT abdomen without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	3	3	5	3	2	2	4	1	0	0	0
MRI abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	6	0	4	2	4	1	0	0	0
MRA abdomen without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Strong	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	4	2	4	3	4	0	0	0	0

References	Study Quality
9 (-3132600)	4
18 (21542417)	2
19 (25539255)	1
39 (26610178)	4

CTA abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv		2	2	7	2	3	5	0	0	0	0	0
MRI abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	2	4	2	1	0	0	0	0
MRU without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	5	5	1	2	1	1	0	0	0
MAG3 renal scan	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼ 0.3-3 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	2	5	2	1	0	0	0	0
DMSA renal scan	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼ 0.3-3 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	2	4	1	1	1	0	0	0
CT abdomen with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	12	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT abdomen without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv	☼☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	3	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	4	3	0	0	1	0	0	0

			27 (26283754)	4														
			4 (24011084)	3														
			30 (23751145)	3														
			28 (12008814)	4														
			29 (21098348)	3														
			3 ()	4														
			26 (416685)	2														
	CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	4	8	2	1	0	0		
		References	Study Quality															
		16 (22578224)	3															
		15 (12819916)	2															
US duplex Doppler kidneys retroperitoneal	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	○ ○ mSv	○ ○ mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	4	7	2	2	2	2	0		
		References	Study Quality															
		34 (27133237)	2															
		36 (26728776)	2															
		35 (25746587)	Good															
		4 (24011084)	3															
		33 (23731713)	2															
		37 (22771884)	3															
		32 (22595689)	2															
		17 (15458458)	3															
MRI abdomen without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	○ ○ mSv	○ ○ mSv [ped]	4	4	2	4	2	7	1	0	0	1	0			
		References	Study Quality															
		21 (27362585)	3															
		22 (26925411)	4															
		23 (10739798)	4															

MRI abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	2	4	2	4	4	0	0	1	0
MRA abdomen without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	3	0	4	8	1	0	1	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		4 (24011084)		3											
		19 (25539255)		1											
		17 (15458458)		3											
		20 (23550187)		2											
CT abdomen without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	3	3	4	4	3	2	2	1	1	0	0
MRA abdomen without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	7	1	2	6	1	0	0	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		4 (24011084)		3											
		9 (-3132600)		4											
		18 (21542417)		2											
		19 (25539255)		1											
		17 (15458458)		3											
		20 (23550187)		2											
MRU without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	4	3	1	3	2	2	0	0	0
MRU without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	4	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
MAG3 renal scan	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼ 0.3-3 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	3	4	2	1	0	0	0	0
DMSA renal scan	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼ 0.3-3 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	4	2	3	1	0	0	0	0

Variant 4: Renal failure. Neurogenic bladder. Initial imaging.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations								
							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
US kidneys retroperitoneal	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	1	2	2	3	1	8
		References		Study Quality											
		54 (23073657)		4											
		56 (29339880)		4											
		53 (29072046)		4											
		48 (26304502)		4											
		49 (26067125)		4											
		52 (24706504)		3											
		55 (22177149)		4											
CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	☹☹☹ 1-10 mSv	☹☹☹☹ 3-10 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	4	8	3	0	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		16 (22578224)		3											
MRI abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	2	2	3	4	4	1	1	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		50 (26266405)		4											
DMSA renal scan	May be appropriate	Limited	☹☹☹ 1-10 mSv	☹☹☹ 0.3-3 mSv [ped]	4	4	1	2	3	7	3	1	0	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		52 (24706504)		3											
		51 (21484032)		2											

CT abdomen without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	3	3	4	2	5	4	1	0	1	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		16 (22578224)		3											
US duplex Doppler kidneys retroperitoneal	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	4	1	5	2	3	1	0	0	1
Fluoroscopy voiding cystourethrography	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼ 0.1-1mSv	☼☼ 0.03-0.3 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRI abdomen without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	3	5	1	1	0	1	0	0
CT abdomen with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	2	3	1	1	0	0	0	0
CT abdomen without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv	☼☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
CT abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv	☼☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
CTA abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv		1	1	10	4	0	1	2	0	0	0	0
CTU without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv	☼☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
Fluoroscopy cystography	Usually not appropriate	Expert Opinion	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv		1	1	9	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- **Study Quality:** The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.