### Variant 1: Preintervention planning for transcatheter aortic valve replacement at the aortic valve plane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Appropriateness Category</th>
<th>SOE</th>
<th>Adults RRL</th>
<th>Peds RRL</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Final Tabulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTA chest with IV contrast</td>
<td>Usually appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td>☢☢☢ 1-10 mSv</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US echocardiography transesophageal</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
<td>O 0 mSv</td>
<td>O 0 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRA chest with IV contrast</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
<td>O 0 mSv</td>
<td>O 0 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT chest without IV contrast</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
<td>☢☢☢ 1-10 mSv</td>
<td>☢☢☢☢ 3-10 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRA chest without and with IV contrast</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
<td>O 0 mSv</td>
<td>O 0 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortography chest</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
<td>☢☢☢ 1-10 mSv</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT chest with IV contrast</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
<td>☢☢☢ 1-10 mSv</td>
<td>☢☢☢☢ 3-10 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT chest without and with IV contrast</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
<td>☢☢☢ 1-10 mSv</td>
<td>☢☢☢☢ 3-10 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Variant 2: Preintervention planning for transcatheter aortic valve replacement in the supravalvular aorta and iliofemoral system.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Appropriateness Category</th>
<th>SOE</th>
<th>Adults RRL</th>
<th>Peds RRL</th>
<th>Rating</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Final Tabulations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CTA abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast</td>
<td>Usually appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td>5-100 mSv</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRA abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>0 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-10 mSv</td>
<td>1-10 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRA abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>0 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortography abdomen and pelvis</td>
<td>May be appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td>10-30 mSv</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US intravascular aorta and iliofemoral system</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td>0 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>0 mSv [ped]</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td>10-30 mSv [ped]</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast</td>
<td>Usually not appropriate</td>
<td></td>
<td>1-10 mSv [ped]</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix Key
A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

**Appropriateness Category:** The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

**SOE:** Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- **Study Quality:** The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

**RRL:** Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

**Rating:** The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

**Median:** The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

**Final tabulations:** A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.