

**American College of Radiology  
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

**Acute Respiratory Illness in Immunocompromised Patients**

**Variant 1: Acute respiratory illness in immunocompromised patients. Cough, dyspnea, chest pain, or fever. Initial Imaging.**

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations									
							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Radiography chest	Usually appropriate	Limited	⊕ <0.1 mSv	⊕ <0.03 mSv [ped]	9	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
		References	Study Quality													
		5 (21976629)	3													
		3 (22388581)	4													
		1 (19662340)	3													
		4 (16228209)	4													
CT chest with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 3-10 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	1	1	3	5	1	0	0	
		References	Study Quality													
		2 (19393494)	4													
		3 (22388581)	4													
CT chest without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 3-10 mSv [ped]	6	6	0	0	0	1	4	6	0	0	0	
		References	Study Quality													
		2 (19393494)	4													
		3 (22388581)	4													
MRI chest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	5	1	4	1	0	0	0	1	0	

		References	Study Quality												
		2 (19393494)	4												
MRI chest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	5	1	3	2	0	0	0	1	0
		References	Study Quality												
		2 (19393494)	4												
CT chest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	1	2	0	2	0	0	1	0
		References	Study Quality												
		2 (19393494)	4												
		3 (22388581)	4												
FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usually not appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	8	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1
		References	Study Quality												
		2 (19393494)	4												

**Variant 2: Acute respiratory illness in immunocompromised patients. Normal, equivocal, or nonspecific chest radiograph. Next imaging study.**

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations								
							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
CT chest without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References	Study Quality												
		8 (22623570)	4												
		7 (15597222)	3												
		6 (9353456)	3												
		1 (19662340)	3												
		4 (16228209)	4												



FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usually not appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	7	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	
References			Study Quality													
13 (28267531)			2													

**Variant 3: Acute respiratory illness in immunocompromised patients. Abnormal chest radiograph, multiple, diffuse, or confluent opacities. Next imaging study.**

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations								
							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
CT chest without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
References			Study Quality												
26 (25440914)			4												
15 (18553280)			4												
24 (14500230)			4												
14 (18267185)			4												
23 (16915062)			3												
27 (22802096)			2												
19 (19294624)			2												
22 (15246518)			4												
20 (22993384)			4												
28 (22795844)			4												
16 (20049469)			3												
17 (18455559)			4												
25 (17151065)			4												
32 (23440166)			3												
31 (19242297)			4												
4 (16228209)			4												
30 (26607644)			2												
29 (24365560)			2												





15 (18553280)	4
24 (14500230)	4
14 (18267185)	4
23 (16915062)	3
27 (22802096)	2
19 (19294624)	2
22 (15246518)	4
20 (22993384)	4
28 (22795844)	4
16 (20049469)	3
17 (18455559)	4
25 (17151065)	4
32 (23440166)	3
31 (19242297)	4
4 (16228209)	4
30 (26607644)	2
29 (24365560)	2
18 (22766482)	3
21 (28812135)	4

**Variant 4: Acute respiratory illness in immunocompromised patients. Abnormal chest radiograph, noninfectious disease suspected. Next imaging study.**

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations									
							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
CT chest without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 3-10 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References	Study Quality												
			45 (15701913)				2									
			41 (22896776)				4									
			2 (19393494)				4									

CT chest with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References	Study Quality																
		45 (15701913)	2																
		41 (22896776)	4																
		2 (19393494)	4																
		43 (7543802)	3																
		40 (10992015)	4																
		42 (17620461)	4																
		44 (24819788)	4																
Image-guided transthoracic needle biopsy	May be appropriate	Limited	Varies		5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References	Study Quality																
		50 (16623303)	3																
		36 (27845850)	3																
		49 (22369939)	4																
		48 (19728397)	3																
FDG-PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	May be appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv	☼☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	2	0	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References	Study Quality																
		13 (28267531)	2																
		46 (21674240)	4																
		47 (20087557)	3																
MRI chest without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	1	1	4	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0



MRI chest without and with IV contrast	May be appropriate	Expert Consensus	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	0	1	1	5	3	1	0	0	0
CT chest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	☹☹☹ 1-10 mSv	☹☹☹☹ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

References	Study Quality
45 (15701913)	2
41 (22896776)	4
2 (19393494)	4
43 (7543802)	3
40 (10992015)	4
42 (17620461)	4
44 (24819788)	4

## **Appendix Key**

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

**Appropriateness Category:** The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

**SOE:** Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- **Study Quality:** The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

**RRL:** Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

**Rating:** The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

**Median:** The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

**Final tabulations:** A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at [www.acr.org/ac](http://www.acr.org/ac).