

**American College of Radiology  
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

**Abnormal Uterine Bleeding**

**Variant 1: Abnormal uterine bleeding. Initial imaging.**

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations								
							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
US duplex Doppler pelvis	Usually appropriate	Limited	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	12
		References		Study Quality											
		35 (12905512)		3											
		38 (28766365)		4											
		36 (27629758)		4											
		37 (24862861)		2											
		4 (24157565)		4											
		34 (23529686)		4											
		30 (23341379)		3											
US pelvis transvaginal	Usually appropriate	Strong	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	11
		References		Study Quality											
		41 (19398089)		M											
		39 (15293302)		4											
		44 (12780419)		4											
		45 (19921229)		2											
		46 (8184058)		3											
		40 (9809732)		Inadequate											
		5 (11587008)		4											

47 (11679533)	2
42 (25800522)	3
4 (24157565)	4
10 (29683909)	4
43 (15229010)	3

US pelvis transabdominal	Usually appropriate	Expert Consensus	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	6
US sonohysterography	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	3	2	1	0	1	4	1	1	1

References	Study Quality
49 (27454735)	4
37 (24862861)	2
50 (24371110)	3
10 (29683909)	4
48 (26206817)	4
51 (27862503)	Good

MRI pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	3	2	7	1	0	1	0	0	0
MRI pelvis without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	5	4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
CT pelvis with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	
CT pelvis without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	9	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	

**Variant 2: Abnormal uterine bleeding. Follow-up imaging when original ultrasound is inconclusive or further imaging characterization is needed.**



			21 (24368137)		4													
			13 (27810281)		4													
			53 (22665041)		3													
			14 (24380638)		3													
US duplex Doppler pelvis	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	1	0	1	0	6	1	4			
			References		Study Quality													
			57 (26980265)		4													
			58 (26576978)		4													
			37 (24862861)		2													
			56 (23379512)		4													
			30 (23341379)		3													
US pelvis transabdominal	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	4	4			
US pelvis transvaginal	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	5	5			
			References		Study Quality													
			59 (23917081)		4													
			10 (29683909)		4													
CT pelvis with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☹☹☹ 1-10 mSv	☹☹☹☹ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0			
CT pelvis without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☹☹☹ 1-10 mSv	☹☹☹☹ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0			
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☹☹☹☹ 10-30 mSv	☹☹☹☹ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	0			

**Variant 3: Abnormal uterine bleeding. Follow-up imaging when surveillance is appropriate given findings from the initial ultrasound.**

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations									
							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
US pelvis transvaginal	Usually appropriate	Limited	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	9	
		References	Study Quality													
		59 (23917081)	4													
		10 (29683909)	4													
US duplex Doppler pelvis	Usually appropriate	Limited	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	5	
		References	Study Quality													
		57 (26980265)	4													
		58 (26576978)	4													
		37 (24862861)	2													
		56 (23379512)	4													
		30 (23341379)	3													
US pelvis transabdominal	Usually appropriate	Expert Consensus	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	4	
US sonohysterography	Usually appropriate	Strong	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	4	5	
		References	Study Quality													
		60 (17429332)	2													
		49 (27454735)	4													
		58 (26576978)	4													
		37 (24862861)	2													
		50 (24371110)	3													
		10 (29683909)	4													
		48 (26206817)	4													
		51 (27862503)	Good													
MRI pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Strong	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	1	0	2	4	4	2	1	

References	Study Quality
55 (28106642)	4
17 (27921159)	2
12 (27903466)	4
20 (27997438)	4
16 (26383110)	3
21 (24368137)	4
13 (27810281)	4
53 (22665041)	3
14 (24380638)	3

MRI pelvis without IV contrast	May be appropriate	Strong	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	6	6	1	0	0	3	2	8	0	0	0
--------------------------------	--------------------	--------	---------	---------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

References	Study Quality
55 (28106642)	4
17 (27921159)	2
12 (27903466)	4
20 (27997438)	4
16 (26383110)	3
21 (24368137)	4
13 (27810281)	4
53 (22665041)	3
14 (24380638)	3

CT pelvis with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
CT pelvis without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
CT pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0

## **Appendix Key**

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

**Appropriateness Category:** The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

**SOE:** Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- **Study Quality:** The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

**RRL:** Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

**Rating:** The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

**Median:** The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

**Final tabulations:** A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at [www.acr.org/ac](http://www.acr.org/ac).