

Bone scan shoulder	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv		1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
US shoulder	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	○ 0 mSv	○ 0 mSv [ped]	1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fluoride PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 10-30 mSv		1	1	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-phase bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT shoulder	Usually not appropriate	Limited	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv	⊕⊕⊕⊕ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			References	Study Quality											
			12 (26652693)	4											

Variant 2: Symptomatic patient with a primary shoulder arthroplasty. Initial imaging.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	Final Tabulations									
							1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Radiography shoulder	Usually appropriate	Limited	⊕ <0.1 mSv		9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
			References	Study Quality												
			24 (30241984)	4												
			13 (22309766)	4												
			10 (24618199)	4												
CT shoulder with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv		1	1	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			References	Study Quality												
			23 (20036583)	4												
CT shoulder without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	⊕⊕⊕ 1-10 mSv		1	1	12	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			References	Study Quality												
			23 (20036583)	4												

54 (28561262)	4
53 (20551186)	1
52 (27186794)	2
51 (28796543)	2
50 (23479714)	4
49 (29426742)	4
48 (32364883)	4
47 (24286563)	3
10 (24618199)	4

MRI shoulder without IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Limited	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	7	3
----------------------------------	---------------------	---------	---------	---------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

References	Study Quality
58 (16829248)	4
39 (12195247)	3
38 (25264437)	4
37 (21862766)	3

US shoulder	May be appropriate (Disagreement)	Expert Opinion	0 0 mSv	0 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	5	0	1	1	4	3	0	0	0
-------------	-----------------------------------	----------------	---------	---------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

References	Study Quality
10 (24618199)	4

3-phase bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT shoulder	May be appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	5	1	7	1	0	0	0
---	--------------------	---------	--------------	---------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

References	Study Quality
30 (30193652)	4
22 (536788)	4
21 (19038601)	4
20 (-3194396)	3

Bone scan shoulder	Usually not appropriate	Limited	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv		3	3	3	1	5	1	2	2	0	0	0
--------------------	-------------------------	---------	--------------	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

References	Study Quality
------------	---------------

		30 (30193652)			4												
		21 (19038601)			4												
CT shoulder with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Strong	☻☻☻ 1-10 mSv		1	1	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

References	Study Quality
57 (26234665)	3
56 (25922110)	2
55 (23412251)	4
54 (28561262)	4
53 (20551186)	1
52 (27186794)	2
51 (28796543)	2
50 (23479714)	4
49 (29426742)	4
48 (32364883)	4
47 (24286563)	3
10 (24618199)	4

CT shoulder without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Strong	☻☻☻ 1-10 mSv		1	1	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
--	-------------------------	--------	--------------	--	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

References	Study Quality
57 (26234665)	3
56 (25922110)	2
55 (23412251)	4
54 (28561262)	4
53 (20551186)	1
52 (27186794)	2
51 (28796543)	2
50 (23479714)	4
49 (29426742)	4
48 (32364883)	4
47 (24286563)	3

Bone scan shoulder	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv		1	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Fluoride PET/CT skull base to mid-thigh	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼☼ 10-30 mSv		1	1	13	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3-phase bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT shoulder	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	☼☼☼ 1-10 mSv	☼☼☼☼ 3-10 mSv [ped]	1	1	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- **Study Quality:** The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.