American College of Radiology ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®]

Lower Extremity Arterial Revascularization-Post-Therapy Imaging

Variant 1: Previous infrainguinal endovascular therapy or bypass. Asymptomatic. Surveillance.

	Appropri	iateness	COT		-	D 1 DD1					ŀ	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ	gory	SOE	Adults RR	L	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	ns 7 8 1 4 1 3	8	9
US duplex Doppler lower extremity	Usua approp	ully priate	Strong	O 0 mSv	/	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	7
			References			Study	v Quality										
			68 (3901378)				4										
			70 (17980793)				3										
			71 (11665434)				3										
			72 (18973986)				4										
			73 (10361211)				4										
			74 (16186435)				1										
			75 (19497506)				3										
			76 (12618681)				4										
			69 (21820833)				3										
			22 (25256612)				3										
			21 (22623445)				4										
			26 (24788064)				2			_							
MRA lower extremity without IV contrast	Usuall approp	y not oriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	/	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	1	4	5	2	2	1	1	0	0
			References			Study	Quality										
			77 (21618851)				3										

MRA lower extremity without and with IV contrast	Usually approp	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	0	6	8	1	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	v Quality										
		77 (21618851)			3										
CTA lower extremity with IV contrast	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	ଝେଝ 1-10 mSv	֎֎֎֎ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	3	3	0	5	9	1	0	0	0	0	0
Arteriography lower extremity	Usually approp	Expert Consensus	֎֎ 0.1-1mS	& & & 0.3- Sv 3 mSv [ped]	1	1	10	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	0

Variant 2: Previous infrainguinal endovascular therapy or bypass. Claudication or CLI. Initial imaging.

Deres heren	Appropri	ateness	SOF	A J14- DD			Detter	M - 1 ¹			F	'inal '	Tabu	latior	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RR		Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	0 0 4	9	
US duplex Doppler lower extremity	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	9	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	11
			References			Study	Quality										
			79 (24636606)				4										
			22 (25256612)				3										
			17 (22835567)				3										
			16 (23716153)				4										
			26 (24788064)				2		-		-						
CTA lower extremity with IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	ଝେଝ 1-1(mSv	0	\$\$\$\$\$ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	8	3
			References			Study	Quality										
			80 (25241315)				4		-		-						
MRA lower extremity without and with IV contrast	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	1	1	1	0	5	7	1
			References			Study	Quality								_		
			9 (22172470)				4										

			80 (25241315)		4												
			4 (16549646)		4												
Arteriography lower extremity	May approp		Expert Consensus			ତେତେ 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	0	3	10	1	1	0	0
MRA lower extremity without IV contrast	May approp	be briate	Limited	Limited O 0 mSv		O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	0	1	0	9	4	1	0	0
			References		Study Quality												
			9 (22172470)				4										
			80 (25241315)			4											
			4 (16549646)	4													

Variant 3: Previous infrainguinal endovascular therapy or bypass, presenting with cold, painful extremity and diminished pulses (acute limb ischemia). Initial imaging.

	Appropriateness SOE				D (1		Final Tabulations						ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	6 7 8 0 2 8 1 2 5	8	9
Arteriography lower extremity	Usually appropriate	Limited	ତତ 0.1-1mSv	≎≎≎ 0.3- 3 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	8	5
		References		Study	Quality										
		86 (19853229)			4										
		84 (19298929)			4										
		85 (22670905)			4										
CTA lower extremity with IV contrast	Usually appropriate	Moderate	ଷଷଷ 1-10 mSv	ତେତେତ 3- 10 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	1	0	0	2	1	2	5	5
		References		Study	v Quality										
		88 (26032010)			2										
		87 (19176443)			М										
		36 (21420330)			3		_								
US duplex Doppler lower extremity	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	1	0	1	3	4	4	3

			References		Stud											
			82 (23988137)		4											
			83 (27531096)			3										
MRA lower extremity without and with IV contrast	May approp (Disagre	riate	Expert Opinion	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	5	5	0	2	1	6	4	0	2	0	0
MRA lower extremity without IV contrast	May approp		Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	4	4	1	3	3	2	5	1	1	0	0

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.