$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{American College of Radiology} \\ \textbf{ACR Appropriateness Criteria}^{\circledR} \end{array}$

Dialysis Fistula Malfunction

Variant 1: Suspected dysfunction of upper or lower extremity hemodialysis access (ie, arteriovenous fistula or graft) suggested by an abnormal clinical indicator or hemodynamic indicator (ie, reduction in dialysis vascular access blood flow rate or kinetics). Initial imaging to guide interventional radiologic therapy options.

	Appropriateness	70D	A L L DDY	D I DDI	D	3.6.11			F	inal [Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access area of interest	Usually appropriate	Strong	��� 1-10 mSv		8	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	8	6

References	Study Quality
24 (11959724)	3
23 (16344384)	1
22 (1474770)	2
21 (26403274)	3
20 (15286321)	2
19 (17507428)	4
18 (19304717)	2
17 (20829241)	3
16 (20942731)	4
15 (23092640)	4
14 (8000128)	1
13 (24817452)	4
12 (16797391)	2
11 (24194928)	Good
7 (27622727)	4
3 (16813991)	4

US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	5	4
		References		Study	Quality										
		33 (11012917)			2										
		32 (24059390)			4										
		31 (17928468)			1										
		30 (27886364)			2										
		29 (21531585)			2										
		28 (25368682)			4										
		27 (23229528)			4										
		26 (22266595)			2										
		25 (23641285)			3										
MRA extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	3	5	2	2	2	1	0	1	0
CTA extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	Varies	Varies	2	2	4	5	4	0	1	0	0	1	0
		References		Study	Quality		•	•	•	•					
		18 (19304717)		-	2										
		17 (20829241)			3										
		11 (24194928)		C	Good										
MRA extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References		Study	Quality			•	•		•				
		11 (24194928)			Good										
		12 (16797391)			2										
		34 (12500274)			1										
CTV extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	2	2	4	7	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
MRV extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	5	2	3	0	0	0	0	0

MRV extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	4	3	1	0	1	1	0	0	
--	-------------------------	---------------------	---------	------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Variant 2: Suspected dysfunction of the upper or lower extremity hemodialysis access (ie, arteriovenous fistula or graft) suggested by an abnormal clinical indicator or hemodynamic indicator (ie, reduction in dialysis vascular access blood flow rate or kinetics). Treatment and procedures.

ъ	Appropriateness	COF	A L LA DDI	n i nnr	D 41	3.7 11			F	inal '	Гаbи	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access with intervention	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	10

References	Study Quality
63 (27388566)	1
62 (27514445)	1
61 (27353358)	1
60 (29622355)	1
59 (26074027)	1
58 (18829240)	1
57 (18256373)	2
56 (9399465)	1
55 (16371528)	2
54 (8850659)	1
53 (-3194801)	4
52 (25575743)	1
51 (24529550)	1
50 (30040057)	1
49 (29471988)	1
48 (24315548)	1
47 (26508448)	1
46 (24794277)	1
45 (14514827)	4

		44 (27334570)			2										
		43 (24587906)			2										
		42 (26597666)			2										
		41 (12761309)			2										
		40 (19028115)			3										
		39 (21840156)			2										
		38 (2961397)			3										
		37 (16557220)			2										
		36 (21199430)			2										
		35 (25698092)			2										
		22 (1474770)			2										
		7 (27622727)			4										
		4 (-3194799)			4										
		3 (16813991)			4										
US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	1	3	1	5	4	1
		References		Study	Quality		•	•	•	•	•			•	
		29 (21531585)		_	2										
		30 (27886364)			2										
		80 (23334852)			3										
		81 (28655388)			2										
Surgical consultation	May be appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	1	0	1	6	2	3	1	2
		References		Study	/ Quality										
		75 (26220504)			4										
		75 (26220504) 74 (28800839)			2										
		74 (28800839)			2										
		74 (28800839) 73 (24190074)			2 3 2 3										
		74 (28800839) 73 (24190074) 72 (26660034)			2 3 2										

			68 (29421426)				3										
			67 (28218365)				4										
			66 (22367648)				2										
			65 (23385224)				3										
			7 (27622727)				4										
Continued hemodialysis access use with surveillance	May approp	be priate	Strong	N/A		N/A	5	5	0	0	0	2	11	2	0	0	0
			References			Study	Quality		<u> </u>			•				•	
			6 (22287223)				3										
			5 (32778223)				4										
			4 (-3194799)				4										
			79 (12324911)				2										
			78 (25664243)				4										
			77 (21454718)				1										
			76 (11932367)				1										
			73 (24190074)				3										
Placement of a new tunneled dialysis catheter	May approp	be oriate	Limited	N/A		N/A	5	5	1	1	2	4	6	0	1	0	1
			References		,	Study	Quality		•	•	•		•				
			5 (32778223)				4										

Variant 3: Suspected thrombosis of the upper or lower extremity hemodialysis access, marked by absent pulse and thrill on physical examination. Initial imaging to guide interventional radiologic therapy options.

7 (27622727)

n 1	Appropri	ateness	COF	4 1 14 DD	\T	n i nni	D 41	3.7.11			F	inal '	Гаbu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RR	KL .	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access area of interest	Usua approp	.*	Limited	��� 1-10 mSv	0		8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	6	5
			References			Study	Quality										

		19 (17507428)				4										
US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	May approp	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 m		5	5	0	0	1	1	7	2	0	4	0
		References			Study	Quality		•	•						•	
		5 (32778223)				4										
		7 (27622727)				4										
		83 (27716892)				4										
CTA extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Limited	Varies	Varie	es	2	2	5	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References			Study	Quality				•		•				
		17 (20829241)				3										
		84 (21969710)				3										
		85 (28970522)				1										
MRA extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	, O 0 m		2	2	7	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
MRA extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usuall approp	Limited	O 0 mSv	, O 0 m		2	2	5	6	3	0	1	0	1	0	0
		References		·	Study	Quality		•								
		86 (25976499)				1										
		87 (26732890)				3										
CTV extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varie	es	2	2	5	4	4	0	2	0	0	0	1
MRV extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 m		2	2	5	5	2	2	0	1	1	0	0
MRV extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	, O 0 m		2	2	4	5	4	1	0	0	1	0	1

Variant 4: Suspected thrombosis of the upper or lower extremity hemodialysis access, marked by absent pulse and thrill on physical examination. Treatment and procedures.

	Appropriateness	GOT		D 1 DD1	- ·	3.5.11			F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access with intervention	Usually appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	9	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	9
		References		Study	Quality										
		4 (-3194799)			4										
		5 (32778223)			4										
		7 (27622727)			4										
		45 (14514827)			4										
		88 (12085386)			4										
Surgical consultation	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	7	7	0	1	0	0	3	2	5	3	2
		References		Study	Quality										
		7 (27622727)			4										
		89 (8866409)			2										
		90 (22796333)			1									_	
Placement of a new tunneled dialysis catheter	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	0	0	0	10	4	1	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		5 (32778223)			4										
US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	1	3	5	1	4	1	0	0	1
		References		Study	Quality										
		80 (23334852)			3										
		91 (29017808)			3										

Variant 5: Failure of an upper or lower extremity arteriovenous fistula to mature within 2 months after creation. Initial imaging to guide interventional radiologic therapy options.

	Appropriateness	207							F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access area of interest	Usually appropriate	Strong	��� 1-10 mSv		9	9	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	4	8
		References		Study	Quality										
		99 (17699217)			2										
		98 (12969170)			2										
		97 (11733628)			2										
		96 (10213648)			2										
		95 (18503901)			1										
		94 (22915412)			3		_								
US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	1	0	0	1	1	5	4	4
		References		Study	Quality										
		5 (32778223)			4										
		7 (27622727)			4										
		32 (24059390)			4										
		103 (17991787))		3										
		102 (22164333))		4										
		101 (25613148))		3										
		100 (24500848))		1										
MRA extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	6	1	5	1	1	1	1	0	0
MRA extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	7	1	6	0	0	0	1	0	1
CTV extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	3	3	5	3	5	0	2	0	0	0	1
MRV extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	7	1	3	3	1	0	1	0	0

CTA extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usuall approp		Limited	Varies		Varies	2	2	6	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
			References 17 (20829241)			Study	Quality										
			17 (20829241)				3										
			85 (28970522)				1										
			104 (20173168))			4		_								
MRV extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usuall approp	4 .	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 6: Failure of an upper or lower extremity arteriovenous fistula to mature within 2 months after creation. Treatment and procedures.

ъ .	Appropriatenes	SS GOF	4 1 14 DDI	, D I DDI	D 41	3.6.19			F	inal	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRI	L Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access with intervention	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	9	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	3	9
		References		Study	y Quality										
		7 (27622727)			4										
		105 (14605103))		3										
		106 (17090715))		3										
		95 (18503901)			1										
		99 (17699217)			2										
Surgical consultation	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	7	4
		References		Study	y Quality										
		5 (32778223)			4										
		96 (10213648)			2										
		97 (11733628)			2										
		101 (25613148))		3										
		103 (17991787))		3										
		107 (30309898))		2										

US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usually appropriate	Strong	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	8	8	0	0	0	0	2	1	4	5	3
		References		Study	y Quality										
		107 (30309898)		2										
		109 (27183858)		2										
		103 (17991787)		3										
		102 (22164333)		4										
		101 (25613148)		3										
		100 (24500848)		1										
Placement of a new tunneled dialysis catheter	May be appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	6	6	0	0	0	0	5	4	4	2	1
		References		Study	y Quality										
		5 (32778223)			4										
		101 (25613148)	·	3										
		108 (30244924)		2										

Variant 7: Clinical suspicion of central venous stenosis or occlusion suggested by swelling (ie, soft tissue edema) of the extremity ipsilateral to the upper or lower extremity hemodialysis access, with or without the development of venous collaterals. Initial imaging to guide interventional radiologic therapy options.

D 1	Appropria	ateness	COE	4 1 14 DD	.	. D.T	D 4	3.6 11			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Catego	ory	SOE	Adults RR	L Peds F	KKL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access area of interest	Usual appropr	.*	Strong	��� 1-10 mSv)		8	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7	7
			References Study Quality 112 (25890685) 2														
			112 (25890685)				2										
			112 (25890685) 111 (23291234)				4										
			110 (21873620))			2										
CTA extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usually appropr	not riate	Strong	Varies	Vario	es	2	2	5	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		•	References			Study	Quality										

		17 (20829241)			3										
		18 (19304717)			2										
		84 (21969710)			3										
		104 (20173168)	ı		4										
		114 (16242563)	ı		1										
		115 (16247148)	ı		3	_									
MRA extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mS [ped]	v 2	2	7	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
		References		St	udy Quality										
		11 (24194928)			Good										
MRA extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mS [ped]	v 2	2	7	3	4	0	2	0	0	0	0
US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usuall approp	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mS	v 2	2	4	5	3	1	1	1	0	0	0
	•	References		St	udy Quality										
		111 (23291234)			4										
		113 (17540535)	ı		2										
CTV extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Limited	Varies	Varies	2	2	5	6	2	0	0	0	1	1	0
		References		St	udy Quality										
		5 (32778223)			4										
MRV extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mS [ped]	2	2	7	5	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
MRV extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usuall approp	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mS [ped]	v 2	2	7	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0

Variant 8: Clinical suspicion of central venous stenosis or occlusion suggested by swelling (ie, soft tissue edema) of the extremity ipsilateral to the upper or lower extremity hemodialysis access, with or without the development of venous collaterals. Treatment and procedures.

	Appropriateness	go-							I	inal	Tab	ulatio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access with intervention	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	9
		References		Study	Quality		•	•	•				•		
		5 (32778223)			4										
		7 (27622727)			4										
		119 (19933663))		2										
		118 (17398386))		2										
		117 (16424248))		3										
		116 (21326501))		4										
		112 (25890685))		2										
		110 (21873620))		2			_							
Continued hemodialysis access use with surveillance	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	4	4	2	1	5	3	3	1	0	1	0
		References		Study	Quality		•	•	•	•	•		•		
		5 (32778223)			4										
		110 (21873620))		2										
US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	3	3	7	0	1	1	1	0	0
		References		Study	Quality										
		17 (20829241)			3										
Surgical consultation	Usually not appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	2	2	3	6	2	1	2	0	1	0	0
		References		Study	Quality			•			•	•	•		
		5 (32778223)			4										
		122 (22633426))		4										
		121 (24502816))		3										
		120 (22673549))		4										

Placement of a new tunidialysis catheter	neled	Usuall approp	Limited	N/A	N/A	2	2	5	3	4	2	0	0	1	0	0	
			References		Study	Quality											
			5 (32778223)			4											
			111 (23291234))		4											

Variant 9: Abnormal skin changes associated with the upper or lower extremity hemodialysis access cannulation site, including marked thinning, ulceration, eschar formation, spontaneous bleeding, pseudoaneurysm formation, superficial or deep infection. Initial imaging to guide interventional radiologic therapy options.

Duo oo duuus	Appropriateness	SOE	Adults RRL	Doda DDI	Datina	Madian			F	inal '	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SUE	Adults KKL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usually appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	1	0	0	4	2	5	1	3
		References		Study	Quality										
		5 (32778223)			4									_	
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access area of interest	May be appropriate	Limited	≎≎≎ 1-10 mSv		5	5	0	1	1	3	6	3	0	0	1
		References		Study	Quality										
		123 (25154565)			2										
MRA extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	3	3	5	3	5	1	1	0	0	1	0
CTV extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	3	3	4	4	5	0	2	0	0	0	1
CTA extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	2	2	6	7	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
MRA extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	5	5	4	0	1	0	0	1	0
MRV extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	5	4	3	1	1	1	0	1	0

MRV extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	5	3	0	0	0	1	1	0	
--	-------------------------	---------------------	---------	------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--

Variant 10: Abnormal skin changes associated with the upper or lower extremity hemodialysis access cannulation site, including marked thinning, ulceration, eschar formation, spontaneous bleeding, pseudoaneurysm formation, superficial or deep infection. Treatment and procedures.

	Appropriateness	~ ~ -]	Final	Tabu	latio	ns		
Procedure	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Surgical consultation	Usually appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	8	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	4	6
		References		Study	Quality										
		5 (32778223)			4										
		132 (28341509)	1		3										
		131 (28238919)			2										
		130 (20175060)			3										
		129 (21725946)			4										
Placement of a new tunneled dialysis catheter	Usually appropriate	Moderate	N/A	N/A	7	7	1	0	0	1	4	2	7	0	1
		References		Study	Quality										
		108 (30244924)			2										
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access with intervention	May be appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	0	1	1	8	4	0	0	1
		References		Study	Quality										
		128 (21840731))		4										
		127 (23058719)	1		2										
		126 (23036977)			2										
		125 (23934930)			2										
		124 (22342153)	1		2										
		123 (25154565)			2										

Continued hemodialysis access use with surveillance	Usuall approp	• .	Limited	N/A	N/A	2	2	5	5	4	0	2	0	0	0	0
			References		Study	Quality										·
			129 (21725946))		4										
			130 (20175060))	·	3										

Variant 11: Suspected vascular steal syndrome (upper or lower extremity), suggested by cardiac failure or ischemic symptoms. Initial imaging to guide interventional radiologic therapy options.

December 1	Appropri	ateness	COE	A 3-14- DDI	D. J. DDI	D - 45	Mallan			F	inal '	Tabu	latior	ıs		
Procedure	Categ		SOE	Adults RRI	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access area of interest	Usua approp		Limited	��� 1-10 mSv		8	8	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	7	5
			References		Study	y Quality										
			138 (9783790)			2										
			137 (14652830))		4										
			136 (7644631)			4										
			133 (17699402))		4				1	ı					
US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usua approp		Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	7	7	0	0	0	0	1	2	5	5	2
			References		Study	y Quality										
			133 (17699402))		4										
			139 (23581731))		4										
CTA extremity area of interest with IV contrast	May approp	be riate	Limited	Varies	Varies	5	5	0	0	1	0	10	2	2	0	0
			References		Study	y Quality										
			133 (17699402))		4										
CTV extremity area of interest with IV contrast	Usually approp		Expert Consensus	Varies	Varies	3	3	5	3	4	2	2	0	0	0	0

MRA extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Limited	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	4	5	3	2	1	0	0	0	0
		References		Study Quality											
		12 (16797391)													
MRA extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	6	5	3	1	0	0	0	0	0
MRV extremity area of interest without and with IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	8	2	5	0	1	0	0	0	0
MRV extremity area of interest without IV contrast	Usually not appropriate	Expert Consensus	O 0 mSv	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	7	5	3	0	1	0	0	0	0

Variant 12: Suspected vascular steal syndrome (upper or lower extremity), suggested by cardiac failure or ischemic symptoms. Treatment and procedures.

Procedure	Appropriateness	COF	A L L DDI	D I DDI	D	24.11	Final Tabulati				latio	ations				
	Category	SOE	Adults RRL	Peds RRL	Rating	Median	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
Surgical consultation	Usually appropriate	Strong	N/A	N/A 9		9	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	3	9	
		References		Study					•							
		134 (27224985))													
		147 (21276691)		2												
		146 (26492999) 145 (25499703)			2											
					2											
		144 (26349882))	3												
		143 (26951907) 4														
		142 (22266588)														
Fluoroscopy fistulography hemodialysis access with intervention	May be appropriate	Limited	N/A	N/A	5	5	0	0	0	1	7	3	3	0	1	
		References		Study Quality												
		141 (18379982))	4												
		140 (27312765))		2											

		134 (27224985)			3												
		128 (21840731)			4												
Continued hemodialysis access use with surveillance	May approp		Expert Consensus	N/A		N/A	5	5	0	3	0	2	10	0	0	0	0
Placement of a new tunneled dialysis catheter	May be appropriate		Moderate	N/A		N/A	5	5	0	0	0	2	12	0	1	0	0
		References															
108 (30244924)																	
US duplex Doppler hemodialysis access area of interest	Usuall approp	not Expert Consensus		O 0 mSv	,	O 0 mSv [ped]	2	2	4	5	5	0	0	0	0	1	1

Appendix Key

A more complete discussion of the items presented below can be found by accessing the supporting documents at the designated hyperlinks.

Appropriateness Category: The panel's recommendation for a procedure based on the assessment of the risks and benefits of performing the procedure for the specified clinical scenario.

SOE: Strength of Evidence. The assessment of the amount and quality of evidence found in the peer reviewed medical literature for an appropriateness recommendation.

- **References:** The citation number and PMID for the reference(s) associated with the recommendation.
- Study Quality: The assessment of the quality of an individual reference based on the number of study quality elements described in the reference.

RRL: Relative Radiation Level. A population based assessment of the amount of radiation a typical patient may be exposed to during the specified procedure.

Rating: The final rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Median: The median rating (1-9 scale) for the procedure as determined by the panel during rating rounds.

Final tabulations: A histogram showing the number of panel members who rated the procedure as noted in the column heading (ie, 1, 2, 3, etc.).

Additional supporting documents about the AC methodology and processes can be found at www.acr.org/ac.