

**American College of Radiology
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**

Imaging of Deep Inferior Epigastric Arteries for Surgical Planning (Breast Reconstruction Surgery)

Variant: 1 Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

Procedure	Appropriateness Category	Relative Radiation Level
MRA abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	○
CTA abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	Usually Appropriate	⦿⦿⦿⦿
MRA abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	May Be Appropriate	○
US color Doppler abdomen and pelvis	Usually Not Appropriate	○
Arteriography abdomen and pelvis	Usually Not Appropriate	⦿⦿⦿⦿
MRI abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	○
MRI abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	○
CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⦿⦿⦿
CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⦿⦿⦿
CT abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast	Usually Not Appropriate	⦿⦿⦿⦿

Panel Members

Nimarta Singh, MD, MPH^a; Ayaz Aghayev, MD^b; Sarah Ahmad, MD^c; Ezana M. Azene, MD, PhD^d; Maros Ferencik, MD, PhD, MCR^e; Sandeep S. Hedgire, MD^f; David S. Kirsch, MD^g; Yoo Jin Lee, MD^h; Prashant Nagpal, MDⁱ; Helen A. Pass, MD^j; Anil K. Pillai, MD^k; Beth Ripley, MD, PhD^l; Andrew Tannenbaum, MD^m; Richard Thomas, MD, MBBSⁿ; Michael L. Steigner, MD^o.

Summary of Literature Review

Introduction/Background

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women in the United States, with surgical options including lumpectomy and mastectomy. Breast reconstruction options following mastectomy range from saline or silicone implants to autologous breast reconstruction. The latter procedure uses skin, fat, blood vessels, and/or muscle from the upper back, abdomen, buttocks, or hips. Transverse rectus abdominis muscle flap procedure is a traditional method of breast reconstruction that harvests underlying muscle. This can result in increased donor site morbidity. The deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flap is a muscle-sparing perforator free flap breast reconstruction technique, which uses the deep inferior epigastric artery (DIEA) perforators to create a vascular pedicle [1].

Compared with transversus rectus abdominis muscle flaps, DIEP flaps result in less fat necrosis and loss of function at the donor site. The DIEP tissue harvesting procedure involves dissecting the anterior abdominal wall subcutaneous tissues to locate and visually identify the most suitable vessel to serve as the vascular pedicle. Although the DIEA is reliably identified because of its consistent take off from the external iliac artery, the anatomy of the perforators used in DIEP flap is variable. Lack of preoperative imaging can lead to increased operative times given the time-consuming nature of identifying the variable vascular anatomy.

The efficiency of the vascular pedicle selection process can be significantly improved with preoperative imaging [2].

Multiple perforators are identified by imaging, which are typically ranked based on size, location, and intramuscular course. The ideal perforator is the largest caliber [1,3] and is medially located within the flap with an extended vascular territory beyond the midline to provide optimal perfusion. Dissection of the selected perforator should preserve muscle innervation and avoid fat necrosis [1,3,4]. A short intramuscular course allows for successful dissection [3,14,15]. Perforators are reported by the location where they pierce the anterior rectus sheath in relation to the umbilicus. This is important because, although the perforator can move within the subcutaneous tissues with applied pressure, its position at the rectus sheath is fixed relative to the umbilicus [5].

Special Imaging Considerations

For the purposes of distinguishing between CT and CT angiography (CTA), ACR Appropriateness Criteria topics use the definition in the [ACR–NASCI–SIR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Body Computed Tomography Angiography \(CTA\)](#) [6]:

“CTA uses a thin-section CT acquisition that is timed to coincide with peak arterial or venous enhancement. The resultant volumetric dataset is interpreted using primary transverse reconstructions as well as multiplanar reformations and 3-D renderings.”

All elements are essential: 1) timing, 2) reconstructions/reformats, and 3) 3-D renderings. Standard CTs with contrast also include timing issues and reconstructions/reformats. Only in CTA, however, is 3-D rendering a **required** element. This corresponds to the definitions that the CMS has applied to the Current Procedural Terminology codes.

Initial Imaging Definition

Initial imaging is defined as imaging at the beginning of the care episode for the medical condition defined by the variant. More than one procedure can be considered usually appropriate in the initial imaging evaluation when:

- There are procedures that are equivalent alternatives (ie, only one procedure will be ordered to provide the clinical information to effectively manage the patient’s care)

OR

- There are complementary procedures (ie, more than one procedure is ordered as a set or simultaneously wherein each procedure provides unique clinical information to effectively manage the patient’s care).

Discussion of Procedures by Variant

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

The goal of preoperative imaging is to aid the surgical team in preoperative planning given the variability of the DIEA perforator branches anatomy between patients, and even between the left and right

hemiabdomen of the same patient. Improved clinical outcomes with preoperative imaging have been shown to include decreased length of surgery, decreased flap loss rate, decreased hernia rate, decreased intraoperative blood loss, shorter mean inpatient stay, reduced learning curve when compared with hand-held Doppler, and increased surgeon confidence [7-15].

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

A. Arteriography abdomen and pelvis

Although catheter directed arteriography can aid in delineation of variant anatomy of the DIEA to guide surgical planning for breast reconstruction, it is an invasive procedure with risks that outweigh benefits compared to current alternative noninvasive imaging methods. Additionally, given the small caliber of the vessels and potentially tortuous course, this would likely lead to prolonged procedure times and potentially unreliable assessment of vessel caliber given variable source and detector distances. Furthermore, the course of perforator arteries in the abdominal wall musculature and subcutaneous tissues is not easily assessed with catheter directed arteriography. There is no relevant literature supporting the use of arteriography in evaluation of imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning.

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

B. CT abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast

To date, there is no relevant literature supporting the use of CT abdomen and pelvis with intravenous (IV) contrast in the evaluation of imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning.

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

C. CT abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of CT abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast in the evaluation of imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning.

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

D. CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of CT abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast in the evaluation of imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning.

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

E. CTA abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast

CTA is currently beneficial in evaluating the perforator anatomy for preoperative planning before DIEP flap breast reconstruction. Perforator size, perforator location relative to abdominal landmarks, branching pattern of DIEA, presence of superficial inferior epigastric vessels, subcutaneous course, and intramuscular course all affect operative techniques, operative time, and outcomes. CTA is a fast, efficient, and highly reproducible modality, capable of yielding excellent opacification of the small caliber perforator arteries with optimal contrast bolus timing. CTA evaluation performed with the use of specific postprocessing and display techniques may yield more accurate assessment of the optimal vessel for selection for breast reconstruction when compared to color Doppler ultrasound (CDU) [2,8,16]. Some studies have demonstrated superiority of CTA over CDU utilization [17,18], suggesting a routine use of preoperative CTA. A virtual 3-D plan based on CTA can be preoperatively projected onto the abdomen intraoperatively, which can aid in identifying perforator locations and thus decreasing operative time [19].

CTA is also helpful in predicting which DIEA perforators are the most clinically useful in patients with scarred abdomens [20]. Therefore, preoperative imaging is essential for DIEP flap surgery [17,21-28]. Preoperative CTA evaluation can also reliably estimate the volume of abdominal tissue for DIEP flap breast reconstruction [19,29]. Preoperative mapping allows calculation of a flap viability index, which predicts the amount of tissue which will survive based on perforator diameter as well as flap weights [30]. CTA evaluation also allows for the assessment of factors, which may lead to venous congestion of the flap and, therefore, flap failure [31]. A superficial inferior epigastric vein larger than the deep inferior epigastric vein as well as axial nonarborizing superficial venous system are highly predictive of subsequent venous congestion. Knowledge of these findings can aid in preoperative discussion with patients, which may alter management [32]. Preoperative use of CTA allows estimation of contralateral abdominal perfusion and therefore allows for efficient breast reconstruction and decreased complications [33].

Use of preoperative CTA has been shown to result in the increased use of single perforators, increased use of medial-row perforators, significantly reduced operative time, decreased intraoperative blood loss, decreased inpatient hospital stay, and decreased complications such as hernias [9,11,12,14,34]. CTA evaluation allows for faster selection of laterality of dissection, as well as reduced flap loss rates [15].

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

F. MRA abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast

Donor site preoperative imaging for mapping the perforator artery anatomy results in improvement in perforator selection, reduces operative time, and reduces donor site morbidity. Although CTA is considered most helpful, emerging literature supports MR angiography (MRA) as an alternative imaging tool with accurate assessment of DIEA perforator anatomy [35-44]. A recent meta-analysis demonstrates that CT and MRI appear to have similar accuracy in preoperative DIEP mapping [44]. MRA evaluation is limited by increased scanning times relative to CTA evaluation. Continued research is needed to evaluate the accuracy of the new emerging MRA techniques and their role in preoperative perforator branch imaging.

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

G. MRA abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast

The literature review yields one study that used noncontrast MRA for preoperative planning in 56 women who underwent DIEP flap with preoperative planning using MRA without IV contrast. The perforator chosen intraoperatively corresponded to the dominant perforator selected on the MRA in all the patients, yielding 100% predictive value with no false-positive or false-negative results [41]. MRA evaluation is limited by increased scanning times relative to CTA evaluation. To date, there is no other relevant literature supporting the use of MRA abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast in evaluation of imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning. Continued research is needed to evaluate the accuracy of the new emerging MRA techniques and their role in preoperative perforator branch imaging.

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

H. MRI abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of MRI abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast in the evaluation of imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning.

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

I. MRI abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast

There is no relevant literature supporting the use of MRI abdomen and pelvis without IV contrast in the evaluation of imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning.

Variant 1: Imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). Initial imaging.

J. US color Doppler abdomen and pelvis

CDU allows for identification of the dominant perforator, evaluation of perforator caliber, and delineation of intramuscular course of the perforator vessel [45,46]. Few studies have demonstrated the reliability of CDU relative to CTA in identifying perforators or determining their number, size, and location [47]. Overall, there is limited literature to support the use of CDU over CTA. Many studies demonstrate superiority of CTA over CDU as a preoperative planning tool of perforator-based breast reconstruction [17].

Summary of Highlights

- **Variant 1:** CTA of the abdomen and pelvis with IV contrast is usually appropriate for the initial imaging of deep inferior epigastric arteries for surgical planning (breast reconstruction surgery). MRA of the abdomen and pelvis without and with IV contrast is an alternative modality, which can be used if there is contraindication to obtaining CTA evaluation. These procedures are equivalent alternatives (ie, only one procedure will be ordered to provide the clinical information to effectively manage the patient's care).

Supporting Documents

The evidence table, literature search, and appendix for this topic are available at <https://acsearch.acr.org/list>. The appendix includes the strength of evidence assessment and the final rating round tabulations for each recommendation.

For additional information on the Appropriateness Criteria methodology and other supporting documents, please go to the ACR website at <https://www.acr.org/Clinical-Resources/Clinical-Tools-and-Reference/Appropriateness-Criteria>.

Appropriateness Category Names and Definitions

Appropriateness Category Name	Appropriateness Rating	Appropriateness Category Definition
Usually Appropriate	7, 8, or 9	The imaging procedure or treatment is indicated in the specified clinical scenarios at a favorable risk-benefit ratio for patients.
May Be Appropriate	4, 5, or 6	The imaging procedure or treatment may be indicated in the specified clinical scenarios as an alternative to imaging procedures or treatments with a more favorable risk-benefit ratio, or the risk-benefit ratio for patients is equivocal.
May Be Appropriate (Disagreement)	5	The individual ratings are too dispersed from the panel median. The different label provides transparency regarding the panel's recommendation.

		"May be appropriate" is the rating category and a rating of 5 is assigned.
Usually Not Appropriate	1, 2, or 3	The imaging procedure or treatment is unlikely to be indicated in the specified clinical scenarios, or the risk-benefit ratio for patients is likely to be unfavorable.

Relative Radiation Level Information

Potential adverse health effects associated with radiation exposure are an important factor to consider when selecting the appropriate imaging procedure. Because there is a wide range of radiation exposures associated with different diagnostic procedures, a relative radiation level (RRL) indication has been included for each imaging examination. The RRLs are based on effective dose, which is a radiation dose quantity that is used to estimate population total radiation risk associated with an imaging procedure. Patients in the pediatric age group are at inherently higher risk from exposure, because of both organ sensitivity and longer life expectancy (relevant to the long latency that appears to accompany radiation exposure). For these reasons, the RRL dose estimate ranges for pediatric examinations are lower as compared with those specified for adults (see Table below). Additional information regarding radiation dose assessment for imaging examinations can be found in the ACR Appropriateness Criteria® [Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction](#) document.

Relative Radiation Level Designations

Relative Radiation Level*	Adult Effective Dose Estimate Range	Pediatric Effective Dose Estimate Range
○	0 mSv	0 mSv
☢	<0.1 mSv	<0.03 mSv
☢ ☢	0.1-1 mSv	0.03-0.3 mSv
☢ ☢ ☢	1-10 mSv	0.3-3 mSv
☢ ☢ ☢ ☢	10-30 mSv	3-10 mSv
☢ ☢ ☢ ☢ ☢	30-100 mSv	10-30 mSv

*RRL assignments for some of the examinations cannot be made, because the actual patient doses in these procedures vary as a function of a number of factors (e.g., region of the body exposed to ionizing radiation, the imaging guidance that is used). The RRLs for these examinations are designated as "Varies."

References

1. Aubry S, Pauchot J, Kastler A, Laurent O, Tropet Y, Runge M. Preoperative imaging in the planning of deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap surgery. *Skeletal Radiol*. 2013;42(3):319-327.
2. Karunanithy N, Rose V, Lim AK, Mitchell A. CT angiography of inferior epigastric and gluteal perforating arteries before free flap breast reconstruction. *Radiographics*. 2011;31(5):1307-1319.
3. Chernyak V, Rozenblit AM, Greenspun DT, et al. Breast reconstruction with deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap: 3.0-T gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging for preoperative localization of abdominal wall perforators. *Radiology*. 2009;250(2):417-424.
4. Tseng CY, Lipa JE. Perforator flaps in breast reconstruction. [Review]. *Clinics in Plastic Surgery*. 37(4):641-54, vi-ii, 2010 Oct. *Clin Plast Surg*. 37(4):641-54, vi-ii, 2010 Oct.

5. Hijjawi JB, Blondeel PN. Advancing deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap breast reconstruction through multidetector row computed tomography: an evolution in preoperative imaging. *J Reconstr Microsurg*. 2010;26(1):11-20.
6. American College of Radiology. ACR–NASCI–SIR–SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Body Computed Tomography Angiography (CTA). Available at: <https://gravitas.acr.org/PPTS/GetDocumentView?docId=164+&releaseId=2>.
7. Casey WJ, 3rd, Chew RT, Rebecca AM, Smith AA, Collins JM, Pockaj BA. Advantages of preoperative computed tomography in deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap breast reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2009;123(4):1148-1155.
8. Gacto-Sanchez P, Sicilia-Castro D, Gomez-Cia T, et al. Computed tomographic angiography with VirSSPA three-dimensional software for perforator navigation improves perioperative outcomes in DIEP flap breast reconstruction. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2010;125(1):24-31.
9. Ghattaura A, Henton J, Jallali N, et al. One hundred cases of abdominal-based free flaps in breast reconstruction. The impact of preoperative computed tomographic angiography. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 63(10):1597-601, 2010 Oct.
10. Keys KA, Louie O, Said HK, Neligan PC, Mathes DW. Clinical utility of CT angiography in DIEP breast reconstruction. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2013;66(3):e61-65.
11. Malhotra A, Chhaya N, Nsiah-Sarbeng P, Mosahebi A. CT-guided deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) flap localization -- better for the patient, the surgeon, and the hospital. *Clin Radiol*. 2013;68(2):131-138.
12. Masia J, Kosutic D, Clavero JA, Larranaga J, Vives L, Pons G. Preoperative computed tomographic angiogram for deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap breast reconstruction. *J Reconstr Microsurg*. 2010;26(1):21-28.
13. Masia J, Larranaga J, Clavero JA, Vives L, Pons G, Pons JM. The value of the multidetector row computed tomography for the preoperative planning of deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap: our experience in 162 cases. *Ann Plast Surg*. 2008;60(1):29-36.
14. Minqiang X, Lanhua M, Jie L, Dali M, Jinguo L. The value of multidetector-row CT angiography for pre-operative planning of breast reconstruction with deep inferior epigastric arterial perforator flaps. *Br J Radiol*. 2010;83(985):40-43.
15. Molina AR, Jones ME, Hazari A, Francis I, Nduka C. Correlating the deep inferior epigastric artery branching pattern with type of abdominal free flap performed in a series of 145 breast reconstruction patients. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl*. 2012;94(7):493-495.
16. Lam DL, Mitsumori LM, Neligan PC, Warren BH, Shuman WP, Dubinsky TJ. Pre-operative CT angiography and three-dimensional image post processing for deep inferior epigastric perforator flap breast reconstructive surgery. *Br J Radiol*. 2012;85(1020):e1293-1297.
17. Scott JR, Liu D, Said H, Neligan PC, Mathes DW. Computed tomographic angiography in planning abdomen-based microsurgical breast reconstruction: a comparison with color duplex ultrasound. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2010;125(2):446-453.
18. Teunis T, Heerma van Voss MR, Kon M, van Maurik JF. CT-angiography prior to DIEP flap breast reconstruction: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Microsurgery*. 2013;33(6):496-502.
19. Hummelink S, Hoogeveen YL, Schultze Kool LJ, Ulrich DJO. A New and Innovative Method of

Preoperatively Planning and Projecting Vascular Anatomy in DIEP Flap Breast Reconstruction: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 143(6):1151e-1158e, 2019 06.

20. Ngaage LM, Hamed R, Oni G, et al. The Role of CT Angiography in Assessing Deep Inferior Epigastric Perforator Flap Patency in Patients With Pre-existing Abdominal Scars. *J Surg Res.* 235:58-65, 2019 03.
21. Haddock NT, Dumestre DO, Teotia SS. Efficiency in DIEP Flap Breast Reconstruction: The Real Benefit of Computed Tomographic Angiography Imaging. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 146(4):719-723, 2020 10.
22. Kim SY, Lee KT, Mun GH. Computed Tomographic Angiography-Based Planning of Bipedicled DIEP Flaps with Intraflap Crossover Anastomosis: An Anatomical and Clinical Study. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 138(3):409e-18e, 2016 Sep.
23. Myung Y, Choi B, Yim SJ, et al. The originating pattern of deep inferior epigastric artery: anatomical study and surgical considerations. *Surg Radiol Anat.* 40(8):873-879, 2018 Aug.
24. O'Malley RB, Robinson TJ, Kozlow JH, Liu PS. Computed Tomography Angiography for Preoperative Thoracoabdominal Flap Planning. [Review]. *Radiol Clin North Am.* 54(1):131-45, 2016 Jan.
25. Rozen WM, Ashton MW, Grinsell D. The branching pattern of the deep inferior epigastric artery revisited in-vivo: a new classification based on CT angiography. *Clin Anat.* 2010;23(1):87-92.
26. Suffee T, Pigneur F, Rahmouni A, Bosc R. Best choice of perforator vessel in autologous breast reconstruction: Virtual reality navigation vs radiologist analysis. A prospective study. *J Plast Surg Hand Surg.* 49(6):333-8, 2015.
27. Wong KK, Stubbs E, McRae M, McRae M, CTA in preoperative planning for DIEP breast reconstruction: what the reconstructive surgeon wants to know. A modified Delphi study. *Clin Radiol.* 74(12):973.e15-973.e26, 2019 Dec.
28. Rozen WM, Ashton MW. Improving outcomes in autologous breast reconstruction. *Aesthetic Plast Surg.* 2009;33(3):327-335.
29. Nanidis TG, Ridha H, Jallali N. The use of computed tomography for the estimation of DIEP flap weights in breast reconstruction: a simple mathematical formula. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2014;67(10):1352-1356.
30. Pennington DG, Rome P, Kitchener P. Predicting results of DIEP flap reconstruction: the flap viability index. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2012;65(11):1490-1495.
31. Davis CR, Jones L, Tillett RL, Richards H, Wilson SM. Predicting venous congestion before DIEP breast reconstruction by identifying atypical venous connections on preoperative CTA imaging. *Microsurgery.* 39(1):24-31, 2019 Jan.
32. Wagels M, Pillay R, Saylor A, Vrtik L, Senewiratne S. Predicting venous insufficiency in flaps raised on the deep inferior epigastric system using computed tomography (CT) angiography. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 68(12):e200-2, 2015 Dec.
33. Han HH, Kang MK, Choe J, et al. Estimation of Contralateral Perfusion in the DIEP Flap by Scoring the Midline-Crossing Vessels in Computed Tomographic Angiography. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 145(4):697e-705e, 2020 04.

- 34.** Ohkuma R, Mohan R, Baltodano PA, et al. Abdominally based free flap planning in breast reconstruction with computed tomographic angiography: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2014;133(3):483-494.
- 35.** Agrawal MD, Thimmappa ND, Vasile JV, et al. Autologous breast reconstruction: preoperative magnetic resonance angiography for perforator flap vessel mapping. *J Reconstr Microsurg.* 31(1):1-11, 2015 Jan.
- 36.** Alonso-Burgos A, Garcia-Tutor E, Bastarrika G, Benito A, Dominguez PD, Zubieta JL. Preoperative planning of DIEP and SGAP flaps: preliminary experience with magnetic resonance angiography using 3-tesla equipment and blood-pool contrast medium. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2010;63(2):298-304.
- 37.** Chong LW, Lakshminarayan R, Akali A. Utilisation of contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography in the assessment of deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap for breast reconstruction surgery. *Clin Radiol.* 74(6):445-449, 2019 06.
- 38.** Cina A, Salgarello M, Barone-Adesi L, Rinaldi P, Bonomo L. Planning breast reconstruction with deep inferior epigastric artery perforating vessels: multidetector CT angiography versus color Doppler US. *Radiology.* 2010;255(3):979-987.
- 39.** Greenspun D, Vasile J, Levine JL, et al. Anatomic imaging of abdominal perforator flaps without ionizing radiation: seeing is believing with magnetic resonance imaging angiography. *J Reconstr Microsurg.* 2010;26(1):37-44.
- 40.** Kurlander DE, Brown MS, Iglesias RA, Gulani V, Soltanian HT. Mapping the superficial inferior epigastric system and its connection to the deep system: An MRA analysis. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 69(2):221-6, 2016 Feb.
- 41.** Masia J, Kosutic D, Cervelli D, Clavero JA, Monill JM, Pons G. In search of the ideal method in perforator mapping: noncontrast magnetic resonance imaging. *J Reconstr Microsurg.* 2010;26(1):29-35.
- 42.** Versluis B, Tuinder S, Boetes C, et al. Equilibrium-phase high spatial resolution contrast-enhanced MR angiography at 1.5T in preoperative imaging for perforator flap breast reconstruction. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(8):e71286.
- 43.** Wade RG, Watford J, Wormald JCR, Bramhall RJ, Figus A. Perforator mapping reduces the operative time of DIEP flap breast reconstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis of preoperative ultrasound, computed tomography and magnetic resonance angiography. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 71(4):468-477, 2018 04.
- 44.** Kiely J, Kumar M, Wade RG. The accuracy of different modalities of perforator mapping for unilateral DIEP flap breast reconstruction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg* 2021;74:945-56.
- 45.** Cina A, Barone-Adesi L, Rinaldi P, et al. Planning deep inferior epigastric perforator flaps for breast reconstruction: a comparison between multidetector computed tomography and magnetic resonance angiography. *Eur Radiol.* 2013;23(8):2333-2343.
- 46.** Klasson S, Svensson H, Malm K, Wasselius J, Velandar P. Preoperative CT angiography versus Doppler ultrasound mapping of abdominal perforator in DIEP breast reconstructions: A randomized prospective study. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 68(6):782-6, 2015 Jun.
- 47.** Mijuskovic B, Tremp M, Heimer MM, et al. Color Doppler ultrasound and computed

tomographic angiography for perforator mapping in DIEP flap breast reconstruction revisited: A cohort study. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 72(10):1632-1639, 2019 Oct.

48. American College of Radiology. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Radiation Dose Assessment Introduction. Available at: <https://edge.sitecorecloud.io/americancoldf5f-acrorgf92a-productioncb02-3650/media/ACR/Files/Clinical/Appropriateness-Criteria/ACR-Appropriateness-Criteria-Radiation-Dose-Assessment-Introduction.pdf>.

Disclaimer

The ACR Committee on Appropriateness Criteria and its expert panels have developed criteria for determining appropriate imaging examinations for diagnosis and treatment of specified medical condition(s). These criteria are intended to guide radiologists, radiation oncologists and referring physicians in making decisions regarding radiologic imaging and treatment. Generally, the complexity and severity of a patient's clinical condition should dictate the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Only those examinations generally used for evaluation of the patient's condition are ranked. Other imaging studies necessary to evaluate other co-existent diseases or other medical consequences of this condition are not considered in this document. The availability of equipment or personnel may influence the selection of appropriate imaging procedures or treatments. Imaging techniques classified as investigational by the FDA have not been considered in developing these criteria; however, study of new equipment and applications should be encouraged. The ultimate decision regarding the appropriateness of any specific radiologic examination or treatment must be made by the referring physician and radiologist in light of all the circumstances presented in an individual examination.

^aMercyhealth, Rockford, Illinois. ^bPanel Chair, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts. ^cUniversity of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada; American College of Physicians. ^dGundersen Health System, La Crosse, Wisconsin. ^eKnight Cardiovascular Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon; Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. ^fMassachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts. ^gOchsner Hospital, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. ^hUniversity of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California. ⁱUniversity of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health, Madison, Wisconsin. ^jStamford Hospital, Stamford, Connecticut; American College of Surgeons. ^kUT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas. ^lVA Puget Sound Health Care System and University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. ^mMercyhealth, Rockford, Illinois. ⁿLahey Hospital and Medical Center, Burlington, Massachusetts. ^oSpecialty Chair, Brigham & Women's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts.