

Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Epigastric Pain

Literature Search Performed on: 02/20/2020

Beginning Date: January 1975

End Date: January 2020

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to February 19, 2020>

Search Strategy

- 1 gastroesophageal reflux.tw. (18100)
- 2 stomach diseases/ (13419)
- 3 exp fluoroscopy/ (21442)
- 4 1 and 2 (72)
- 5 1 and 3 (104)
- 6 2 and 3 (58)
- 7 5 or 6 (162)
- 8 limit 7 to (abstracts and english language and humans) (94)
- 9 limit 8 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (37)
- 10 8 not 9 (57)
- 11 stomach neoplasms/ (94673)
- 12 11 and 3 (185)
- 13 limit 12 to (abstracts and english language and humans) (71)
- 14 limit 13 to case reports (10)
- 15 13 not 14 (61)
- 16 hernia, hiatal/ (6232)
- 17 16 and 3 (50)
- 18 limit 17 to (abstracts and english language and humans) (21)
- 19 limit 18 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (6)
- 20 18 not 19 (15)
- 21 limit 20 to case reports (3)
- 22 20 not 21 (12)
- 23 10 or 15 or 22 (123)
- 24 limit 23 to yr="1975 -Current" (123)

Literature Search Performed on: 01/30/2020

Beginning Date: January 2010

End Date: December 2019

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to January 28, 2020>

Search Strategy

- 1 Abdominal Pain/ (20418)
- 2 gastroesophageal reflux.tw. (18059)
- 3 esophagitis/ (5809)
- 4 esophagitis, peptic/dg (226)
- 5 gastritis/ (18007)
- 6 peptic ulcer.tw. (21636)
- 7 duodenal ulcer.tw. (15759)
- 8 stomach neoplasms/ (94468)
- 9 hernia, hiatal/ (6222)
- 10 exp diagnostic imaging/ (2596075)
- 11 1 and (2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9) (662)
- 12 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 9 (78125)

Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Epigastric Pain

13 10 and (11 or 12) (6132)
14 limit 13 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2010 -Current") (781)
15 limit 14 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (154)
16 14 not 15 (627)
17 limit 16 to case reports (247)
18 16 not 17 (380)

Summary

Source	#Unique Refs	#Retained Refs
Old bibliography	0	0
Literature Search(es)	487	1
Author Added	11	4
Supporting Docs	6	6
Total		11

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

3 of the author added references were outside the search date range.