Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Noncerebral Vasculitis

Literature Search Performed on: 11/01/2019
Beginning Date: January 2014
End Date: October 2019
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to October 30, 2019>

Search Strategy
1  exp diagnostic imaging/ (2571939)
2  Takayasu Arteritis/ or Giant Cell Arteritis/ or Vasculitis/ or Aortitis/ (23523)
3  Polyarteritis Nodosa/ (6013)
4  Arteritis/ (5041)
5  Mucocutaneous Lymph Node Syndrome/ (5809)
6  Systemic Vasculitis/ (489)
7  2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 (38947)
8  limit 7 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2014 -Current") (3374)
9  limit 8 to case reports (960)
10 8 not 9 (2414)
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References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

### Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.