

Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Pelvic Floor Dysfunction in Females

Literature Search Performed on: 08/23/2017

Beginning Date: January 2013

End Date: July 2017

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy

- 1 Cystocele/ (470)
- 2 Urethra/ (22731)
- 3 Joint Instability/ (18586)
- 4 Uterine Prolapse/ (5466)
- 5 procidentia.mp. (326)
- 6 enterocele.mp. (553)
- 7 peritoneocele.mp. (18)
- 8 sigmoidocele.mp. (47)
- 9 rectocele.mp. (1321)
- 10 Anal Canal/ (17124)
- 11 anismus.mp. (185)
- 12 Defecation/ (6703)
- 13 defecatory dysfunction.mp. (68)
- 14 fetal incontinence.mp. (3)
- 15 exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (2465999)
- 16 Defecography/ (436)
- 17 proctography.mp. (225)
- 18 Urodynamics/ (14452)
- 19 Pelvic Floor/ (4452)
- 20 pelvic floor dysfunction.mp. (773)
- 21 Pelvic Organ Prolapse/ (2455)
- 22 pelvic floor prolapse.mp. (71)
- 23 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 (70032)
- 24 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 (2478465)
- 25 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 (6852)
- 26 23 and 24 and 25 (584)
- 27 limit 26 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2013 -Current") (112)
- 28 limit 27 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (16)
- 29 27 not 28 (96)
- 30 fluoroscopy/ or magnetic resonance imaging/ (367414)
- 31 16 and 30 (95)
- 32 pelvic organ prolapse/ and postoperative complications/ (374)
- 33 pelvic organ prolapse/ and recurrence/ (167)
- 34 29 or 31 or 32 or 33 (673)
- 35 limit 34 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2013 -Current") (363)
- 36 limit 35 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (2)
- 37 35 not 36 (361)
- 38 remove duplicates from 37 (327)

Summary

Source	#Unique Refs	#Retained Refs
Old bibliography	126	65

Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Pelvic Floor Dysfunction in Females

Literature Search(es)	322	6
Author Added	74	52
Supporting Docs	1	1
Total		124

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

9 of the author added references were outside the search date range.