Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Staging and Follow-up of Primary Vaginal Cancer

Literature Search Performed on: 03/31/2020
Beginning Date: January 2001
End Date: February 2020
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to March 30, 2020>

Search Strategy
1 uterine cervical neoplasms/dg (1943)
2 neoplasm recurrence.tw. (57)
3 1 and 2 (1)
4 exp magnetic resonance imaging/ (444903)
5 exp ultrasonography/ (431761)
6 exp positron emission tomography/ (59062)
7 4 or 5 or 6 (889977)
8 1 and 7 (885)
9 8 or 3 (886)
10 limit 9 to (abstracts and english language and humans) (747)
11 limit 10 to yr="2012 -Current" (482)
12 limit 11 to case reports (35)
13 11 not 12 (447)
14 remove duplicates from 13 (446)
15 parametrial invasion in cervical cancer.tw. (6)
16 "hybrid PET/MRI in patients with primary malignancy".tw. (1)
17 cervical carcinoma with chemoradiation therapy.tw. (2)
18 extent of invasive cervical cancer.tw. (4)
19 assessment of uterine cervical cancer.tw. (2)
20 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 or 19 (15)
21 Concurrent chemoradiation for vaginal cancer.tw. (1)
22 "radiotherapy for cancer of the uterine cervix".m_titl. (47)
23 limit 22 to yr="2001" (1)
24 surveillance after chemoradiotherapy for cervical cancer.tw. (1)
25 Predictive criteria for MRI-based evaluation of response.tw. (1)
26 20 or 21 or 23 or 24 or 25 (19)
27 14 or 26 (462)

Literature Search Performed on: 10/28/2019
Beginning Date: January 2009
End Date: September 2019
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to October 25, 2019>

Search Strategy
1 Vaginal Neoplasms/ (5205)
2 vagina/ (34158)
3 gynaecological malignancies.tw. (401)
4 gynecologic malignancies.tw. (2005)
5 Neoplasm staging/ (167586)
6 Neoplasm Recurrence, Local/ (113052)
7 carcinoma/ or adenocarcinoma/ (232821)
8 malignancy/ (409347)
9 Uterine Cervical Neoplasms/ (72773)
References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.