References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Acute Pancreatitis

Literature Search Performed on: 11/07/2017
Beginning Date: January 2011
End Date: October 2017
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy
1  exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (2577016)
2  acute pancreatitis.mp. (22238)
3  *Pancreatitis, Acute Necrotizing/ (2723)
4  *Pancreatitis/ and Acute Disease/ (14783)
5  2 or 3 or 4 (26628)
6  1 and 5 (5221)
7  Atlanta classification.mp. (248)
8  Cholangiopancreatography, Endoscopic Retrograde/ (16426)
9  exp Acute Disease/ or exp Pancreatitis, Acute Necrotizing/ or exp "Severity of Illness Index"/ (440357)
10  exp Pancreatitis/th (5070)
11  exp Pancreatic Ducts/ab, dg [Abnormalities, Diagnostic Imaging] (2195)
12  exp Cholangiopancreatography, Endoscopic Retrograde/ (16426)
13  (Cholangiopancreatography and Magnetic resonance imaging and Pancreatic duct and Pancreatitis).mp. (166)
14  exp Biliary Tract Diseases/co, dg [Complications, Diagnostic Imaging] (37033)
15  1 and 9 and 10 and 11 and 12 and 14 (0)
16  6 or 7 (5398)
17  8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 (487432)
18  16 and 17 (4650)
19  limit 18 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2011 -Current") (760)
20  limit 19 to case reports (195)
21  19 not 20 (565)

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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