References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

### Literature Search
**ACR Appropriateness Criteria®**
**Acute Pancreatitis**

Literature Search Performed on: 11/07/2017
Beginning Date: January 2011
End Date: October 2017
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

#### Search Strategy

1. `exp Diagnostic Imaging/` (2577016)
2. `acute pancreatitis.mp.` (22238)
3. `*Pancreatitis, Acute Necrotizing/` (2723)
4. `*Pancreatitis/` and `Acute Disease/` (14783)
5. 2 or 3 or 4 (26628)
6. 1 and 5 (5221)
7. `Atlanta classification.mp.` (248)
8. `Cholangiopancreatography, Endoscopic Retrograde/` (16426)
9. `exp Acute Disease/` or `exp Pancreatitis, Acute Necrotizing/` or `exp "Severity of Illness Index"/` (440357)
10. `exp Pancreatitis/th` (5070)
11. `exp Pancreatic Ducts/ab, dg [Abnormalities, Diagnostic Imaging]` (2195)
12. `exp Cholangiopancreatography, Endoscopic Retrograde/` (16426)
13. `(Cholangiopancreatography and Magnetic resonance imaging and Pancreatic duct and Pancreatitis).mp.` (166)
14. `exp Biliary Tract Diseases/co, dg [Complications, Diagnostic Imaging]` (37033)
15. 1 and 9 and 10 and 11 and 12 and 14 (0)
16. 6 or 7 (5398)
17. 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 (487432)
18. 16 and 17 (4650)
19. limit 18 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2011 -Current") (760)
20. limit 19 to case reports (195)
21. 19 not 20 (565)

#### Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>509</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>33</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.