Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Incidentally Detected Indeterminate Pulmonary Nodule

Literature Search Performed on: 08/26/2021
Beginning Date: January 2006
End Date: July 2021
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to August 25, 2021>

Search Strategy
1  Positron-Emission Tomography/ and Magnetic Resonance Imaging/ (11795)
2  lung nodule.mp. (1632)
3  (1 and 2) or (MRI assessment of lung nodules or Outcome of small lung nodules or Pitfalls on PET).tw. (14)
4  limit 3 to yr="2006 -Current" (14)
5  limit 4 to case reports (1)
6  4 not 5 (13)

Literature Search Performed on: 06/02/2021
Beginning Date: January 2011
End Date: May 2021
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to June 01, 2021>

Search Strategy
1  Lung Neoplasms/ and incidental findings/ (491)
2  Multiple Pulmonary Nodules/ or solitary pulmonary nodule/ (5236)
3  Solitary Pulmonary Nodule/ and lung/ and lung neoplasms/ (562)
4  (1 and 2) or 3 (649)
5  exp diagnostic imaging/ (2723782)
6  4 and 5 (556)
7  limit 1 to (guideline or practice guideline) (2)
8  limit 2 to (guideline or practice guideline) (17)
9  limit 3 to (guideline or practice guideline) (1)
10  7 or 8 or 9 (17)
11  limit 6 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2011 -Current") (259)
12  limit 11 to case reports (18)
13  11 not 12 (241)
14  limit 13 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (14)
15  13 not 14 (227)
16  10 or 15 (241)
17  Lung Neoplasms/ and incidental findings/ (491)
18  Multiple Pulmonary Nodules/ or solitary pulmonary nodule/ (5236)
19  Solitary Pulmonary Nodule/ and lung/ and lung neoplasms/ (562)
20  (17 and 18) or 19 (649)
21  exp diagnostic imaging/ (2723782)
22  20 and 21 (556)
23  limit 17 to (guideline or practice guideline) (2)
24  limit 18 to (guideline or practice guideline) (17)
25  limit 19 to (guideline or practice guideline) (1)
26  23 or 24 or 25 (17)
27  limit 21 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2011 -Current") (259)
28  limit 27 to case reports (18)
29  27 not 28 (241)
30  limit 29 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (14)
References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

10 of the author added references were outside the search date range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

10 of the author added references were outside the search date range.