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Search Strategy
1  uterine cervical neoplasms/dg (2179)
2  neoplasm staging/ (180860)
3  1 and 2 (500)
4  cancer treatment related/ (15297)
5  Outcome Assessment, Health Care/mt [Methods] (10973)
6  Outcome Assessment, Health Care/st [Standards] (2709)
7  treatment outcome/ (1032144)
8  neoplasm therapy/ (0)
9  Neoplasm, Residual/ (11110)
10 population surveillance/ (61023)
11 Neoplasm Recurrence, Local/di [Diagnosis] (10976)
12 Neoplasm Recurrence, Local/dg [Diagnostic Imaging] (6003)
13 locoregional neoplasm recurrence/ (125139)
14 neoplasm metastasis/ (109332)
15 sensitivity.mp. and specificity/ [mp=title, abstract, original title, name of substance word, subject heading word, floating sub-heading word, keyword heading word, organism supplementary concept word, protocol supplementary concept word, rare disease supplementary concept word, unique identifier, synonyms] (355532)
16 radiology/ (24170)
17 radiotherapy/ (43342)
18 radiation therapy.mp. (82283)
19 Positron-Emission Tomography/ (55462)
20 exp Tomography, X-Ray Computed/ (453158)
21 Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy/ (151939)
22 Diffusion Magnetic Resonance Imaging/ (20995)
23 exp diagnostic imaging/ (2727297)
24 (4 or 5 or 6 or 7) and (8 or 9) (2703)
25 (11 or 12 or 13 or 14) and (10 or 15) (4318)
26 (2 or 24 or 25) and 1 (524)
27 (16 or 17 or 18 or 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 or 23) and (26 or 1) (2060)
28 limit 27 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2014 -Current") (741)
29 limit 28 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (12)
30 28 not 29 (729)
31 limit 30 to case reports (40)
32 30 not 31 (689)
33 limit 27 to "practice guideline" (5)
34 32 or 33 (692)

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.