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Search Strategy
1 Cystocele/ (470)
2 Urethra/ (22731)
3 Joint Instability/ (18586)
4 Uterine Prolapse/ (5466)
5 procidentia.mp. (326)
6 enterocele.mp. (553)
7 peritoneocele.mp. (18)
8 sigmoidocele.mp. (47)
9 rectocele.mp. (1321)
10 Anal Canal/ (17124)
11 anismus.mp. (185)
12 Defecation/ (6703)
13 defecatory dysfunction.mp. (68)
14 fetal incontinence.mp. (3)
15 exp Diagnostic Imaging/ (2465999)
16 Defecography/ (436)
17 proctography.mp. (225)
18 Urodynamics/ (14452)
19 Pelvic Floor/ (4452)
20 pelvic floor dysfunction.mp. (773)
21 Pelvic Organ Prolapse/ (2455)
22 pelvic floor prolapse.mp. (71)
23 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 or 6 or 7 or 8 or 9 or 10 or 11 or 12 or 13 or 14 (70032)
24 15 or 16 or 17 or 18 (2478465)
25 19 or 20 or 21 or 22 (6852)
26 23 and 24 and 25 (584)
27 limit 26 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2013 -Current") (112)
28 limit 27 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (16)
29 27 not 28 (96)
30 fluoroscopy/ or magnetic resonance imaging/ (367414)
31 16 and 30 (95)
32 pelvic organ prolapse/ and postoperative complications/ (374)
33 pelvic organ prolapse/ and recurrence/ (167)
34 29 or 31 or 32 or 33 (673)
35 limit 34 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2013 -Current") (363)
36 limit 35 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (2)
37 35 not 36 (361)
38 remove duplicates from 37 (327)

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Literature Search(es)</th>
<th>322</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.