Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Anorectal Disease

Literature Search Performed on: 10/31/2019
Beginning Date: January 2009
End Date: September 2019
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) ALL <1946 to October 30, 2019>

Search Strategy
1  exp Rectovaginal Fistula/ or exp Vaginal Fistula/ (4731)
2  vaginography.mp. (70)
3  colovaginal fistula.mp. (39)
4  exp diagnostic imaging/ (2571939)
5  (1 or 2 or 3) and 4 (535)
6  limit 5 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2009 -Current") (71)
7  limit 6 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (19)
8  6 not 7 (52)
9  limit 8 to case reports (28)
10  8 not 9 (24)
11  remove duplicates from 10 (24)

Literature Search Performed on: 08/05/2019
Beginning Date: January 2018
End Date: July 2019
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily <1946 to August 02, 2019>

Search Strategy
1  Rectal Fistula/di [Diagnosis] (587)
2  FISTULA.tw. (72306)
3  perineal fistula.mp. (168)
4  perianal fistula.mp. (471)
5  fistula in ano.tw. (644)
6  colitis, ulcerative/ or crohn disease/ (59362)
7  anal canal/ or rectum/ or anus diseases/ (54007)
8  1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 (72522)
9  8 and 6 (1809)
10  8 and 7 (2286)
11  9 or 10 (3825)
12  exp diagnostic imaging/ (2546733)
13  exp Magnetic Resonance Imaging/ (426801)
14  exp Endosonography/ (12094)
15  12 or 13 or 14 (2546733)
16  11 and 15 (757)
17  limit 16 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="2018 -Current") (32)
18  limit 17 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (13)
19  17 not 18 (19)
20  limit 19 to case reports (3)
21  19 not 20 (16)

Literature Search Performed on: 08/24/2018
Beginning Date: January 1998
Literature Search
ACR Appropriateness Criteria®
Anorectal Disease

End Date: July 2018
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily <1946 to August 23, 2018>

Search Strategy

1. Rectal Fistula/di [Diagnosis] (570)
2. FISTULA.tw. (69316)
3. perineal fistula.mp. (162)
4. perianal fistula.mp. (419)
5. fistula in ano.tw. (614)
6. colitis, ulcerative/ or crohn disease/ (57310)
7. anal canal/ or rectum/ or anus diseases/ (52481)
8. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 (69523)
9. 8 and 6 (1737)
10. 8 and 7 (2179)
11. 9 or 10 (3655)
12. exp diagnostic imaging/ (2454338)
13. exp Magnetic Resonance Imaging/ (398293)
14. exp Endosonography/ (11488)
15. 12 or 13 or 14 (2454338)
16. 11 and 15 (720)
17. limit 16 to (abstracts and english language and humans and yr="1998 -Current") (408)
18. limit 17 to "all child (0 to 18 years)" (146)
19. 17 not 18 (262)
20. limit 19 to case reports (71)
21. 19 not 20 (191)

Literature Search Performed on: 03/07/2017
Beginning Date: January 2006
End Date: February 2017
Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy

1. Proctitis/di, ra, ri, us [Diagnosis, Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (378)
2. Pouchitis/di, ra, us [Diagnosis, Radiography, Ultrasonography] (139)
3. Rectovaginal Fistula/di, ra, us [Diagnosis, Radiography, Ultrasonography] (126)
4. Rectal Fistula/di, ra, ri, us [Diagnosis, Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (547)
5. Proctocolitis/di, ra, us [Diagnosis, Radiography, Ultrasonography] (127)
6. 1 or 2 or 3 or 4 or 5 (1272)
7. Fistula/di, ra, ri, us [Diagnosis, Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (1785)
8. Abscess/di, ra, ri, us [Diagnosis, Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (5164)
9. Sepsis/di, ra, ri, us [Diagnosis, Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Ultrasonography] (6501)
10. 7 or 8 or 9 (13356)
11. perineum/ or anal canal/ or rectum/ or Anus Diseases/ or rectal diseases/ (62471)
12. 10 and 11 (234)
13. Postoperative Complications/co, di, ra, ri, su, us [Complications, Diagnosis, Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Surgery, Ultrasonography] (41496)
14. Anastomotic Leak/di, ra, ri, su, us [Diagnosis, Radiography, Radionuclide Imaging, Surgery, Ultrasonography] (648)
References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.

Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>#Unique Refs</th>
<th>#Retained Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old bibliography</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Literature Search(es)</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author Added</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Docs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

References from the literature search that were not retained had a poor study design, were not relevant to the topic, or had unclear or biased results.